St. Boniface Industrial Park Phase 2 Municipal Servicing Report





Prepared for: City of Winnipeg

Prepared by: Stantec Consulting Ltd.



File: 116809351

November 5, 2015

Table of Contents

1.0	INTROE	DUCTION	1.1
2.0	WATER		2.1
3.0	WASTE	WATER	3.1
4.0		DRAINAGE	4.1
4.1	BACKO	GROUND	
4.2	DESIGN	N CRITERIA AND DETAILED ANALYSIS	
	4.2.1	Retention Lakes	
	4.2.2	Surface Ditches	
	4.2.3	Rainfall Intensity	
	4.2.4	Pipe Roughness	
	4.2.5	Results	
	4.2.6	Land Drainage Sewers	

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1.0 Watermain Stage 1 Available Fire Flows and Pipe Sizing
- Figure 2.0 Watermain Ultimate System Available Fire Flows and Pipe Sizing
- Figure 3.0 Wastewater Sewer Pipe Sizing and Catchments
- Figure 4.0 Land Drainage Sewer Pipe Sizing and Catchments
- Figure 5.0 Drainage Ditch Catchments and Ditch Lengths
- Figure 6.0 Retention Pond Catchments and Interconnecting Pipe
- Figure 7.0 St. Boniface Industrial Park Pond Levels

APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A Sanitary Sewer Design Spreadsheet
- APPENDIX B Detailed Rational Design Spreadsheet
- APPENDIX C Servicing Criteria Sheets



Introduction November 5, 2015

1.0 Introduction

This brief has been prepared for submission to the City of Winnipeg as a requirement of their development review and subsequent approval on behalf of the developer, the City of Winnipeg. The brief covers the design criteria and parameters utilized for the water, wastewater and land drainage systems. The required Servicing Criteria Forms are also included in this brief.

The proposed Light Industrial development encompasses approximately 96.42 hectares and is bounded:

- on the north by the City of Winnipeg Aqueduct Right of Way;
- on the west by Lagimodiere Boulevard;
- on the south by Symington Yards; and
- on the east by the Symington Yards Spur line, west of Plessis Road.

The development will be constructed in multiple phases based on demand.

Water November 5, 2015

2.0 Water

The Stage 1 water service area, including the required pipe sizing and available fire flows are shown in Figure 1.0 and the ultimate water service system is shown in Figure 2.0. Future phasing will be analyzed as development proceeds. The external feedpoints will be located at:

- the dead end watermain on Mazenod Road, approximately 180m south of Camiel Sys Street off a 300 mm watermain.
- the intersection of Camiel Sys Street and Ray Marius Road off a 300 mm watermain.

The developer will be responsible for installing all internal watermains.

The systems performance was analyzed under various operating conditions using EPA NET 2.0, a computer simulation program produced by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

For our analysis, the City of Winnipeg's Water and Waste Department provided us with simulated conditions at the above feedpoints. The simulated simultaneous feedpoint pressures used were 443 kPa (64.3 psi) and 447 kPa (64.8 psi) and are representative of peak hour demand conditions in the distribution system.

The following design criteria and assumptions were used in the analysis of the watermains:

- 1. Hazen-Williams coefficient of friction ("C" value) was assumed to be 120 for watermains with diameters of 200 mm or smaller and 130 for watermains with diameters larger than 200 mm.
- 2. The assumed average daily consumption for Light Industrial is 22,500 l/ha/day. However, there is a 6.417 Ha site (Parmalat) on the west side of Mazenod Road that have specified that their average consumption will be 50,000 l/hr (1,200,000 l/day). Based on, 6.417 Ha x 22,500 l/ha/day, the assumed average daily consumption would have been 144,382 l/day. This difference has been taken into account in the model.
- 3. The maximum day demand used was 1.6 x average day rate.
- 4. The peak hour multiplier used was 2.5. The 6.417 Ha Parmalat site has specified that their peak hour consumption rate would be 150,000 l/hr. This value was used in the model for the Parmalat node and no multiplier was considered. All other nodes in the model considered the assumed average daily consumption of 22,500 l/ha/day and the 2.5 peak hour multiplier.
- 5. Minimum design fire flows to be provided with maximum day demand on the system to be 250 I/s for Industrial or greater based on an FUS calculation that was performed for the Parmalat Site. The calculations were based the following FUS Criteria;
 - Fire Flow = 220xCxA^{0.50} = 19,000 l/m, C= 0.80 (non-combustible construction), A = 12,000 m² (Building size)



Water November 5, 2015

- The Fire Flow calculated above can be reduced by 15% because the fire hazard rating for the buildings contents are considered 'limited combustible'. There FF = 19,000 19,000x0.15 = 16,150 l/s
- We have assumed a 0% reduction for sprinklers as there will not be any and 0% increase for exposure because the buildings separated by more than 45 m.
- Therefore, the fire flow requirement for Parmalat is 16,150 l/m (267 l/s). We have runded down to 250 l/s as a requirement for the development because most other buildings will be smaller in scale.
- 6. For analysis purposes, the watermains were assumed to be at a constant elevation considering the subdivision's ground elevations vary slightly. The watermain system was tied into feedpoint nodes where simulated hydrant flow test data was provided by the City of Winnipeg.
- 7. Hydrant losses were accounted for by calculating available fire flows using 25 psi as opposed to 20 psi.

Based on the above conditions, the proposed watermain system can meet the required operating criteria of:

- A minimum pressure of 207 kPa (30 psi) under maximum hour consumption.
- The minimum fire flow specified above at 140 kPa (20 psi) with maximum day consumption.

The available fire flows at various locations throughout the development are shown in Figure 1.0 (Phase 1) and Figure 2.0 (Ultimate Development).

We are providing adequate fire flow protection within the right-of-ways around the industrial sites. It will be the responsibility of the design engineer for the sites to design an internal watermain system to provide adequate fire protection within the site.

Below are the average water demand calculations for the entire development:

- Light Industrial = 70.88 hectares x 22,500 I/day/hectare = 1,594,800 I/day
- Parmalat = 50,000 I/hour x 24 hours = 1,200,000 I/day

- Total = 2,794,800 l/day



Wastewater November 5, 2015

3.0 Wastewater

The proposed development is located in Area 21 and 22 of the Dugald Road Interceptor Sewer. Its wastewater sewer system is designed to flow to a proposed lift station located south of the existing aqueduct line on Mazenod Road. The sewage will be pumped into the existing wastewater sewer line on Mazenod Road and flow north into a sewer on Dugald Road. The sewage will then flow north to the North End Pollution Control Centre.

A schematic of the overall wastewater sewer system and sewer subcatchments are shown in Figure 3.0.

The design criteria and assumptions for the wastewater sewer system are:

- Peak Design Flow = (domestic sewage x peaking factor) + extraneous flow. The extraneous flows include groundwater infiltration and manhole cover inflow.
- 2. Peak Industrial Flow: 37,600 L/hectare/day. However, there is a 6.417 Ha site (Parmalat) on the west side of Mazenod Road that has specified that their average domestic sewage will be 70,000 l/hr. Applying a peaking factor of 1.67, which is consistent with the average to peak industrial ratio, the peak flow for the Parmalat site is 70,000 l/hr x 1.67 = 116,900 l/h. This difference has been taken into account in the sewer sizing spreadsheet.
- 3. Extraneous Flow
 - a) Groundwater Infiltration: 2200 L/hectare/day
 - b) Manhole Infiltration: 12 L/min/MH
 - Manhole Spacing: 1.0 Manholes/hectare (Industrial)
- Pipe selection was based on full flow pipe with Manning's n = 0.013 and minimum velocity of 0.60 m/sec. Adequate pipe cover and slope will be illustrated on the detailed design drawings.

The wastewater sewer system design for this subdivision for peak design flows is presented in Appendix A. The table shows that pipe sizes presented are sufficient to handle flows produced within this development.



Land Drainage November 5, 2015

4.0 Land Drainage

4.1 BACKGROUND

The proposed subdivision encompasses roughly 93.65 hectares and is located within South Transcona Drainage Area. The proposed subdivision (93.65 ha) and 0.03 hectares of runoff from the aqueduct bridges will flow to the proposed retention pond that will have a normal water level set at 227.4. The development will utilize land drainage sewers and surface ditches to convey water to the proposed retention pond. From there the water will outlet to the north to SRB 5-1 in the St. Boniface Industrial Park where it will be pumped to the Dugald ditch. The overall drainage plan is shown on Figure 4, Figure 5 and Figure 6.

4.2 DESIGN CRITERIA AND DETAILED ANALYSIS

4.2.1 Retention Lakes

The specific City of Winnipeg criteria guidelines applicable to the Industrial Retention Lakes are as follows:

- 1.80 m max pond rise for a 25 year storm (Industrial).
- Able to control the 100 year design storms.
- Maintain a drawdown time of 120 hours for the 100 year storm and 48 hours for the 25 year storm.
- Freeboard Elevation 0.90 m above High Water Level (HWL).
- 7:1 side slopes above normal water level and 4:1 side slopes below water.
- 2.5 m minimum water depth.
- Lake designed as naturalized; Full naturalization to be completed in Stage 2.

Some additional conditions imposed in this Development are as follows;

• Pond to be designed to accommodate two back to back 25 year design storms and the May 2010 historical event with assuming zero discharge.

SWMM 5.1 was used to simulate the City of Winnipeg 5, 25 and 100 year design storms, as well as the 2010 Bernie Wolfe historic rainfall event.

The retention lakes were modeled as storage nodes with 7H:1V side slopes above the NWL and 4H:1V below NWL. Runoff was modeled using a subcatchment for each ditch segment, a



Land Drainage November 5, 2015

subcatchment for each land drainage sewer outfall and a subcatchment for direct runoff to the proposed retention pond. See Figures 5 and 6 for reference.

Subcatchments were modeled using the following assumptions

- Industrial Land Use is 60% Impervious (Based on Parmalat Site)
- Manning's roughness for runoff:
 - Impervious n=0.015
 - Pervious n=0.20
- Depression Storage Impervious 3.0mm, Pervious 6mm.
- Pond and surrounding side slopes area is 90% Impervious.
- Horton infiltration Parameters for Pervious Surfaces are
 - \circ f_o = 75 mm/hr
 - \circ f_c = 3 mm/hr
 - \circ a = 4.14 hr⁻¹

The NWL elevation in the proposed retention pond will be maintained by the pump in the downstream lake (SRB 5-1) at 227.4 m.

4.2.2 Surface Ditches

This section details the parameters, and assumptions used in the design of the surface ditches. See Figure 5 for reference. The ditch system was designed using the modelling software "SWMM 5.1" and was based on the following criteria:

- Ditch friction factor (Manning's n) : 0.05
- Culvert friction factor (Manning's n): 0.013 (Ultra-Flow Culverts).
- The 1:25 year return frequency was used for the design of the ditch system, however the banks of the ditches were set at an elevation that contains the 100 year storm event.

Catchment Areas

Multiple lots were grouped together to produce catchment areas, these catchments areas are shown in Figure 6.0. The catchments were modelled using the same criteria mentioned in section 4.2.1.



Land Drainage November 5, 2015

The Surface Ditch cross-sections for the development are shown below;



4.2.3 Rainfall Intensity

The Rainfall / Intensity Values for the 5, 25 and 100 year storms were calculated by the following Intensity Duration Formulas:

$$i_{5} (mm/hr) = \frac{1199}{(t+8)^{0.828}}$$

$$i_{25} (mm/hr) = \frac{1842}{(t+9)^{0.842}}$$

$$i_{100} (mm/hr) = \frac{2318}{(t+8)^{0.856}}$$

Additionally, the May 2010 Bernie Wolfe historic rainfall record was input as a rainfall event to analyze the pond system's response to back to back storms.

4.2.4 Pipe Roughness

A Manning's roughness of n = 0.013 was assumed for all LDS and culvert piping. All culverts will be ultra-flow culvert that have an n = 0.013.



Land Drainage November 5, 2015

4.2.5 Results

The proposed retention pond response to the design storms is summarized in Table 4.2.4.1 and detailed plots of the proposed retention pond are shown in Figures 7.0.

Pond	Storm	HWL (m)	Rise (m)	Active Storage (m³)
	5 yr	228.05	0.65	31,770
Retention	25 yr	228.40	1.00	49,967
Pond	100 yr	228.61	1.21	61,509
	2010 Design Storm	229.20	1.80	95,645
	Back to Back 25 yr Storms	229.39	1.99	106,775

Table 4.2.4.1 – Proposed Retention Pond Response

Based on the maximum HWL elevation of 229.39 m (Back to Back 25 yr Storms), plus the prescribed free board of 0.90 m, the Freeboard elevation within the development will be set at 230.49 m.

Figure 5 lists the 25 yr high water levels at various locations along the rear lot ditches. These water levels should be used by future designers to determine their peak discharges from the individual parcels. The discharges to the rear lot ditches should be controlled to the 5 yr peak based on a c-value of 0.6 with two thirds of the lot area being directed to the rear lot ditch.

4.2.6 Land Drainage Sewers

This section details the design criteria, parameters, and assumptions used in the design of the land drainage sewers. The piped system was designed using the Rational Design method and was based on the following criteria:

- 5 year storm intensity equation I (mm/hr) = 1198/(t+8)^{0.828} ---> (MacLaren 1974).
- Pipe friction factor (Manning's n): 0.013 (Concrete and PVC).
- Minimum full flow velocity: 0.90 m/s.
- Maximum full flow velocity: 3.05 m/s.
- The 1:5 year return frequency was used for the design of the storm sewer system.
- Tailwater Condition at the pond = 227.85 m (0.45m above NWL)

Runoff Design Criteria

The rational design method was used to estimate rainfall runoff to be handled by the piped system:



Land Drainage November 5, 2015

Q = (1/360) CiA

Where:

Q	=	runoff (CMS)
С	=	runoff coefficient
i	=	rainfall intensity (mm/hr)

A = area (hectares)

The drainage catchments assume an industrial runoff with a C-value = 0.6.

System Design Criteria

Figure 4.0 shows the land drainage sewers and the detailed sub-catchment areas for St. Boniface Industrial Park.

The City of Winnipeg will be installing all the required land drainage sewers within the development. During a storm event, runoff will flow to the proposed retention pond and then flow through an interconnecting pipe to Lake 5-1 that's NWL is controlled by a pumping station discharges to Dugald Road Ditch.

The land drainage sewers in this subdivision were designed as surcharged pipe systems to accommodate the calculated runoffs. The surcharge level of the system was designed to be at a minimum of 0.15m below the proposed gutter elevations. The Manning's Equation with an N = 0.013 for concrete or PVC pipe was used to determine pipe sizes and hydraulic losses within the system. At the outfalls to the lakes, the hydraulic grade line was assumed to be 0.45 m above the NWL elevation to ensure that the pipes could discharge the peak flows as the lake rose during the storm event.

Appendix B contains the Detailed Rational Method Design spreadsheets for each of the outfalls to the retention lake.

FIGURES



Stantec Consulting Ltd. Suite 500, 311 Portage Avenue Winnipeg MB Canada R3B 2B9 Tel. 204.489.5900 Fax. 204.453.9012 www.stantec.com

- EXISTING WATERMAIN
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN
- NODE .
- 250 L/S AVAILABLE FIRE FLOW

Title WATERMAIN - STAGE 1 AVAILABLE FIRE FLOW AND PIPE SIZING



WATERMAIN - ULTIMATE SYSTEM AVAILABLE FIRE FLOWS AND PIPE SIZING

88 V:\1168\acfive\116809 2015/11/05 4:18 PM 8y:1

> Winnipeg MB Canada R3B 2B9 Tel. 204.489.5900 Fax. 204.453.9012 www.stantec.com

- NODE .
- 250 L/S AVAILABLE FIRE FLOW



ORIGINAL SHEET - ISO 11x17 - v14.06



Stantec Consulting Ltd. Suite 500, 311 Portage Avenue Winnipeg MB Canada R3B 2B9 Tel. 204.489.5900 Fax. 204.453.9012 www.stantec.com











ORIGINAL SHEET - ISO 11x17 - v14.06



Stantec Consulting Ltd. Suite 500, 311 Portage Avenue Winnipeg MB Canada R3B 2B9 Tel. 204.489.5900 Fax. 204.453.9012 www.stantec.com



LAND DRAINAGE SEWER CATCHMENTS

- PROPOSED LAND DRAINAGE SEWER

Notes LI = LIGHT INDUSTRIAL















Stantec Consulting Ltd. Suite 500, 311 Portage Avenue Winnipeg MB Canada R3B 2B9 Tel. 204.489.5900 Fax. 204.453.9012 www.stantec.com

Legend



Notes LI = LIGHT INDUSTRIAL





APPENDIX A

Sanitary Sewer Design Spreadsheet

WASTEWAT	R SEW	ER DES	GIGN SHEET									DESCRIPTION	N:																			
OWNER SUBDIV. NAM	City St. B	of Winni Ioniface	peg Industrial Park																													
	M.H	I. M.H.	No. of	No. of	Incremental	Incremental	Cumulative	Cumulative	Incremental	Incremental	Incremental	Cumulative	Peaking	Peak	Incrementa	Cumulative	Incremental	Cumulative	Cumulative	Ground Water	Manhole	Peak	Cum	Peak	Cum	Peak			Proposed Sewer			
Area No.	From	n To	SF Dwelling	MF Dwelling	SF Area	MF Area	SF Area	MF Area	SF Population	MF Population	Total Population	Population	Factor	Res Flow	Com. Area	Com. Area	Ind. Area	Ind. Area	Total Area	Infiltration	Inflow	Com. Flow	Com. Flow	Ind. Flow	Ind. Flow	Design Flow	Dia.	Slope	Crit. Slope	Des.Slope	Capacity	Velocity
	-	-	Units	Units	(na)	(na)	(na)	(na)	(Persons)	(Persons)	(Persons)	(Persons)	M	(1/5)	(ma)	(ma)	(na)	(ma)	(na)	(1/S)	(1/8)	(VS)	(08)	(1/5)	(1/8)	(1/5)	(mm)	76	76	76	Q. (1/S)	(m/s)
A	1	2	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0	0	0	0	4.500	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	34.5190	34.5190	34.5190	0.88	6.90	0.00	0.00	15.02	15.02	22.80	250	0.25%	1.43%	0.25%	29.73	0.61
в	2	4	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0	0	0	0	4.500	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	7.2080	41.7270	41.7270	1.06	8.35	0.00	0.00	3.14	18.15	27.56	250	0.30%	1.43%	0.30%	32.57	0.66
с	3	4	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0	0	0	0	4.500	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	31.7830	31.7830	31.7830	0.81	6.36	0.00	0.00	13.83	13.83	20.99	250	0.25%	1.43%	0.25%	29.73	0.61
D	4	5	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0	0	0	0	4.500	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	4.3260	77.8360	77.8360	1.98	15.57	0.00	0.00	1.88	33.86	51.41	375	0.15%	1.25%	0.15%	67.91	0.61
E (Parmala	t) 5	6	0	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0	0	0	0	4.500	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	6.4170	84.2530	84.2530	2.15	16.85	0.00	0.00	32.47	66.33	85.32	450	0.12%	1.17%	0.12%	98.76	0.62
Notes:				1	1	1	1										1	1	1						1		1					
	Single Family Design Flow Factor, F = 0.003125 Viseo/person											Peak Commerical Flow	1	1	r	28100		L/day/Ha	0.325			L/sec/Ha	Calculated By : Checked By :		K. DeVisser D. Mages							
1:	US Perso 29 Dwel 2.3 Perso	ons per U lings per ons per U	nit (Single Family Hectare (Single F nit (Multi Family)) family)						60	I. Gal/person/day Harmon Factor P	= f=1+(14/(4+(p)^.5)	270 P = thousa 2<=P!<=4.5	1/person/day nds of persor 5	s	Peak Industrial Flow	I	I	I	37600		L/day/Ha	0.435			L/sec/Ha	File:		Uct. 6, 2015 116809351			
7	13 Dwel	lings per	Hectare (Multi Fa	mily)						Infiltration Factor Manhole Inflow Manhole Quantit	: Fi=		2200 12 1	l/Ha/day l/MH/min MH/Ha									based on Parma	alat provided avg	70,000 l/h x 1.67	peaking factor =	116,900 l/h = 32.47	l/s as provide	d by parmalat			
											,					-																

Page 1 of 1

APPENDIX B

Detailed Rational Design Spreadsheets

St. Boniface Industrial Park - Outfall #1 SPREADSHEET FOR SEWER DESIGN BY RATIONAL METHOD

LISSINGLY FOR DEVICE DEGIGIN DE INATIONAL METHOD

Date: 28-Sep-15 Design By: KD

						l=1198/(t+8) ^{.828}	(MacLaren	1974)			N =	0.013			Design S=	0.00488169								
From	То	Area ha	"c"	Axc	Accum A x c	Time Conc	" I " mm/hr	" Q " m³/sec	Pipe Diam (mm)	Target Hyd Drop	Design Hyd Drop	v m/sec	L metres	Time min.	Top of Water High (m)	Low TARGET (m)	Low DESIGN	Ground Elev. @ U/S MH m	Actual HGL @ U/S MH m	Actual HGL @ D/S MH m	HGL Depth Below Gutter m	Minimum Pipe Slope %	" Q-full " m³/sec	Q-des / Q-full
A1	A2	3.322	0.6	1.99	1.99	10.0000	109.50	0.607	900	0.93	0.21	0.95	190.0	3.32	232.10	231.17	231.89	232.10	231.25	231.04	0.85	0.1	0.2610	2.32
A2	A3	4.022	0.6	2.41	4.41	13.3203	95.18	1.166	900	0.88	0.75	1.83	180.6	1.64	231.17	230.29	231.14	231.90	231.04	230.29	0.86	0.1	0.2610	4.47
A3	A4	3.278	0.6	1.97	6.37	14.9626	89.51	1.586	1050	0.78	0.54	1.83	159.4	1.45	230.29	229.51	230.60	231.50	230.29	229.75	1.21	0.08	0.4325	3.67
A4	A5	3.216	0.6	1.93	8.30	16.4133	85.08	1.964	1050	0.76	0.81	2.27	155.7	1.14	229.51	228.75	229.79	231.30	229.75	228.95	1.55	0.08	0.4325	4.54
A5	A6	3.844	0.6	2.31	10.61	17.5575	81.91	2.416	1050	0.38	0.61	2.79	77.6	0.46	228.75	228.37	229.19	231.70	228.95	228.34	2.75	0.08	0.4325	5.59
A7 A8	A8 A6	1.123 0.791	0.6 0.6	0.67 0.47	0.67 1.15	10.0000 11.9217	109.50 100.68	0.205 0.321	450 450	0.73 0.18	0.77 0.47	1.29 2.02	148.7 37.0	1.92 0.31	231.70 230.97	230.97 230.79	230.93 230.46	231.70 231.70	229.58 228.81	228.81 228.34	2.12 2.89	0.26 0.26	0.0263 0.0263	7.80 12.22
A6	END	0.000	0.6	0.00	11.76	18.0211	80.70	2.638	1200	0.52	0.49	2.33	107.3	0.77	228.37	227.85	228.70	232.20	228.34	227.85	3.86	0.07	0.6902	3.82
												SUM L =	870.6					Lower Critical H	GL by	0.85	<u>.</u>			

Target = T.O.W. (227.40+0.45=227.85)

Note: Rows are coloured to assist in differentiate between branches off the critical path

<u>St. Boniface Industrial Park - Outfall #2</u> SPREADSHEET FOR SEWER DESIGN BY RATIONAL METHOD

E. SOULET FOR GEVER DEGIGIN DE RATIONAL METHOD

Date: 28-Sep-15 Design By: KD

						I=1198/(t+8) ^{.828}	(MacLaren	1974)			N =	0.013			Design S=	0.00459928								
From	То	Area ha	"c"	Axc	Accum A x c	Time Conc	" I " mm/hr	" Q " m³/sec	Pipe Diam (mm)	Target Hyd Drop	Design Hyd Drop	v m/sec	L metres	Time min.	Top of Water High (m)	Low TARGET (m)	Low DESIGN	Ground Elev. @ U/S MH m	Actual HGL @ U/S MH m	Actual HGL @ D/S MH m	HGL Depth Below Gutter m	Minimum Pipe Slope %	" Q-full " m³/sec	Q-des / Q-full
B1	B2	2.411	0.6	1.45	1.45	10.0000	109.50	0.440	750	0.87	0.30	1.00	190.0	3.18	232.20	231.33	231.90	232.20	231.43	231.13	0.77	0.13	0.1435	3.07
B2	В3	2.144	0.6	1.29	2.73	13.1770	95.71	0.727	750	0.45	0.42	1.65	97.4	0.99	231.33	230.88	231.49	231.90	231.13	230.72	0.77	0.13	0.1435	5.07
B7	B3	0.763	0.6	0.46	0.46	10.0000	109.50	0.139	300	0.12	0.56	1.97	26.9	0.23	232.10	231.98	231.54	232.10	231.28	230.72	0.82	0.45	0.0068	20.39
B3	В4	0.000	0.6	0.00	3.19	14.1632	92.17	0.818	750	0.52	0.61	1.85	112.5	1.01	230.88	230.36	230.88	232.60	230.72	230.11	1.88	0.13	0.1435	5.70
В4	B5	3.259	0.6	1.96	5.15	15.1763	88.82	1.271	900	1.10	1.18	2.00	240.0	2.00	230.36	229.26	229.70	231.90	230.11	228.93	1.79	0.1	0.2610	4.87
В5	B6	2.839	0.6	1.70	6.85	17.1789	82.93	1.579	1050	0.96	0.70	1.82	208.1	1.90	229.26	228.30	229.00	231.70	228.93	228.23	2.77	0.08	0.4325	3.65
B6	END	1.682	0.6	1.01	7.86	19.0807	78.08	1.706	1050	0.45	0.38	1.97	97.8	0.83	228.30	227.85	228.62	231.30	228.23	227.85	3.07	0.08	0.4325	3.94
												SUM L =	945.8					Lower Critical H	GL by	0.77				

Target = T.O.W. (227.40+0.45=227.85)

Note: Rows are coloured to assist in differentiate between branches off the critical path

APPENDIX C

Servicing Criteria Sheets

CITY OF WINNIPEG WATER AND WASTE DEPARTMENT

SERVICING CRITERIA

TO BE SUBMITTED AS PART OF APPROVAL PROCESS WITH SERVICING PLANS

LOCATION: St. Boniface Industrial Park

DRAWING REFERENCES: Figures 1.0 – 7.0, Appendix A - C

SUBMITTED BY (FIRM): <u>Stantec Consulting Inc.</u> DATE: <u>Nov 2015</u>

WATER:

SERVICE AREA: (See Figure 1.0)

Present: <u>7.66</u>ha Ultimate: <u>84.25</u>ha

FEEDPOINT LOCATIONS: Plug on Mazenod Road, south of Camiel Sys Street Plug on Ray Marius Road at Camiel Sys Street

FEEDPOINT DESIGN PRESSURE (max day demand conditions): -440 kPa and 444 kPa

DESIGN FLOWS:

	<u>Average</u>		<u>Peak</u>		<u>Fire</u>	
Residential (single family)		L/c/d		L/c/d		L/s
Residential (multi family)		L/c/d		L/c/d		L/s
Commercial		L/d/ha		L/c/d		L/s
Industrial	22,500	L/c/d		L/c/d	250	L/s

MINIMUM SYSTEM PRESSURES:

Max. Hour: <u>207 (30)</u> kPa (psi)

Fire: <u>140 (20) kPa (</u>psi)

WASTEWATER

SERVICE AREA: (See Figure 2.0)

Present:	7.66	ha
Ultimate:	84.55	ha

DESIGN FLOWS:

	<u>Average</u>		Peak
Residential		L/c/d	Harmon's Peaking Factor
Commercial		L/c/d	28,100 L/hectare/day
Industrial		L/c/d	37,600 L/hectare/day

EXTRANEOUS FLOW ALLOWANCE:

Ground Water Infiltration: <u>2200 L/hectare/day</u> Manhole Inflow : <u>12</u> l/mh/min

GENERATED FLOWS FROM TOTAL SYSTEM:

Maximum: <u>85.32</u> I/s

LIFT STATION:

N/A

Note: See attached Appendix A for detailed computations.

LAND DRAINAGE

SERVICE AREA: (See Figure 4.0, 5.0 & 6.0)

Present: <u>9.85</u>ha

Ultimate: <u>93.58</u>ha

PIPE SYSTEM:

Method Used: Rational X , Hydrograph .

Design Storm: (State formula used) $i = \frac{1198}{(t+8)}^{0.828}$ (MacLaren 1974)

Design Hydraulic Grade Line - Minimum distance below gutter (Degree of surcharge): <u>Top of water at minimum 0.15m below gutter elevation</u>

Describe any runoff retardation devices employed: Retention Pond

Runoff Coefficients or % Imperviousness:

	Rationa	al Method	-	Hydrograph Method
	Coefficient	Inlet Time		% Imperviousness
Single Family Dwelling				
- conventional				
- zero lot line				
Multiple Family				
Apartments				
Commercial				
Parks				
Industrial	0.60	10	Min.	

-2-

See Detailed Rational Spreadsheets for maximum generated flows from each outfall (Appendix B)

All Industrial Sites are to be controlled to a C-Value of 0.60.

-3-

RETENTION SYSTEM:

Rainfall Formula: 25-year storm; $i = \frac{1842}{(t+9)^{0.842}}$ (MacLaren 1974) <u>100-year storm; $i = \frac{2318}{(t+8)^{0.8555}}$ (Acres 1978)</u>

Normal Water Level (Elevation): 227.400 m

For Selected Rainfall Storms: See results in report section.

SUBMITTED BY: D. Mages, C.E.T, P.Eng.

DATE: November 2015

SEAL:





b v:\1168\active\116809351\0500_reports\municipal servicing report\criteria.oct2615.doc