APPENDIX 'F'

GEOTECHNICAL REPORT



AECOM 99 Commerce Drive Winnipeg, MB, Canada R3P 0Y7 www.aecom.com

204 477 5381 tel 204 284 2040 fax

February 10, 2016

Mr. Kevin Rae AECOM Canada Ltd. 99 Commerce Drive Winnipeg, Manitoba R3P 0Y7

Dear Mr. Rae:

Project No: 60481153 (402) Regarding: Local Streets Package 16-R-06 – Contract 1 – Stack Street and Acheson Drive

This report summarizes the results of the subsurface investigation completed for the proposed 2016 Local Street Renewals of Stack Street and Acheson Drive. The objective of the investigation was to provide information related to the existing pavement and soil stratigraphy underneath.

Two test holes (TH16-01 and TH16-02) were drilled along Stack Street and three test holes (TH16-04 to TH16-06) along Acheson Drive. The approximate location of the test holes are shown on Figure 01 for Stack Street and on Figure 02 for Acheson Drive in Appendix A.

Pavement coring was completed using a hollow 150 mm diameter diamond core drill bit. Core samples were recovered and logged at AECOM's Materials Laboratory. Photos of core samples are included in Appendix A.

The test hole drilling was completed by Maple Leaf Drilling Ltd. using a truck mounted drill rig equipped with 125 mm diameter solid stem augers. The test holes were advanced to a depth of 2.1 m below road surface. During the drilling, AECOM personnel observed subsurface conditions and visually classified the soil. Other pertinent information such as groundwater and drilling conditions were also recorded. Disturbed soil samples from auger cuttings retrieved during the field investigation were transported to AECOM's Materials Laboratory for further testing and classification.

The laboratory soil testing consisted of Moisture Content determination, Atterberg Limits and Grain Size Distribution tests. The test results are recorded on the test hole logs and in the laboratory testing summary Table 01, both included in Appendix A.



Mr. Kevin Rae Page 2 February 10, 2016

Sincerely, AECOM Canada Ltd.

fel

Aaron Kaluzniak, EIT Geotechnical Engineering

Reviewed by:

Zeyad Shukri, M.Sc., P.Eng. Senior Geotechnical Engineer



Statement of Qualifications and Limitations

The attached Report (the "Report") has been prepared by AECOM Canada Ltd. ("Consultant") for the benefit of the client ("Client") in accordance with the agreement between Consultant and Client, including the scope of work detailed therein (the "Agreement").

The information, data, recommendations and conclusions contained in the Report (collectively, the "Information"):

- is subject to the scope, schedule, and other constraints and limitations in the Agreement and the qualifications contained in the Report (the "Limitations");
- represents Consultant's professional judgement in light of the Limitations and industry standards for the preparation of similar reports;
- may be based on information provided to Consultant which has not been independently verified;
- has not been updated since the date of issuance of the Report and its accuracy is limited to the time period and circumstances in which it was collected, processed, made or issued;
- must be read as a whole and sections thereof should not be read out of such context;
- was prepared for the specific purposes described in the Report and the Agreement; and
- in the case of subsurface, environmental or geotechnical conditions, may be based on limited testing and on the assumption that such conditions are uniform and not variable either geographically or over time.

Consultant shall be entitled to rely upon the accuracy and completeness of information that was provided to it and has no obligation to update such information. Consultant accepts no responsibility for any events or circumstances that may have occurred since the date on which the Report was prepared and, in the case of subsurface, environmental or geotechnical conditions, is not responsible for any variability in such conditions, geographically or over time.

Consultant agrees that the Report represents its professional judgement as described above and that the Information has been prepared for the specific purpose and use described in the Report and the Agreement, but Consultant makes no other representations, or any guarantees or warranties whatsoever, whether express or implied, with respect to the Report, the Information or any part thereof.

Without in any way limiting the generality of the foregoing, any estimates or opinions regarding probable construction costs or construction schedule provided by Consultant represent Consultant's professional judgement in light of its experience and the knowledge and information available to it at the time of preparation. Since Consultant has no control over market or economic conditions, prices for construction labour, equipment or materials or bidding procedures, Consultant, its directors, officers and employees are not able to, nor do they, make any representations, warranties or guarantees whatsoever, whether express or implied, with respect to such estimates or opinions, or their variance from actual construction costs or schedules, and accept no responsibility for any loss or damage arising therefrom or in any way related thereto. Persons relying on such estimates or opinions do so at their own risk.

Except (1) as agreed to in writing by Consultant and Client; (2) as required by-law; or (3) to the extent used by governmental reviewing agencies for the purpose of obtaining permits or approvals, the Report and the Information may be used and relied upon only by Client.

Consultant accepts no responsibility, and denies any liability whatsoever, to parties other than Client who may obtain accepts to the Report or the Information for any injury, loss or damage suffered by such parties arising from their use of, reliance upon, or decisions or actions based on the Report or any of the Information ("improper use of the Report"), except to the extent those parties have obtained the prior written consent of Consultant to use and rely upon the Report and the Information. Any injury, loss or damages arising from improper use of the Report shall be borne by the party making such use.

AECOM: 2012-01-06 © 2009-2012 AECOM Canada Ltd. All Rights Reserved.

F3. GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REQUIREMENTS FOR PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS (SEPTEMBER 2015)

- F3.1 Fieldwork
 - (a) Clear all underground services at each test-hole location.
 - (b) On most projects, test-holes are required every 50 metres with a minimum of three (3) test holes per Project Location. For street projects greater than 500 metres, test holes may be taken every 100 m. More or fewer test-holes may be required depending upon known Site conditions – confirm with the Project Manager.
 - (c) Record location of test-hole (offset from curb, distance from cross street and house number).
 - (d) Drill 150 mm-diameter cores in pavement.
 - (e) Drill 125 mm-diameter test-holes into fill materials and subgrade.
 - (f) If a service trench backfilled with granular materials is encountered, another hole shall be drilled to define the existing sub-surface conditions.
 - (g) Test-holes shall be drilled to depth of 2 m ±150 mm below surface of the pavement.
 - (h) Recover pavement core sample and representative samples of soil (fill materials, pavement structure materials and subgrade).
 - (i) Measure and record pavement section exposed in the test-hole (thickness of concrete or asphalt and different types of pavement structure materials).
 - (j) Pavement structure materials to be identified as crushed limestone or granular fill and the maximum aggregate size of the material (20 mm, 50 mm or 150 mm).
 - (k) Log soil profile for the subgrade.
 - (I) Representative samples of soil must be obtained at the following depths below the bottom of the pavement structure materials 0.1 m, 0.4 m, 0.7 m, 1.0 m, 1.3 m, 1.6 m, etc. Ensure a sample is obtained from each soil type encountered in the test-hole.
 - (m) Make note of any water seepage into the test-hole.
 - (n) Backfill test-hole with native materials and additional granular fill, if required. Patch pavement surface with hot mix asphalt or high strength durable concrete mix.
 - (o) Return core sample from the pavement and soil samples to the laboratory.
- F3.2 Lab Work
 - (a) Test all soil samples for moisture content.
 - (b) Photograph core samples recovered from the pavement surface.
 - (c) Conduct tests for plasticity index and hydrometer analysis on selected soil samples which are between 0.5 m and 1 m below top of pavement (this is the sub-grade on which the pavement and sub-base will be built). The selection will be based upon visual classification and moisture content test results, with a minimum of one sample of each soil type per street to be tested.
 - (d) Prepare test-hole logs and classify subgrade (based on hydrometer) as follows:

< 30% silt	 classify as clay
30% - 50% silt	 classify as silty clay
50% - 70% silt	 classify as clayey silt
> 70% silt	- classify as silt

- (e) For Pavement Rehabilitations and Mill and Fill Pavement Rehabilitation Method pavement cores may be required. Contact the City's Project Manager to confirm requirements.
- (f) For any uncertain situations and/or locations, or clarification of these requirements, contact the Project Manager.

AECOM Canada Ltd.

GENERAL STATEMENT

NORMAL VARIABILITY OF SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The scope of the investigation presented herein is limited to an investigation of the subsurface conditions as to suitability for the proposed project. This report has been prepared to aid in the evaluation of the site and to assist the engineer in the design of the facilities. Our description of the project represents our understanding of the significant aspects of the project relevant to the design and construction of earth work, foundations and similar. In the event of any changes in the basic design or location of the structures as outlined in this report or plan, we should be given the opportunity to review the changes and to modify or reaffirm in writing the conclusions and recommendations of this report.

The analysis and recommendations presented in this report are based on the data obtained from the borings and test pit excavations made at the locations indicated on the site plans and from other information discussed herein. This report is based on the assumption that the subsurface conditions everywhere are not significantly different from those disclosed by the borings and excavations. However, variations in soil conditions may exist between the excavations and, also, general groundwater levels and conditions may fluctuate from time to time. The nature and extent of the variations may not become evident until construction. If subsurface conditions differ from those encountered in the exploratory borings and excavations, are observed or encountered during construction, or appear to be present beneath or beyond excavations, we should be advised at once so that we can observe and review these conditions and reconsider our recommendations where necessary.

Since it is possible for conditions to vary from those assumed in the analysis and upon which our conclusions and recommendations are based, a contingency fund should be included in the construction budget to allow for the possibility of variations which may result in modification of the design and construction procedures.

In order to observe compliance with the design concepts, specifications or recommendations and to allow design changes in the event that subsurface conditions differ from those anticipated, we recommend that all construction operations dealing with earth work and the foundations be observed by an experienced soils engineer. We can be retained to provide these services for you during construction. In addition, we can be retained to review the plans and specifications that have been prepared to check for substantial conformance with the conclusions and recommendations contained in our report.

EXPLANATION OF FIELD & LABORATORY TEST DATA

					UMA	USCS		Laborator	y Classification Crite	eria
		Descript	ion		Log Symbols	Classification	Fines (%)	Grading	Plasticity	Notes
		CLEAN GRAVELS	Well grade sandy grave or no	d gravels, ls, with little fines	20	GW	0-5	C _U > 4 1 < C _C < 3		
	GRAVELS (More than 50% of	(Little or no fines)	Poorly grad sandy grave or no	ed gravels, ls, with little fines		GP	0-5	Not satisfying GW requirements		Dual symbols if 5-
OILS	fraction of gravel size)	DIRTY GRAVELS	Silty gravels grav	, silty sandy /els	NN	GM	> 12		Atterberg limits below "A" line or W _P <4	12% fines. Dual symbols if above "A" line and
AINED SC	9	(With some fines)	Clayey grav sandy g	rels, clayey jravels		GC	> 12		Atterberg limits above "A" line or W _P <7	4<₩ _P <7
ARSE GR		CLEAN SANDS	Well grade gravelly sand or no	ed sands, Is, with little fines	0.0	sw	0-5	C _U > 6 1 < C _C < 3		$C_U = \frac{D_{60}}{D_{10}}$
Ś	SANDS (More than 50% of	(Little or no fines)	Poorly grad gravelly sand or no	led sands, ls, with little fines	000	SP	0-5	Not satisfying SW requirements		$C_C = \frac{(D_{30})^2}{D_{10} x D_{60}}$
	coarse fraction of sand size)	DIRTY SANDS	Silty sa sand-silt	ands, mixtures		SM	> 12		Atterberg limits below "A" line or W _P <4	
		(With some fines)	Clayey sand-clay	sands, mixtures		SC	> 12		Atterberg limits above "A" line or W _P <7	
	SILTS (Below 'A' Vine	W _L <50	Inorganic si clayey fine s slight pl	ilts, silty or sands, with asticity		ML				
	negligible organic content)	W _L >50	Inorganic silts of high plasticity			MH				
SOILS	CLAYS	WL <30	Inorganic o clays, sand low plasticity	clays silty ly clays of lean clays		CL				
GRAINED	(Above A line negligible organic	30 <w<sub>L<50</w<sub>	Inorganie cla clays of r plast	ys and silty medium icity		CI			Classification is Based upon Plasticity Chart	
FINE	content)	W _L >50	Inorganic cla plasticity,	ays of high fat clays	\square	СН				
	ORGANIC SILTS & CLAYS	₩L<50	Organic s organic silty plast	silts and clays of low icity		OL				
	(Below 'A' line)	W _L >50	Organic cla plasti	ys of high icity	<u>Ti</u>	он				
	GHLY ORG	AINIC SOILS	Peat and ot organic	her highly soils		Pt	V Classi	on Post fication Limit	Strong colour o fibrous	r odour, and often s texture
		Asphalt			Till					
		Concrete		B (Undit	edrock fferentiated)				AEC	COM
×	8	Fill		B (Lir	edrock mestone)					

When the above classification terms are used in this report or test hole logs, the designated fractions may be visually estimated and not measured.

NOT USED TO CLASSIFY SUBGRADE. REFER TO CITY OF WINNIPEG SPECIFICATIONS FOR GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REDUILEMENTS FOR PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS (SEPTEMBER, 2015)



IVVI

TD

FOR

VZEU

CITY

10

oF

GEOTECHNICAL

WINNIPEG

CLASSIFY SUBGRADE. REFER

SPECIFIC ATIONS

LEGEND OF SYMBOLS

Laboratory and field tests are identified as follows:

- undrained shear strength (kPa) derived from unconfined compression testing. qu
- Tv _ undrained shear strength (kPa) measured using a torvane
- pp undrained shear strength (kPa) measured using a pocket penetrometer.

undrained shear strength (kPa) measured using a lab vane. Lv -

- Fv undrained shear strength (kPa) measured using a field vane. _
- bulk unit weight (kN/m³). γ -
- SPT Standard Penetration Test. Recorded as number of blows (N) from a 63.5 kg hammer dropped 0.76 m (free fall) which is required to drive a 51 mm O.D. Raymond type sampler 0.30 m into the soil.
- DPPT -Drive Point Pentrometer Test. Recorded as number of blows from a 63.5 kg hammer dropped 0.76 m (free fall) which is required to drive a 50 mm drive point 0.30 m into the soil.
- moisture content (W_L, W_P) w

The undrained shear strength (Su) of a cohesive soil can be related to its consistency as follows:

Su (kPa)	CONSISTENCY
<12	very soft
12 – 25	soft
25 – 50	medium or firm
50 – 100	stiff
100 – 200	very stiff
200	hard

The resistance (N) of a non-cohesive soil can be related to compactness condition as follows

N – BLOWS/0.30 m	COMPACTNESS
0 - 4	very loose
4 - 10	loose
10 - 30	compact
30 - 50	dense
50	very dense



Appendix A

- Test Hole Location Plans
- Test Hole Logs
- Summary of Laboratory Soil Testing
- Pavement Core Photographs

Last saved by: CAPPELLOJ(2016-01-05) Last Plotted: 2016-01-06 Filename: P:\60481153\900-WORK\910-CAD\20-SHEETS\C\STACK\REF-IN\60481153-FIG-01-STACK_2016.DWG



2015 Local Streets Package Stack Street City of Winnipeg

FIGURE 01: TEST HOLES LOCATION PLAN





2015 Local Streets Package Acheson Dive City of Winnipeg

FIGURE 02: TEST HOLES LOCATION PLAN



PRO	JECT	: 2	2016 Local Streets Pkg 16-R-06 Contract 1 CL	IEN	T: Ci	ity c	f Wir	nnipe	g					TE	STHOLE NO: TH16-01	1
LOC		N:	Stack Street; 40 m N of Roblin Blvd, 3 m E of W Curb, Apart	tme	nt Pa	rkin	g Lot	Entr	ance	;				PR	OJECT NO.: 6048115	3
CON		יו כ דע		SPI I	OD: T.SPO	<u>125</u> ∩N	mm	SSA	א וו וג							
DEPTH (m)	SOIL SYMBOL		SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE #	♦ 5 0 16	PENE	TRATIC K Beck mamic tandarc ows/30 40 otal Un (kN/m 18 MC	N TES er Cone I Pen 0mm) 60 it Wt I 19 Liq	TS ♦ Test) ♦ 80 10 10 20 2 uid		INED SH + Tor × Q □ Lab △ Pock ● Fielc (k	IEAR ST vane + U/2 × Vane ⊑ et Pen. / I Vane €	RENGTH	COMMENTS	DEPTH
0	414	7 7 N	Concrete (190 mm)				20	40	60	80 10	<u> </u>	50 1	100 1	150 200	J	
-			SAND and GRAVEL - clayey - brown, moist CLAY - some silt, trace sand, trace gravel, trace silt inclusions - brown, moist - high plasticity		G127 G128			•								-
- 1 - - -			- frozen to 0.9 m - firm below 0.9 m		G129 G130							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			(G130): Gravel: 2.5%, Sand: 6.3%, Silt: 16.5%, Clay 74.7%	1- - -
E THL_ACHESON-STACK_60481153.GPJ UMA WINN.GDT 2/9/16			END OF TEST HOLE AT 2.1 m IN CLAY. NOTES: 1. No sloughing. 2. No seepage. 3. Test hole backfilled with auger cuttings and bentonite seal, and 150 mm asphalt patch at surface.		G132			•								2 -
LOG OF TEST H(AECOM			LC RE PF	GGE VIEV	D BY VED E CT EN	Ma BY: A	tt Lote aron EER:	cki Kaluzn Kevin	iak Rae		Compl	ETION DEPTH: 2.13 m ETION DATE: 1/20/16 Page	1 of 1

PRO	JECT	2016 Local Streets Pkg 16-R-06 Contract 1	CLIE	ENT	T: Ci	ity o	f Winr	nipeg						TE	STHOLE NO: TH16-0	2
LOCA	ATION	I: Stack Street; 100 m N of Roblin Blvd, 3 m W of E Curb, /	Apar	tme	ent Pa	arki	ng Lot	Entr	ance	Э				PR	OJECT NO .: 6048115	53
CON	TRAC	TOR: Maple Leaf Drilling Ltd.	MET	THC)D:	125	<u>mm S</u>	SA					1	EL	EVATION (m):	
DEPTH (m)		SOIL DESCRIPTION	<u> X</u> ISI	SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE # 00	◆ S 0 16	PENETF * Dyn PT (Star (Blov) 20 4 Tot (17 18 Plastic 20 4	RATION Becker amic C ndard I ws/300 0 6 al Unit (kN/m ³) 3 19 MC 0 • a	ULK I TEST Sone Pen Te mm) 0 8 Wt ■ 2 Liqui	S est) ♦ 0 100 0 21	UNDRA	INED SF + Tor × Q □ Lab △ Pock ● Field (k	JNO R HEAR ST Vane + U/2 × Vane E et Pen. J Vane 6 Pa)	IRENGTH		DEPTH
0	40	Concrete (180 mm)					20 4	0 6	8-0	80 100		50 1		150 200		
-		SAND - some gravel, some silt, trace clay - brown, frozen, low plasticity CLAY - silty, trace sand, trace silt inclusions - brown, moist - intermediate to high plasticity		-	G134	••••									(G134): Gravel: 15.8%, Sand 63.9%, Silt: 12.7%, Clay: 7.6%	- - - -
-					G135 G136		•					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-
1 		- frozen to 1.1 m - firm below 1.1 m			G137							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1-
1.GDT 2/9/16 					G139							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				2-
OLE THL_ACHESON-STACK_60481153.GPJ UMA WIN		END OF TEST HOLE AT 2.1 m IN CLAY. NOTES: 1. No sloughing. 2. No seepage. 3. Test hole backfilled with auger cuttings and bentonite seal, and 150 mm asphalt patch at surface.)		G140			•								- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
E TEST						LC	GGED	BY:	Matt	Lote	cki	:	:	COMPL	ETION DEPTH: 2.13 m	
0 00		AECOM				RE		-D B) T FN/	r: Aa GIN⊏	aron I FR:	∢aluzn Kevin	lak Rae	(COMPL	ETION DATE: 1/20/16	1 of 1
						L L L	2010					1.40			ਾ ਕਪੂਰ	1 01 1

PROJ	ECT:	2016 Local Streets Pkg 16-R-06 Contract 1	CLI	EN	r: Ci	ty of	Winr	nipeg						TE	STHOLE NO: TH16-0	4
LOCA	TION	: Acheson Drive; 40 m N of Dohaney Crescent, 3 m	W of E Cu	urb,	#63	Ach	eson	Drive	9					PR	OJECT NO.: 6048115	53
CONT		TOR: Maple Leaf Drilling Ltd.	ME	THO	<u>)D:</u>	125	mm S	SA						EL	EVATION (m):	
DEPTH (m)	SOIL SYMBOL			SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE # 045	◆ SI 0	PENETF # I O Dyna PT (Star (Blov 20 4 Tot (7 18 Plastic 20 4	Beckel amic C ndard ws/300 0 6 al Unit kN/m ³ 3 19 MC 0 6	ULK N TEST r ★ Cone < Pen To Dmm) 50 & t Wt ■ 9 2 Liqu 50 4 Cone	S ≥ est) ♦ 80 100 0 2' id 80 100	UNDRA	INED SH + Tor × Q □ Lab △ Pocku � Field (k	INO RE IEAR STI vane + U/2 × Vane □ et Pen. 2 I Vane ₽ Pa)			DEPTH
0	<u>4</u> .4.	Asphalt (20 mm)	/				20 4	0 - 6	<u>iu -</u> 8	30 100		<u>50 1</u>	<u>00 1</u>	50 200		
-	A A A	Concrete (160 mm)										; ; ;	; ; ;			
-		SAND and GRAVEL - brown - non plastic											-			
-		CLAY - silty, trace sand, trace silt inclusions			G141	•							- - - -			
-		- brown, moist - intermediate to high plasticity										-	-	-		
-) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
-					G142											
-									•				-			
-																
_					G143								-			
1																1 -
		- frozen to 1.1 m														
		- firm below 1.1 m										-	-			
-					G144											
-																
-																
-					G145											
-																
-														•		
-					G146			•		-			-			
9/16							-									
2												: 	: 			2-
INN O								_		-		-	-	-		
MA W		END OF TEST HOLE AT 2.1 m IN CLAY.			G147			•								
		NOTES: 1. No sloughing.														
153.6		 No seepage. Test hole backfilled with auger cuttings and bentonite seal, a 	nd 150													
60481		mm asphalt patch at surface.										: : :	:			
ACK										-						
-NON-S														• • •		
CHES									· · ·							
OLE -													: : : :	;		
H 3									Mett	بلم ا	ok:					
S OF T		AECOM				RE	/IEWE	ED B	Y: Aa	aron I	cki Kaluzn	iak		OMPL	ETION DEPTH: 2.13 M ETION DATE: 1/20/16	
LOG				PROJECT ENGINEER: Kevin Rae								Page 1 of 1				

PRO	ECT:	2016 Local Streets Pkg 16-R-06 Contract 1	CLI	ENT	: Ci	ty o	f Win	nipe	g					TE	STHOLE NO: TH16-0	5		
LOCA	TION	Acheson Drive and Dohaney Crescent Intersection	_											PR	OJECT NO.: 6048115	53		
CON		COR: Maple Leaf Drilling Ltd.	ME	THO	D: '	125	mm \$	<u>SSA</u>					1	ELI	EVATION (m):			
DEPTH (m)	SOIL SYMBOL			SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE # 045	◆ S 0	PENET * Opy PT (Sta (Blo 20 To 17 Plastic 20	RATIC Becke namic andard bws/30 40 btal Un (kN/m 18 MC	SULK N TES Cone Pen 0mm) 60 it Wt I 19 Liq	TS ♦ Test) ♦ 80 10 20 2 uid 20 10	UNDR/	AINED SI + To × C □ Lab △ Pock ④ Field (H	JNO H HEAR S rvane H QU/2 × Vane [set Pen. d Vane (Pa)			DEPTH		
-		SAND - some gravel, some clay, some silt - brown, damp, low plasticity SILTY CLAY - trace sand - brown, moist - high plasticity			G148 G149) 								(G148): Gravel: 18.8%, Sand: 50.6%, Silt: 13.1%, Clay: 17.4%			
- 1 - -		- frozen to 0.9 m - firm below 0.9 m			G150 G151											1-		
MA WINN.GDT 2/9/16		END OF TEST HOLE AT 2.1 m IN SILTY CLAY.			G152 G153 G154			•							(G153): Gravel: 0.0%, Sand: 3.5%, Silt: 32.3%, Clay: 64.1%	2 -		
HOLE THL_ACHESON-STACK_60481153.GPJ_UN		NOTES: 1. No sloughing. 2. No seepage. 3. Test hole backfilled with auger cuttings and bentonite seal, and 1 mm asphalt patch at surface.	50															
TEST 3						LO	GGEI	D BY:	Ма	tt Lote	ecki	:	:	COMPL	ETION DEPTH: 2.13 m			
G OF		AECOM				REVIEWED BY: Aaron Kaluzniak CC									OMPLETION DATE: 1/20/16			
Ď						PROJECT ENGINEER: Kevin Rae									Page 1 of 1			

LOCATION: Acheson Drive; 40 m S of Dohaney Crescent, 3 m W of E Curb, #47 Acheson Drive PROJECT NO.: 604811 CONTRACTOR: Maple Leaf Drilling Ltd. IMETHOD: 125 mm SSA ELEVATION (m): SAMPLE TYPE GRAB SPLIT SPOON BULK NO RECOVERY CORE U GB SOIL DESCRIPTION Werthod (m) COMMENTS Book and (m) COMMENTS 0 SOIL DESCRIPTION Werthod (m) Concrete (160 mm) COMMENTS Field ware 0 (PPa) (PPa) (PPa) Concrete (160 mm) COMMENTS Concrete (160 mm) COMMENTS Concrete (160 mm) COMMENTS (Concrete (160 mm) Concrete (160 mm)<	DEPTH
CONTRACTOR: Maple Leaf Drilling Ltd. IMETHOD: 125 mm SSA IELEVATION (m): SAMPLE TYPE GRAB ISHELBY TUBE SPLIT SPOON BULL ON RECOVERY CORE SOIL DESCRIPTION SOIL DESCRIPTION SOIL DESCRIPTION SOIL DESCRIPTION SOIL DESCRIPTION Concrete (160 mm) Concrete (160 mm) Concrete (160 mm) CLAY - silty, trace day - brown, mick - frozen to 0.9 m - frozen t	DEPTH
SAMPLE TYPE GRAB SHEBY TUBE SPLIT SPOON END ON TOTAL OF CONCENTRATION TESTS WORKARED SHEAR STRENGTH THE STREAM OF THE STREAM OF	DEPTH
0 Asphalt (10 mm) Concrete (160 mm) 3 SAND and GRAVEL - silty, trace clay - brown CLAY - silty, trace sand - brown, moist - intermediate to high plasticity - G155 - G156 - G156 - G156 - G156 - G156	
SAND and GRAVEL - silty, trace clay - brown CLAY - silty, trace sand - orown, moist - intermediate to high plasticity - frozen to 0.9 m - frozen to 0.9 m - frozen to 0.9 m - frozen to 0.9 m - G155 - G156 - G157 - G157 - G158 - G158 - G158 - G158	
SAND and GRAVEL - silty, trace clay - brown CLAY - silty, trace sand - brown, moist - intermediate to high plasticity - frozen to 0.9 m - firm below 0.9 m - firm below 0.9 m	-
 CLAY - silty, trace sand brown, moist intermediate to high plasticity G156 G156 G156 G157 G157 G157 G158 	
- brown, moist - intermediate to high plasticity - frozen to 0.9 m - frozen to 0.9 m - firm below 0.9 m - G156 - G157 - G157 - G158 - G158	
G156 - frozen to 0.9 m - firm below 0.9 m - G158 - G158	
G156 - frozen to 0.9 m - firm below 0.9 m - G157 - G158 - G158	
- 1 - frozen to 0.9 m - firm below 0.9 m - G158	
- frozen to 0.9 m - firm below 0.9 m - G157 - G158 - G158	
-1 - frozen to 0.9 m - firm below 0.9 m G157 G158	
-1 - frozen to 0.9 m - firm below 0.9 m - G157 - G158	
G158	1-
G158 G158	
G159 G159	
	2 -
S NOTES:	
2. No seepage.	
mm asphalt patch at surface.	
	.
	.
PROJECT ENGINEER: Kevin Rae Page	1 of 1

City of Winnipeg

Local Streets Pkg 16-R-06 – Contract 1

Geotechnical Investigation

Table 01- Summary of Laboratory Soil Testing

Test		Pavement S	urface	Pavement Structu	re Material	Suborade	Sample	Moisture		Hydromet	er Analysis		Atterberg Limits				
Hole No.	Testhole Location	Туре	Thickness (mm)	Туре	Thickness (mm)	Description *	Depth (m)	Content (%)	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index		
						CLAY	0.3	36.4									
	Steel: Street, 40 m N of	Asphalt	0			CLAY	0.6	37.5									
	Stack Street; 40 m N OF			SAND and		CLAY	0.9	39.2									
TH16-01	Apartmont Darking Lot				40	CLAY	1.2	35.0	2.5	6.3	16.5	74.7	86.1	22.7	63.4		
	Apartment Farking Lot	Concrete	100	GRAVEL		CLAY	1.5	36.3									
	Lintance	Concrete	190			CLAY	1.8	36.7									
						CLAY	2.1	38.3									
		Acobalt	0			SAND	0.3	5.6	15.8	63.9	12.7	7.6	11.3	6.9	4.4		
	Charle Church 100 m N of	Asphalt	0			CLAY	0.6	33.6									
	Stack Street; 100 m N of					CLAY	0.9	35.5									
TH16-02	Apartmont Darking Lot			SAND – Some	130	CLAY	1.2	34.1									
	Apartment Parking Lot	Concrete	180	GRAVEL		CLAY	1.5	37.3									
	Littiance					CLAY	1.8	39.0									
						CLAY	2.1	45.6									
		Asphalt	20			SAND	0.3	7.7									
		Asphalt	20			CLAY	0.6	38.2									
	Acheson Drive; 40 m N of Dohaney Crescent, 3 m W of E Curb, #63 Acheson Drive			SAND and GRAVEL	130	CLAY	0.9	38.2									
TH16-04			oncrete 160			CLAY	1.2	39.5									
		Concrete				CLAY	1.5	39.2									
						CLAY	1.8	44.0									
						CLAY	2.1	45.5									
		Apphalt	0			SAND	0.3	13.5	18.8	50.6	13.1	17.4	29.0	12.0	17.0		
		Asphalt	0			SILTY CLAY	0.6	35.0									
	Ashasan Drive and Dahanay					SILTY CLAY	0.9	35.4									
TH16-05	Acheson Drive and Donaney			SAND – Some	150	SILTY CLAY	1.2	36.4									
	Crescent intersection	Concrete	150	GRAVEL		SILTY CLAY	1.5	38.1									
						SILTY CLAY	1.8	44.7	0.0	3.5	32.3	64.1	75.4	21.8	53.6		
						SILTY CLAY	2.1	42.9									
		A .	10			SAND	0.3	7.7									
		Asphalt	10			CLAY	0.6	31.5									
	Acheson Drive; 40 m S of					CLAY	0.9	32.3									
TH16-06	Dohaney Crescent, 3 m W of			SAND and	130	CLAY	1.2	34.9									
	E Curb, #47 Acheson Drive	Concrete	160	GRAVEL		CLAY	1.5	34.2									
		the concrete	100					CLAY	1.8	44.1							
						CLAY	2.1	43.7									

* Note – Subgrade Description based on City of Winnipeg Specificiations for Geotechnical Investigation Requirements for Public Works Projects (September 2015)





Photograph 1. Stack Street – TH16-01



Photograph 2. Stack Street – TH16-02



Photograph 3. Acheson Drive – TH16-04



Photograph 4. Acheson Drive – TH16-05



Photograph 5. Acheson Drive – TH16-06