



507-2013 ADDENDUM 3

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING SERVICES FOR SITE REMEDIATION OF THE FORMER DOMINION BRIDGE OPERATIONS YARD, 1460 DUBLIN AVENUE

ISSUED: June 20, 2013
BY: Tracy Stople
TELEPHONE NO. (204) 986-2221

URGENT

PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

Template Version: Ar20130301

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Request for Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 9 of Form A: Proposal may render your Proposal non-responsive.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Add: Appendix E – Survey Plan 52053

Add: Appendix F – Survey Plan 54205

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS FROM SITE INVESTIGATION

QUESTION #1: There was a steel retaining wall along the east side of Omands Creek. Are there any details on construction of it (depth it is installed to), and is it to remain in place following remediation of Omands Creek and the nearby excavations?

ANSWER: There are no drawings available for the steel retaining wall along the east side of Omands Creek. It is undetermined at this time if it is to remain in place following remediation of Omands Creek and the nearby excavations.

QUESTION #2: Wanted to confirm if the land on the west side of Omands Creek is City of Winnipeg owned or if it is privately owned.

ANSWER: The property west of Omands Creek is a legal right-of-way, known as McCrossen Street. It is not really owned by the City but the City maintains possession and control. Omands Creek, which is not a legally recognized water course, is contained within Parcel D Plan 52053 and Parcels A, D and E Plan 54205. In addition a portion of the creek falls within the limits of Parcel A Plan 52503 which was created in order for the City to maintain its ownership for the purpose of a future road widening. These parcels combined set out the easterly limit of the creek, except Parcel A Plan 52503; however, the westerly limit of the creek is not fully delineated within Parcels A, D or E Plan 54205. Refer to Appendix E - Survey Plan 52053 and Appendix F - Survey Plan 54205. Full copies of Plans 52053 and 54205 are available at The Property Registry, Government of Manitoba (also known as the Winnipeg Land Titles Office).

QUESTION #3: The excavation in the area of the sulfuric acid drum storage area has steel crane rails within the estimated excavation foot print. Are there any details on the installation of these (are the supporting columns on footings, how deep, etc.). Is this feature to stay in place for potential future use? Excavation around it could affect the stability of these.

ANSWER: There are no details on the installation of these. It is believed that since the excavation is only 0.3m that the stability will not be affected.

QUESTION #4: Is the consultant responsible for coordinating with CP rail when excavation is completed in the area of the active rail spur entering the property in the south end of the property? And can the tracks be removed and replaced or is remediation not to be completed beneath the tracks for this program?

ANSWER: The Consultant is responsible for contacting CP rail to inform them of the upcoming remediation. The intent of the RAP was not to lift/replace the rail lines to achieve remediation.

QUESTION #5: There was a small building and a billboard within the smaller area to be remediated in the south end of the site (next to the gate providing entry from Saskatchewan Ave). Is the excavation not to extend under the building and to leave the billboard in place? It appeared there was a fair amount of electrical on this building so likely underground wires to the building and possibly the billboard.

ANSWER: The building and billboard are to remain and excavate around them is acceptable.

QUESTION #6: There are a couple of office trailers located south of the paint shop, which were not located here with the RAP was prepared, will those be moved by the site occupant or is excavation not to extend under these.

ANSWER: Since the trailers are not considered a permanent structure or part of the "current infrastructure", the tenant would be responsible to relocate them.

QUESTION #7: The area surrounding the trailers was finished with asphalt, is the surface to be complete with asphalt following excavation or just fill suitable for driving/parking?

ANSWER: The RAP states that the Site should be excavated to a "uniform depth" of 0.3m below ground surface which means below the top of the asphalt base and replaced with 0.3m clean fill. The asphalt surface areas are to be replaced with a hard surface and not gravel.

QUESTION #8: The RAP was prepared, was it submitted to Manitoba Conservation and approved? We don't see any approvals, just mention of discussions with Manitoba Conservation during the development of the RAP.

ANSWER: The RAP was submitted to Manitoba Conservation. However, Manitoba Conservation has to approve a more formal RAP.

QUESTION #9: It was mentioned there was a survey of the site. Is this a topographic survey or just legal survey of property lines? Will this be available to consultants?

ANSWER: Refer to Appendix E - Survey Plan 52053 and Appendix F - Survey Plan 54205. Full copies of Plans 52053 and 54205 are available at The Property Registry, Government of Manitoba (also known as the Winnipeg Land Titles Office).

QUESTION #10: Also want to confirm as it is not entirely clear in the RFP or RAP is the excavation of Omands Creek is to be 1 m depth on the base only or the base and sides? On the figure it appears that the excavation is approximately 8 m wide, but when at the site visit the creek appears to be wider than 8 m when we looked in the area where that steel retaining wall was located.

ANSWER: The one meter excavation is for **sediments** only, which means that the excavation is intended for materials below the water line at typical creek elevations. That being said, as per Figure 03 of the RAP and the area shaded for sediment excavation, we've generalized the area of sediment to be excavated as rectangular. Obviously the creek isn't exactly rectangular, particularly towards the northern end, so some excavation of the "banks" in the northern portion of the creek may be included in the sediment excavation of the remedial plan. It should also be noted that there are two areas on the Figure requiring surface soil excavation right up to the water line (i.e. surface soil excavation of the creek banks).

QUESTION #11: The concrete and steel that appear to be from the operation of Capitol Steel or one of the tenants that is in various areas of the site, will that be moved by the owner in the areas of excavation?

ANSWER: It will be moved by the tenant in the areas of excavation.