

# 2010 Adopted Capital Budget

2011 - 2015 Five Year Forecast

Adopted by Council - December 15, 2009



#### **City of Winnipeg**

### 2010 Capital Budget

#### and

#### 2011 to 2015 Five Year Forecast

Adopted by Council on December 15, 2009

WINNIPEG, MANITOBA, CANADA

To obtain copies of this document, please contact:

The City of Winnipeg City Clerks Department Council Building, 510 Main Street Winnipeg, Manitoba R3B 1B9

Telephone Number: 311

Toll Free: 1-877-311-4WPG(4974)

Prepared by Corporate Finance, The City of Winnipeg

City of Winnipeg website: www.winnipeg.ca

#### **Table of Contents**

Overview		Section 1
Capital Proj	ects Summary	Section 2
Capital Proj	ects Detail	. Section 3
Service Bas	ed View	. Section 4
Appendices		. Section 5
Appendix 1:	City of Winnipeg Profile	5-1
Appendix 2:	Cost Estimation Classification	5-9
Appendix 3:	Capital Priority Rating System	5-11
Appendix 4:	Public Private Partnership Payments	
Appendix 5	Historical Analysis of Borrowing Authority, Financing Sources, Application	
	of Funds	5-14
Appendix 6:	Statement of Current and Authorized Debenture Debt	5-18



### **Overview**

### 2010 Capital Budget

and

### 2011 to 2015 Five Year Forecast

Adopted by Council December 15, 2009





# Adopted 2010 Capital **Budget** and

2011 to 2015

**Five Year Capital Forecast** 





### 2010 CAPITAL BUDGET PROCESS...

- Addressing the City's capital priorities
- Optimizing resources
- Environmental stewardship





### **HIGHLIGHTS**

- Capital investment plan:
  - \$2 billion over the next 6 years
  - \$439.4 million in 2010
  - Focus on priority streets and bridges, sewer systems, and community infrastructure and amenities
- Strategic government partnerships
  - Active Transportation \$20.4 million
  - Waverley West Arterial Roads \$54.7 million
- Cash to Capital \$65.5 million in 2010 and growing annually
- Redevelopment of Assiniboine Park through the Assiniboine Park Conservancy - \$8 million in 2010
- Aerial Support Unit \$3.5 million in 2010



### AREAS OF MAJOR CAPITAL INVESTMENT





- \$812 million for sewage disposal projects
- \$498 million for roads and bridges
- \$164 million for the transit system
- \$157 million for parks, community infrastructure and amenities
- \$147 million for the water system
- \$79 million for land drainage and flood control
- \$65 million for public safety infrastructure






# ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP


- Active transportation
- Upgrades for wastewater collection and treatment
- Sewer renewal and combined sewer overflow management
- Land drainage and flood protection
- Riverbank stabilization
- Brady landfill gas capture




### CAPITAL PROJECTS (Authorizations)




### **CAPITAL FINANCING**



### TAX SUPPORTED NET DEBENTURE DEBT




## UTILITY NET DEBENTURE DEBT



Utilities (including Civic Accommodations and Transit) net debenture debt decreased by 41.0% from 1999 to 2009.

### **CREDIT RATING**



#### **Council Adoption of the 2010 Capital Budget**

On December 15, 2009 Council adopted the following recommendations:

- 1. A. That the 2010 Capital Budget totalling \$430,563,000 requiring borrowing authority of up to \$105,723,000, as tabled at the Executive Policy Committee meeting on November 16, 2009, and amended by Recommendation No. 2, be approved.
  - B. That total borrowing authority include up to \$30,000,000 in tax supported debt for the Chief Peguis Trail – Henderson Highway to Lagimodiere Boulevard for a commissioning payment for the facility and \$75,723,000 for the Sewer and Solid Waste Disposal Systems.
  - C. That the 2011 to 2015 Five Year Capital Forecast as tabled at the Executive Policy Committee meeting on November 16, 2009, and amended by Recommendation No. 2, be approved in principle.
- 2. A. That \$200,000 in 2010 for City Clerks Security for Council Building be consolidated into the Civic Accommodations capital project Campus Area Security Upgrades.
  - B. That funding for City Clerks Office Automation totalling \$600,000 from 2011 2015 be transferred to the Capital Investment Fund.
  - C. That an Aerial Support Unit in the amount of \$3.492 million be added to the 2010 Capital Budget, to be interim financed from the Equity in Capital Assets Fund with repayment in 2011, with a corresponding decrease of \$3.492 million in the General Purpose Reserve in 2011, subject to new incremental funding from the Province of Manitoba for all ongoing operating costs.
  - D. That capital grants be approved from the General Purpose Reserve as follows:
    - i. \$250,000 in 2010 for the Variety Heritage Adventure Park;
    - ii. \$100,000 in 2011 for the Manitoba Children's Museum; and
    - iii. \$50,000 in 2011 for Le Cercle Molière.
  - E. That the Regional and Local Streets 2011 projects Regional Major Rehabilitation Works – Taylor Avenue Eastbound Nathaniel to Wilton and Westbound Nathaniel to Waverley be advanced to 2010 in the total amount of \$3,150,000 with interim financing from the Equity in Capital Assets Fund.

- F. That the Regional and Local Streets 2011 project Local Thin Bituminous Overlay (TBO) be advanced to 2010 in the total amount of \$1,000,000 with interim financing from the Equity in Capital Assets Fund.
- 3. That the cash to capital contribution be as follows:
  - Year \$ Millions
  - 2010 65.478
  - 2011 67.478
  - 2012 69.478
  - 2013 71.478
  - 2014 73.478
  - 2015 75.478
- 4. That the following prior years' tax supported capital surpluses be transferred to fund tax supported capital projects in the 2010 Capital Budget:
  - A. \$1.645 million from the recent capital review;
  - B. \$1.328 million from the 2008 Capital Investment Fund;
  - C. \$0.7 million from the 2009 Innovative Capital Fund;
  - D. \$3.3 million from the Public Works and Water and Waste Facility Consolidation Project; and
  - E. \$2.6 million from the Police Building Replacement Project.
- 5. That annual lease/service payments and debt servicing costs for tax supported public private partnerships be funded annually up to a maximum of 30% of the cash to capital contribution and the federal gas tax.
- 6. That all other transfers from utility operations, reserves and other funds outlined in the Capital Budget be approved.
- 7. That the expenditure of \$2.37 million be authorized for the Fare Collection System in 2010 or prior to the adoption of the 2011 Capital Budget as a first charge, in accordance with Subsection 288(2) of The City of Winnipeg Charter to allow for timely contract award and capital work.
- 8. That the City Solicitor/Manager of Legal Services be requested to submit the necessary borrowing by-law directly to Council.

- 9. That \$290,000 be authorized for the purpose of assembling land and constructing the Transcona Centennial Square public park in Downtown Transcona in 2010, subject to:
  - A. The said funds being funded from the Land Operating Reserve.
  - B. That the said funds being returned to the Land Operating Reserve from the future sale of land(s) in the Transcona Ward, principally the sale of all or part of the lands known as 401 Pandora Avenue West.
  - C. \$150,000 from existing funds previously committed to capital projects for Transcona Centennial Celebrations are now dedicated to the land assembly and construction of the Transcona Centennial Square Project.
  - D. \$25,000 from the Transcona Ward share of the 2010 Community Park Amenities fund being dedicated to the land assembly and construction of the Transcona Centennial Square Project.
  - E. \$35,000 from the Transcona Ward share of the Land Dedication Account being dedicated to the land assembly and construction of the Transcona Centennial Square Project.
  - F. A minimum commitment from the Province of Manitoba of \$500,000 toward the Transcona Centennial Square project.
  - G. The project information as set out in the Transcona BIZ Downtown Streetscape Revitalization Strategy.
- 10. That the Proper Officers of the City be authorized to do all things necessary to implement the foregoing, including the execution of any documents related thereto.



The City of Winnipeg

#### **CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY**

### 2010 Adopted Capital Budget

and

#### 2011 to 2015 Five Year Forecast

Adopted by Council December 15, 2009

#### Notes:

- 1. Capital budget amounts are estimates only and are subject to materials management policy (e.g. tenders, bids, and contract awards including Public Private Partnership proposals).
- 2. In the attached Capital Projects Listing, tax supported capital projects are financed by cash to capital unless another funding source is disclosed for the project. In addition, the Manitoba Winnipeg Infrastructure Program, Provincial funding for Road Improvements and Prior Years' Surplus financing amounts are not all allocated to individual capital projects. These unallocated financing amounts will offset cash to capital requirements.
- The Public Service uses the Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineering (AACE) International Classification system for capital budget estimate purposes for all non-scalable projects. Capital estimates for non-scalable projects contained in this budget document are supported by a class 4 or 5 estimate, unless specifically noted.

#### **Table of Contents**

Summary of Capital Program	2-1
Summary of Financing Sources	2-2
Tax Supported	
Public Works	2-5
Local Improvements	2-8
Community Services	2-9
Winnipeg Police Service	2-10
Fire Paramedic Service	2-10
Planning, Property and Development	2-11
Civic Accommodations	2-12
Building Services	2-13
City Clerks	2-14
Corporate Support Services	2-14
Assessment and Taxation	2-14
Other Projects	2-14
Transit	2-15
Land Drainage and Flood Control	2-16
Utilities	
Waterworks System	2-17
Sewage Disposal System	2-19
Solid Waste Disposal System	2-21
Special Operating Agencies	
Winnipeg Golf Services	2-21

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY		ADO	PTED BY CO	UNCIL DEC	EMBER 15, 20	009	
(in Thousands of \$)							2010-2015
	Budget	2014		FORECAST	0014	0045	6 YEAR
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
SUMMARY OF CAPITAL PROGRAM							
Public Works	192,131	61,928	67,049	66,085	70,663	68,006	525,862
Local Improvements		3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	15,000
Land Drainage and Flood Control	11,900	13,150	13,440	14,425	12,498	13,150	78,563
Community Services & Community Incentive Grants	12,456	10,508	7,888	9,919	9,250	14,673	64,694
Winnipeg Police Service	10,311	7,693	10,734	9,420	7,149	6,052	51,359
Fire Paramedic Service	2,800		2,700	2,300	858	5,000	13,658
Planning, Property and Development	7,965	5,764	5,901	5,684	5,846	6,094	37,254
City Clerks	1,150	650	700	650	700	500	4,350
Corporate Support Services	2,640	3,584	2,473	1,788	2,407	2,328	15,220
Assessment and Taxation	1,000		500			1,000	2,500
Other Projects		2,281	6,400	2,200	2,120	3,450	16,451
Sub-Total Tax Supported General	242,353	108,558	120,785	115,471	114,491	123,253	824,911
Civic Accommodations	6,400	1,900	3,224	4,250	4,130	3,800	23,704
Building Services	4,675	4,575	4,655	4,655	5,035	5,035	28,630
Transit	27,912	28,463	25,022	28,409	29,750	24,318	163,874
Total Tax Supported Including Transit, Civic Accommodations, and	281,340	143,496	153,686	152,785	153,406	156,406	1,041,119
Building Services							
UTILITIES							
Waterworks System	20,625	24,800	30,990	26,875	21,410	22,375	147,075
Sewage Disposal System	124,675	217,067	222,366	151,575	55,763	40,549	811,995
Solid Waste Disposal System	12,375	400	600	400	4,700		18,475
Total Utilities	157,675	242,267	253,956	178,850	81,873	62,924	977,545
SPECIAL OPERATING AGENCIES							
Winnipeg Golf Services	415	150	150				715
Total Special Operating Agencies	415	150	150				715
TOTAL CAPITAL PROGRAM	439,430	385,913	407,792	331,635	235,279	219,330	2,019,379

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY								
(in Thousands of \$)	Budget			FORECAST			2010-2015 6 YEAR	
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL	
SUMMARY OF FINANCING SOURCES	05 470	07 470	00.470	74 470	70 470	75 470	400.000	
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)	65,478	67,478	69,478	71,478	73,478	75,478	422,868	
Public Private Partnership Annual Service/Financing Payments	(8,469)	(27,584)	(28,760)	(30,067)	(30,067)	(30,067)		
Frontage Levy	1,100						1,100	
Reserves:								
- Transit Bus Replacement	5,976	9,836	10,239	7,466	7,466	7,466	48,449	
- Rapid Transit Infrastructure		4,242					4,242	
- Watermain Renewal	12,000	13,000	14,000	15,000	16,000	17,000		
- Sewer System Rehabilitation	19,000	20,700	21,400	22,100	22,800	23,600	,	
- Environmental	8,100	24,122	21,391	21,425	21,413	16,999		
- Land Operating	440						440	
- Contributions in Lieu of Land Dedication	35						35	
- Golf Course	300	173	173	23	23	23	715	
- General Purpose (Repayment)		(4,358)	(1,000)				(5,358)	
Interim Financing (Repayment)	1,978	(10,142)					(8,164)	
Contributions from Other Levels of Government:								
- Canada Strategic Infrastructure Fund	21,539						21,539	
- Federal Gas Tax	40,450	40,450	40,450	40,450	40,450	40,450	242,700	
- Veterans Affairs Canada	150						150	
- Federal Government Funding	25,037						25,037	
- Public Transit Capital Trust/Bill C-66 Funding	1,000						1,000	
- Recreational Infrastructure Canada Fund	50						50	
- Manitoba Winnipeg Infrastructure Program	18,766	16,866	16,866	16,170	16,166	16,666	101,500	
- Building Manitoba Fund	10,840	10,840	10,840	10,840	10,840	10,840	65,040	
- Provincial Government Funding	26,854	·	·	·	,		26,854	
- Provincial Funding for Road Improvements	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	150,000	
Other Funders:			·		·	·		
- Developers		795	500	1,375		500	3,170	
Proceeds from Glacial and Sand Gravel	2,732			,			2,732	
Utilities Retained Earnings	29,567	41,545	54,065	62,425	24,660	12,825	225,087	
Debt	105,723	152,950	153,150	67,950	7,050	2,550	489,373	
Prior Years' Surplus/Authorization	17,073				.,	_,	17,073	
TOTAL FINANCING SOURCES	430,719	385,913	407,792	331,635	235,279	219,330	2,010,668	
Public Private Partnership Authorization	8,711						8,711	
TOTAL CAPITAL PROGRAM	439,430	385,913	407,792	331,635	235,279	219,330	2,019,379	

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY	ADOPTED BY COUNCIL DECEMBER 15, 2009								
(in Thousands of \$)	Budget		F	ORECAST			2010-2015 6 YEAR		
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL		
FINANCING SOURCES									
TAX SUPPORTED									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)	65,363	67,501	69,501	71,501	73,501	75,501	422,868		
Public Private Partnership Annual Service/Financing Payments	(8,469)	(27,584)	(28,760)	(30,067)	(30,067)	(30,067)	(155,014		
Frontage Levy	1,100						1,100		
Reserves:									
- Transit Bus Replacement	5,976	9,836	10,239	7,466	7,466	7,466	48,449		
- Rapid Transit Infrastructure		4,242	-	-	ŗ		4,242		
- General Purpose (Repayment)		(4,358)	(1,000)				(5,358		
- Sewer System Rehabilitation	6,400	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	43,900		
- Land Operating	440	·	-	-	ŗ		440		
- Contributions in Lieu of Land Dedication	35						35		
Interim Financing (Repayment)	1,978	(10,142)					(8,164		
Contributions from Other Levels of Government:									
- Canada Strategic Infrastructure Fund	3,112						3,112		
- Federal Gas Tax	40,450	40,450	40,450	40,450	40,450	40,450	242,700		
- Veterans Affairs Canada	150	·	-	-	ŗ		150		
- Federal Government Funding	25,037						25,037		
- Public Transit Capital Trust/Bill C-66 Funding	1,000						1,000		
- Recreational Infrastructure Canada Fund	50						50		
- Manitoba Winnipeg Infrastructure Program	18,766	16,866	16,866	16,170	16,166	16,666	101,500		
- Building Manitoba Fund	10,840	10,840	10,840	10,840	10,840	10,840	65,040		
- Provincial Government Funding	22,304	·	-	·	ŗ		22,304		
- Provincial Funding for Road Improvements	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	150,000		
Other Funders:		·	-		ŗ	·			
- Developers		795	500	1,375		500	3,170		
Proceeds from Glacial and Sand Gravel	2,732			·			2,732		
Debt	30,000	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550	42,750		
Prior Years' Surplus/Authorization	17,073	·	-	·	ŗ		17,073		
Transfer from Sewage Disposal System	3,292						3,292		
TOTAL TAX SUPPORTED INCLUDING TRANSIT, CIVIC ACCOMMODATIONS AND BUILDING SERVICES	272,629	143,496	153,686	152,785	153,406	156,406	1,032,408		
Public Private Partnership Authorization	8,711						8,711		
TOTAL TAX SUPPORTED PROGRAM	281,340	143,496	153,686	152,785	153,406	156,406	1,041,119		

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY		ADO	PTED BY CO	UNCIL DECE	MBER 15, 20	09	
(in Thousands of \$)							2010-2015
	Budget			FORECAST			6 YEAR
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
UTILITIES							
Reserves:							
- Sewer System Rehabilitation	12,600	13,200	13,900	14,600	15,300	16,100	85,700
- Watermain Renewal	12,000	13,000	14,000	15,000	16,000	17,000	87,000
- Environmental	8,100	24,122	21,391	21,425	21,413	16,999	113,450
Utilities Retained Earnings	29,567	41,545	54,065	62,425	24,660	12,825	225,087
Debt	75,723	150,400	150,600	65,400	4,500		446,623
Transfer to General Capital Fund	(3,292)						(3,292)
Canada Strategic Infrastructure Fund (CSIF)	18,427						18,427
Provincial Government Funding	4,550						4,550
TOTAL UTILITIES	157,675	242,267	253,956	178,850	81,873	62,924	977,545
SPECIAL OPERATING AGENCIES							
- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)	115	(23)	(23)	(23)	(23)	(23)	
- Golf Course Reserve	300	173	173	23	23	23	715
TOTAL SPECIAL OPERATING AGENCIES	415	150	150				715
TOTAL FINANCING SOURCES	439,430	385,913	407,792	331,635	235,279	219,330	2,019,379

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY

	CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			ADC	PTED BY CC	UNCIL DECE	EMBER 15, 20	09	
20	(in Thousands of \$)	DETAIL PAGE	Budget			FORECAST			2010-2015 6 YEAR
10 A		NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
2010 ADOPTED	CAPITAL PROJECTS LISTING								
TED	PUBLIC WORKS								
	Active Transportation Facilities								
P	Recreational Walkways and Bike Paths - Various Locations								
Ā	- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)			500	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	4,500
B	- Provincial Funding for Road Improvements		1,000	500					1,500
CAPITAL BUDGET	Total Recreational Walkways and Bike Paths - Various Locations	3-1	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	6,000
Ĕ	New Regional Sidewalks	3-2	250	250	250	250	250	250	1,500
•	Active Transportation Corridors	3-3	500	500	500	500	500	500	3,000
	Active Transportation Project - Infrastructure Stimulus Fund								
	<ul> <li>Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)</li> </ul>		6,805						6,805
	- Provincial Government Funding		6,804						6,804
	- Infrastructure Stimulus Fund		6,804						6,804
	Total Active Transportation Project - Infrastructure Stimulus Fund	3-4	20,413						20,413
	Total Active Transportation Facilities		22,163	1,750	1,750	1,750	1,750	1,750	30,913
2-5	Regional Streets								
G	Street Improvements								
	Traffic Signals Enhancement	3-6	2,300	2,300	2,300				6,900
	Developer Payback - Various Locations								
	- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		250	1,805	9,500				11,555
	- Developer Funding			295					295
	Total Developer Payback - Various Locations	3-7	250	2,100	9,500				11,850
	Kenaston Blvd - Academy Road to Taylor Avenue	3-8		100	100	100	100	100	500
22	Traffic Engineering Improvements - Various Locations	3-9	850	950	1,050	1,150	1,250	1,350	6,600
2011	Inkster Blvd - Keewatin Street to Brookside Blvd - First Call on 2010 Capital								
б	Budget, \$15.770 million (Council April 29, 2009)								
2015	- Provincial Funding for Road Improvements		7,100						7,100
5	- Federal Gas Tax		8,670						8,670
FIVE	Total Inkster Blvd - Keewatin Street to Brookside Blvd	3-10	15,770						15,770
EYE	Total Street Improvements		19,170	5,450	12,950	1,250	1,350	1,450	41,620

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY		ADOPTED BY COUNCIL DECEMBER 15, 2009						
(in Thousands of \$)	DETAIL	Durdant						2010-2015
	PAGE NO.	Budget 2010	2011	2012	FORECAST 2013	2014	2015	6 YEAR TOTAL
Regional and Local Streets			_	_		_		
- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		11,390	21,400	10,423	26,078	29,622	22,863	121,776
- Interim Financing (Repayment)		4,150	(4,150)				,	,
- Federal Gas Tax		14,038	9,355	9,355	9,355	9,355	9,355	60,813
- Provincial Building Manitoba Fund - Roads		7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	42,000
Total Regional and Local Streets	3-11	36,578	33,605	26,778	42,433	45,977	39,218	224,589
Waterway Crossings and Grade Separations								
Sturgeon Road Bridge (Sturgeon Creek)	3-13	1,000	1,033	10,977				13,010
Osborne Street Bridge (Assiniboine River)		-	-					
- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		177	3,388	3,160				6,725
- Provincial Funding for Road Improvements		178	3,388	3,134				6,700
Total Osborne Street Bridge (Assiniboine River)	3-14	355	6,776	6,294				13,425
Jubilee Avenue Overpass (Pembina Highway)								
- Provincial Funding for Road Improvements		1,876						1,876
- Federal Gas Tax		5,762						5,762
Total Jubilee Avenue Overpass (Pembina Highway)	3-15	7,638						7,638
Waverley Street / CN Mainline Grade Separation	3-16		1,119					1,119
Louise Bridge (Red River)	3-17				2,581		2,000	4,581
Pembina Highway Underpass	3-18		1,300		7,000	6,000		14,300
St. James Street Bridge (Omand's Creek)	3-19			200	1,961			2,161
Ness Avenue Culvert (Sturgeon Creek)	3-20				1,000	2,000	8,000	11,000
Portage Avenue Bridge (Sturgeon Creek)	3-21			500	500	5,000		6,000
Saskatchewan Avenue Bridge (Omand's Creek)	3-22					500	2,000	2,500
Lyndale Drive - Retaining Wall Rehabilitation	3-23					500	3,500	4,000
Osborne Street Underpass	3-24						2,500	2,500
Waterway Crossing and Grade Separations-Annual Program	3-25	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	12,000
Disraeli Bridge and Overpass Facility		,	,	,	,	,	,	
- Public Private Partnership (no impact on 2010 financing)		38,711						38,711
- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		7,000						7,000
- Federal Gas Tax		6,100	19,800	19,800	19,800	19,800	19,800	105,100
- Encumbrance Toward Commissioning Payment		(6,100)						(6,100)
- Public Private Partnership Annual Service/Financing Payments			(19,800)	(19,800)	(19,800)	(19,800)	(19,800)	(99,000)
Total Disraeli Bridge and Overpass Facility	3-26	45,711						45,711

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY

2-6

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			ADO	PTED BY CO	UNCIL DECE	MBER 15, 20	09	
(in Thousands of \$)	DETAIL				ORECAST			2010-2015
	PAGE	Budget	0014	0045	6 YEAR			
	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
Charleswood Bridge								
- Cash to Capital		1,478	1,478	1,478	1,478	1,478	1,478	8,868
- Public Private Partnership Lease Payments		(1,478)	(1,478)	(1,478)	(1,478)	(1,478)	(1,478)	(8,868)
Total Charleswood Bridge	3-28							
Total Waterway Crossings and Grade Separations		56,704	12,228	19,971	15,042	16,000	20,000	139,945
New Transportation Facilities								
Chief Peguis Trail - Henderson Highway to Lagimodiere Blvd								
		(20,000)						(20,000)
- Public Private Partnership		(30,000)	5 445	5 445	5 445	5 445	E 44E	(30,000)
- Federal Gas Tax			5,415	5,415	5,415	5,415	5,415	27,075
- Public Private Partnership Annual Service/Financing Payments			(5,415)	(5,415)	(5,415)	(5,415)	(5,415)	
- External Debt		30,000						30,000
Total Chief Peguis Trail - Henderson Highway to Lagimodiere Blvd	3-29							
Waverley West Arterial Roads Project								
- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		10,567	3,400					13,967
- Transfer from Prior Authorization - 2009 Developer Payback		7,500						7,500
- Building Canada Funding		18,233						18,233
- Provincial Government Funding		15,000						15,000
Total Waverley West Arterial Roads Project	3-31	51,300	3,400					54,700
Total New Transportation Facilities		51,300	3,400					54,700

2-7

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			ADO	PTED BY CO	UNCIL DECE	EMBER 15, 20	09	
(in Thousands of \$)	DETAIL PAGE	Dudget			FORECAST			2010-2015 6 YEAR
	NO.	Budget 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
Other Streets Projects								
Asset Management System - Various Divisions	3-33	100	100	100	100	100	100	600
Land Drainage Sewers - Regional / Local Streets	3-34	150	150	150	150	150	150	900
Land Acquisition - Transportation Right of Way	3-35	300	300	300	300	300	300	1,800
Sand / Salt Storage Facility	3-36		500					500
City-Wide Accessibility Program	3-37	350	350	350	350	350	350	2,100
Winnipeg Transportation Strategy	3-38	650						650
Total Other Streets Projects		1,550	1,400	900	900	900	900	6,550
TOTAL STREETS PROJECTS		187,465	57,833	62,349	61,375	65,977	63,318	498,317
Parks and Open Space								
Reforestation - Improvements	3-39	383	383	433	383	383	383	2,348
Athletic Fields - Improvements	3-40	200	200	200	200	200	200	1,200
Parks - Improvements	3-41	808	262	617	677	653	655	3,672
Playground Structures				• • •				0,012
- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		1,750	1,750	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	10,700
- Recreational Infrastructure Canada Fund		50	.,	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	50
Total Playground Structures	3-42	1,800	1,750	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	10,750
Pathway / Roadway / Tennis Court Upgrading	3-43	1,000	1,700	150	150	150	150	600
Community Park Amenities - First Call on 2010 Capital Budget - Chochinov	3-44	1,475	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	8,975
Park, \$40,000 (Council June 24, 2009)		.,	-,	-,	.,	.,	-,	-,
Total Parks and Open Space		4,666	4,095	4,700	4,710	4,686	4,688	27,545
TOTAL PUBLIC WORKS		192,131	61,928	67,049	66,085	70,663	68,006	525,862
LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS - Ongoing Program		4 000	450	450	450	450	450	0.070
- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		1,000	450	450	450	450	450	3,250
- Interim Financing (Repayment)		(1,000)	0.550	0.550		0.550		(1,000)
- External Debt	+		2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550	12,750
TOTAL LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS	3-45		3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	15,000

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY

2-8

2011 TO 2015 FIVE YEAR FORECAST

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			ADO	PTED BY CO	UNCIL DECE	MBER 15, 20	09	
(in Thousands of \$)	DETAIL PAGE	Budget		F	ORECAST			2010-2015 6 YEAR
	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
Community Incentive Grant Program	3-46	2,774	1,508	1,538	1,569	1,600	1,632	10,621
Library Replacement - Various	3-47	2,114	5.000	3,000	3,000	2,000	3,000	16,000
Library Shelving and Furniture Replacement Program	3-48		3,000	200	200	2,000	200	800
Integrated Property Based Information Systems	3-49	250		200	200	200	200	250
Vehicle Guidance System & Office "Anti-Pesticide Registration" Management	3-49	160						160
Software Package	0.00	100						100
Insect Control - Replace 3 Grey Street Operations Building	3-51						1,000	1,000
Renovate and Refurbish Various Library Branches	3-52	300		300	1,000	1,000		2,600
Imaging and Document Management	3-53		250	250	,	,		500
Library Technology Upgrade and Replacement	3-54	200				500	500	1,200
Recreational Facility Safety & Accessibility Improvements	3-55	300		400	400	500	500	2,100
Library Signage, Safety and Accessibility	3-56			200	200	200	200	800
Recreation Equipment Program - New Facilities	3-57						600	600
Business Application Systems	3-58				250			250
Technology Upgrade and Replacement	3-59					250		250
Mobile Library Service Vehicle	3-60				300	200		300
Recreation Facility Replacement - Various	3-61	500	1,750				2,791	5,041
Insect Control - Information System	3-62		.,				1,250	1,250
Assiniboine Park Conservancy - Building Repairs and Upgrades Plan (formerly Assiniboine Park Amenities Improvements / Development)	3-63	5,123	2,000	2,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	18,123
Assiniboine Park Conservancy - Development Plan								
- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		117						117
- Proceeds from Glacial and Sand Gravel		2,732						2,732
Total Assiniboine Park Conservancy - Development Plan	3-64	2,849						2,849
TOTAL COMMUNITY SERVICES		12,456	10,508	7,888	9,919	9,250	14,673	64,694

2-9

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			ADO	PTED BY CO	UNCIL DECE	MBER 15, 20	09	-
(in Thousands of \$)	DETAIL PAGE	Durlant		-				2010-2015
	NO.	Budget 2010	2011	2012	ORECAST 2013	2014	2015	6 YEAR TOTAL
WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE								
Digital Recording - Interview Rooms	3-65			523				523
Police Headquarters	3-66	5,640		7,611	8,220	6,556		28,027
Winnipeg Police Service Communications	3-67		7,193					7,193
Wireless Emergency 911 Upgrade	3-68	260						260
Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) Replacement	3-69		500					500
Police Priority Dispatch System	3-70	427						427
Information Systems - Unified Management, Storage, and Archiving System	3-71					593		593
Mobile Laptops	3-72				1,200			1,200
Officer Mobile Video System	3-73						1,000	1,000
Furniture	3-74						566	566
Radio Upgrade for Districts 3 and 4	3-75						500	500
Computer Upgrades - Data Integrity and Protection	3-76	492						492
Computer Assisted Dispatch	3-77						1,000	1,000
Crime Analysis System/Child Exploitation Software/Crime Tracking System	3-78						1,460	1,460
Long Term Computer Upgrades	3-79						1,526	1,526
Aerial Support Unit								
- Interim Financing (Repayment)		3,492	(3,492)					
- General Purpose Reserve			3,492					3,492
Total Aerial Support Unit	3-80	3,492						3,492
Police Building Replacement								
- Cash to Capital		891	891	4,667	3,374	3,374	3,374	16,571
- Public Private Partnership Lease Payments		(891)	(891)	(2,067)	(3,374)	(3,374)	(3,374)	(13,971)
Total Police Building Replacement	3-81			2,600				2,600
TOTAL WINNIPEG POLICE SERVICE		10,311	7,693	10,734	9,420	7,149	6,052	51,359
FIRE PARAMEDIC SERVICE								
Facilities Replacement and Relocation Program								
- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		1,496		2,700	2,300	858	3,000	10,354
- Manitoba Winnipeg Infrastructure Program		1,304						1,304
Total Facilities Replacement and Relocation Program	3-82	2,800		2,700	2,300	858	3,000	11,658
Replacement Radio System	3-83						2,000	2,000
TOTAL FIRE PARAMEDIC SERVICE		2,800		2,700	2,300	858	5,000	13,658

2010 ADOPTED CAPITAL BUDGET

2-10

	CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY	ADOPTED BY COUNCIL DECEMBER 15, 2009							
2010	(in Thousands of \$)	DETAIL PAGE NO.	Budget 2010	FORECAST					2010-2015 6 YEAR
10 A				2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
ADOPTED CAPITAL	PLANNING, PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT								
	Computer Automation	3-84	350	200	200	200	200	200	1,350
	Riverbank Greenway Programs	3-85	385	403	551	381	448	434	2,602
	Cemeteries - Improvements								
	- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		400	300	200	200	200	260	1,560
	- Veterans Affairs Canada		150						150
BUDGET	Total Cemeteries - Improvements	3-86	550	300	200	200	200	260	1,710
Ĕ	Downtown Enhancement Program	3-87	400	400	400	400	400	400	2,400
-	Image Route Enhancements / Streetscaping	3-89	400	400	400	400	400	400	2,400
	Public Art Strategy	3-91	500	500	500	500	500	500	3,000
	Land Acquisition for General Unspecified Purposes	3-92	200	300	400	400	400	400	2,100
	Developer Payback	3-93	280	261	250	203	298		1,292
	Central Park Redevelopment	3-94	400						400
	Transcona Centennial Square								
	- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		25						25
Ņ	- Province of Manitoba		500						500
2-11	- Land Operating Reserve		440						440
	- Contributions in Lieu of Land Dedication Reserve		35						35
	Total Transcona Centennial Square	3-95	1,000						1,000
	Riverbank Stabilization - Physical Asset Protection								
2011	- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		600	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,600
	- Manitoba Winnipeg Infrastructure Program (MWIP)		900						900
	Total Riverbank Stabilization - Physical Asset Protection	3-97	1,500	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	6,500
	Building Communities Initiative II								
	- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,250	6,250
ъ	- Manitoba Winnipeg Infrastructure Program (MWIP)		1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,250	6,250
2015	Total Building Communities Initiative II	3-99	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,500	12,500
15 FIV	TOTAL PLANNING, PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT		7,965	5,764	5,901	5,684	5,846	6,094	37,254

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			ADO	PTED BY CO	UNCIL DECE	MBER 15, 20	09	
(in Thousands of \$)	DETAIL	<b>.</b>		_			2010-2015	
	PAGE NO.	Budget 2010	2011	2012	FORECAST 2013	2014	2015	6 YEAR TOTAL
	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	TOTAL
CIVIC ACCOMMODATIONS								
General Building Renovation and Refurbishing	3-100	500	500	500	500	500	500	3,000
Civic Buildings - Barrier Free Access	3-101		300	300		230	100	930
Removal of Asbestos	3-102	300	100	124	100	500	200	1,324
Roof Replacement	3-103	800	200	200	250	400	400	2,250
Fire Safety Upgrading - Various Locations	3-104	500	200	200	200	400	300	1,800
Energy Conservation	3-105	300		200		200	100	800
Civic Centre Car Park Tunnel	3-106			200				200
Tenant Improvement Prepayment - Major Department	3-107			500		500	200	1,200
Historic Building Stabilization	3-108	400		200	200	200	200	1,200
Major Building Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) Upgrades	3-109	900		200	500	500	500	2,600
Building Envelope - Evaluation and Emergency Repair	3-110			200		200	300	700
Elevator and Escalator Repair	3-111	800	400	200	300	300	400	2,400
510 Main Street - Generator Set Replacement	3-112				1,600			1,600
Surface Parking Lot Repairs	3-113	200	200	200	200	200	200	1,200
Generator Set Replacement and Upgrades	3-114				200		200	400
Electrical Distribution System Upgrades	3-115				200		200	400
510 Main Street - Accessibility Upgrade	3-116	1,000						1,000
Campus Area Security Upgrades	3-117	700						700
TOTAL CIVIC ACCOMMODATIONS		6,400	1,900	3,224	4,250	4,130	3,800	23,704

	CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			ADO	PTED BY CO	UNCIL DECE	MBER 15, 20	09	
201	(in Thousands of \$)	DETAIL							2010-2015
010		PAGE	Budget		-	ORECAST			6 YEAR
		NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
ADOP'	BUILDING SERVICES								
E	Community Centres - Refurbishing and Improvements	3-118	500	500	500	500	500	500	3,000
0	Arenas	3-119	525	525	525	525	525	525	3,150
APITAL	Indoor Aquatic Facilities	3-120	650	2,450	2,530	2,530	2,530	2,530	13,220
Ā	Outdoor Aquatic Facilities	3-121	350	350	350	350	330	330	2,060
	Community Facilities	3-122	200	200	200	200	200	200	1,200
BUDG	Fire / Life Safety Replacement / Asbestos Abatement	3-123	225	225	225	225	225	225	1,350
Ĕ	Security / Building Automation Systems Initiatives	3-124	125	125	125	125	225	225	950
	Building Asset / Work Management Program	3-125	100	100	100	100	200	200	800
	UFF - Hockey Pen Light Standard Replacement	3-126	100	100	100	100	300	300	1,000
	Pan Am Pool Building Envelope	3-127	1,000						1,000
	North End Centennial Pool Boiler/Chimney Replacement	3-128	800						800
	Recreation and Leisure Facilities								
	- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		860	8,000	1,000				9,860
	- General Purpose Reserve (Repayment)			(8,000)	(1,000)				(9,000)
Ņ	- Transfer from Sewage Disposal Utility Capital Program		3,292						3,292
2-13	- Canada Strategic Infrastructure Fund - Province		3,112						3,112
	- Interim Financing (Repayment)		(7,164)						(7,164)
	Total Recreation and Leisure Facilities	3-129	100						100
	TOTAL BUILDING SERVICES		4.675	4,575	4,655	4.655	5.035	5,035	28,630

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY		ADOPTED BY COUNCIL DECEMBER 15, 2009						
(in Thousands of \$)	DETAIL	Durlant						2010-2015
	PAGE NO.	Budget 2010	2011	2012	FORECAST 2013	2014	2015	6 YEAR TOTAL
CITY CLERKS								
Corporate Records Centre	3-130			200				200
Election Systems	3-131	150			150	200		500
Voting Machine Replacement	3-132	250						250
City Archives	3-133	500	500	500	500	500	500	3,000
Variety Heritage Adventure Park								
- General Purpose Reserve	3-134	250						250
Manitoba Children's Museum Capital Renewal Project								
- General Purpose Reserve	3-135		100					100
Le Cercle Molière Theatre Facility								
- General Purpose Reserve	3-136		50					50
TOTAL CITY CLERKS		1,150	650	700	650	700	500	4,350
CORPORATE SUPPORT SERVICES								
Server Consolidation	3-137	833						833
Time and Labour	3-138	250						250
Consolidated Data Warehouse / Business Intelligence	3-139	795	925		169	203	218	2,310
E-Government	3-140		310	617	629	705	603	2,864
Communications Network Infrastructure	3-141	553	638	643	277	641	566	3,318
Network Computing Initiatives	3-142	209	211	213	213	212	212	1,270
PeopleSoft Upgrade	3-143		1,500	1,000	200			2,700
311 Renewal	3-144				300	646	729	1,675
TOTAL CORPORATE SUPPORT SERVICES		2,640	3,584	2,473	1,788	2,407	2,328	15,220
ACCECOMENT AND TAXATION								
ASSESSMENT AND TAXATION Assessment Automation	2 4 4 5	1 000		500			4 000	0.500
	3-145	1,000		500			1,000	2,500
OTHER PROJECTS								
Innovative Capital								
- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		250						250
- General Purpose Reserve (Repayment)		(250)						(250)
Total Innovative Capital	3-146							. ,
Capital Investment Fund	3-147		2,281	6,400	2,200	2,120	3,450	16,451
TOTAL OTHER PROJECTS			2,281	6,400	2,200	2,120	3,450	16,451

2-14

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			ADO	PTED BY CO	UNCIL DECE	MBER 15, 20	09	
(in Thousands of \$)	DETAIL							2010-2015
	PAGE	Budget	0014		FORECAST	2014	0045	6 YEAR
	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
TRANSIT								
Transit Security Enhancements	3-148	250						250
Fare Collection System								
- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		5,370	4,870					10,240
- Interim Financing		2,500	(2,500)					
Total Fare Collection System	3-149	7,870	2,370					10,240
Innovative Transit Program	3-150	800	800	800	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,400
Transit Building Replacement / Refurbishment								
- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)			1,413	3,842	4,944	5,970		16,169
- Federal Gas Tax		5,880	5,880	5,880	5,880	5,880	4,771	34,171
Total Transit Building Replacement / Refurbishment	3-151	5,880	7,293	9,722	10,824	11,850	4,771	50,340
Transit Buses								
- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		2,296	82	421	5,279	5,594	6,132	19,804
- Transit Bus Replacement Reserve		5,976	9,836	10,239	7,466	7,466	7,466	48,449
- Rapid Transit Infrastructure Reserve			4,242					4,242
- Provincial Building Manitoba Fund		3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840	23,040
- Federal Gas Tax							1,109	1,109
- Public Transit Capital Trust/Bill C-66 Funding		1,000						1,000
Total Transit Buses	3-152	13,112	18,000	14,500	16,585	16,900	18,547	97,644
TOTAL TRANSIT		27,912	28,463	25,022	28,409	29,750	24,318	163,874

2-15

	CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			ADO	PTED BY CO	UNCIL DECE	MBER 15, 20	09	
20	(in Thousands of \$)	DETAIL PAGE	Budget			FORECAST			2010-2015 6 YEAR
2010 ADOPTED		NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
DOF	WATER & WASTE								
ΪE	Land Drainage and Flood Control - Tax Supported Portion								
	Land Drainage and Flood Control - Regional								
AP	Financed by Equity in Capital Assets:								
CAPITAL	Stormwater Retention Basin Rehabilitation	3-153		200		400		400	1,000
Ē	Flood Pumping Station Rehabilitation	3-154	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	6,000
BUDGI	Land Drainage and Combined Sewers Outfall Gate Structures	3-155	1,450	1,450	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	8,900
ĴĒŢ	Floodplain Management	3-156	500	100	200	.,	100	.,	900
•	Seine River Waterway Acquisition	3-157	150	150	150	150	150	150	900
	Primary Dike Upgrading	3-158	300		490	500	948	900	3,138
	Land Drainage Safety Upgrading Program	3-159	200		100		100		400
	Land Drainage Asset Management Strategy	3-160		200					200
	Land Drainage Utility Study and Implementation	3-161		500					500
	Permanent Flood Pumping Stations	3-162	200		250				450
	Financed by Frontage Levy and Sewer System Rehabilitation Reserve:								
Ņ	Combined Sewer Flood Relief								
2-16	- Frontage Levy		800						800
	- Sewer System Rehabilitation Reserve		6,400	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200	42,400
	Total Combined Sewer Flood Relief	3-163	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200	43,200
	Flood Relief Sewers - Separate								
	- Frontage Levy		300						300
	- Sewer System Rehabilitation Reserve			300	300	300	300	300	1,500
	Total Flood Relief Sewers - Separate	3-164	300	300	300	300	300	300	1,800
N	Financed by Developer Capacity Charges:								
2011	Development Agreement Paybacks	3-165		500	500	500		500	2,000
ъ	Fernbank Avenue Land Drainage Sewer	3-166				875			875
2015	Total Land Drainage and Flood Control - Regional		11,300	11,600	11,690	12,425	11,298	11,950	70,263
15 I	Land Drainage and Flood Control - Residential								
FIVE	Outfall Rehabilitation - Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)	3-167	600	1,550	1,750	2,000	1,200	1,200	8,300
E YEAR	Total Land Drainage and Flood Control - Residential		600	1,550	1,750	2,000	1,200	1,200	8,300
AR FO	TOTAL LAND DRAINAGE AND FLOOD CONTROL		11,900	13,150	13,440	14,425	12,498	13,150	78,563

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			ADOPTED BY COUNCIL DECEMBER 15, 2009						
(in Thousands of \$)	DETAIL PAGE	Budget			ORECAST			2010-2015 6 YEAR	
	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL	
WATER WORKS SYSTEM									
Financed by Waterworks Retained Earnings:									
Saskatchewan Avenue Feedermain	3-168				3,400			3,400	
Water Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) Upgrade	3-169		1,800	2,900	0,100			4,700	
Shoal Lake Aqueduct Intake Facility Assessment and Rehabilitation	3-170	1,700	,	,				1,700	
Shoal Lake Intake Chloramination Facility	3-170	,		2,000				2,000	
General Water Infrastructure Rehabilitation	3-172		300	300	300	500	500	1,900	
Waverley West Feedermain	3-173		000	7,000			000	7,000	
Feedermain Condition Assessment and Rehabilitation	3-174			500	500	1,000	1,000	3,000	
Water Utility Asset Management Strategy	3-175		200			1,000	1,000	200	
Water Supply Valve Installation / Replacement Program	3-176		750	750	750	750	750	3,750	
Hurst Pumping Station Building - Structural Repairs	3-177	200	900					1,100	
Chlorination Decommission at Regional Pump Stations	3-178	200		115				115	
Safety Upgrades - Isolation Valves at Valve and Meter Pits	3-179	300						300	
Deacon Chemical Feed Facility Upgrading	3-180	400	1.000					1,400	
Regional Pumping Stations Structural Ungrades	3-181		500					500	
Wilkes Reservoir "B" Pit Upgrades/Rehabilitation	3-182		600					600	
Asbestos Containing Materials Operations & Maintenance Program	3-183		125	125	125		125	500	
Branch I Aqueduct at Seine River - Riverbank Stabilization	3-184	1,000						1,000	
Regional Pumping Stations Reliability Upgrades	3-185	.,	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000		4,000	
Waterworks System Security Upgrades	3-186	500	500	500	500	500	500	3,000	
Regulatory Assessment of Water System Infrastructure and Water Supply	3-187					910		910	
Sources									
Customer Information and Services System (CISS) Project - Phase 2	3-188	1,025						1,025	
Cost of Service Rates Study	3-189	150						150	
Safety Upgrades - Regional Reservoir Access	3-190	700						700	
Synergen/GIS Interface	3-191	150						150	
552 Plinguet - Water Services Building Roof Rehabilitation	3-192	300						300	
Aqueduct Bridging Structure at Deacon	3-193	100	600					700	
Civil Maintenance Shop and Storage Building at Deacon	3-194	500						500	
Deacon Reservoir Box Culvert Rehabilitation and Embankment Repairs	3-195	800	1,200					2,000	

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY		09						
(in Thousands of \$)	DETAIL PAGE	Budget FORECAST						2010-2015 6 YEAR
	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
WATER WORKS SYSTEM (continued)								
Deacon Booster Pumping Station Suction Header Divider Valve	3-196	100	1,200					1,300
GIS Database Upgrades and Continuous Development	3-197	100						100
Foundation Drainage Improvements for Deacon Booster Pumping Station	3-198		250					250
Water Works By-law 504/73 Revision	3-199		175					175
Deacon Site Flood Protection	3-200			500				500
Tache Booster Pumping Station	3-201				5,300			5,300
Water Treatment Research and Process Optimization Facility	3-202					750		750
Branch Aqueduct Condition Assessment and Rehabilitation	3-203						1,000	1,000
Water Services Division Operations Accreditation	3-204						500	500
Total Financed by Waterworks Retained Earnings		8,025	11,100	15,690	11,875	5,410	4,375	56,475
Watermain Renewals								
- Watermain Renewal Reserve	3-205	12,000	13,000	14,000	15,000	16,000	17,000	87,000
Shoal Lake Aqueduct Asset Preservation								
- Retained Earnings	3-206	600	700	1,300			1,000	3,600
TOTAL WATERWORKS SYSTEM (Utility Supported)		20,625	24,800	30,990	26,875	21,410	22,375	147,075

	CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY		ADOPTED BY COUNCIL DECEMBER 15, 2009							
20	(in Thousands of \$)	DETAIL PAGE	Budget		,	FORECAST			2010-2015 6 YEAR	
2010 ADOPTED		NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL	
DOF	SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM									
Ĕ	Sewage Disposal System - Regional									
	Financed by Sewage Disposal System Retained Earnings:									
CAPITAL BUDGET	Lift Stations Upgrading	3-207	750	1,750	1,750	750	750	750	6,50	
ALI	Inflow / Infiltration / Cross-Connection Study and Mitigation	3-208		,			2,000		2,00	
Ē	Sewer System Isolation in Areas Protected by Secondary Dikes	3-209		500	1.000	1,000	,		2,50	
B	Raw Sewage Pump Replacement - NEWPCC	3-210	2,550	5,450	,	,			8,000	
GE	Upgrading External Power Supply - NEWPCC	3-211	,	-,	6.000				6,000	
-	Hauled Liquid Waste Acceptance Facility - Wastewater Pollution Control	3-212	2,050	2,800					4,850	
	Centres									
	Re-Roofing at Water Pollution Control Centres	3-213		400		400		400	1,200	
	Centrifuge Replacement - NEWPCC	3-214			9,500				9,500	
	River Crossings Monitoring and Rehabilitation	3-215	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	7,800	
	Asbestos Containing Materials Operations & Maintenance Program	3-216	200	125	125				45	
	Digester Area Upgrades - NEWPCC	3-217	900						90	
	Water Pollution Control Centre Reliability Upgrades	3-218		2,500	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	20,000	
2-19	HVAC Replacement - WEWPCC	3-219	6,000	6,320					12,320	
e	Traffic Safety Upgrades - NEWPCC	3-220				2,450			2,450	
	Holding Tanks Coating - NEWPCC	3-221				2,550			2,550	
	Primary Clarifier Covers - NEWPCC	3-222				25,500			25,500	
	Wastewater Utility Asset Management Strategy	3-223	200	200					400	
	Customer Information and Services System (CISS) Project - Phase 2	3-224	1,025						1,02	
	Cost of Service Rates Study	3-225	150						150	
	New Discharge Chamber - NEWPCC	3-226				5,000	10,000		15,000	
2011	New Surgewell - NEWPCC	3-227		4,000	9,000				13,000	
-	Grit Handling Upgrades - NEWPCC	3-228		2,400	4,900	6,600			13,900	
TO 2	Synergen/GIS Interface	3-229	150						150	
2015 FIVE	GIS Database Upgrades and Continuous Development	3-230	100						100	
Ę	Main Pump Well Overhead Crane Replacement - NEWPCC	3-231	400						400	
	Raw Sewage Pump - WEWPCC	3-232	3,000						3,000	
YEAR	Cockburn & Jesse Lift Stations Upgrades to Facilitate Fort Rouge Yards	3-233	-	2,000	1,000				3,000	
R	Development									
FORECAST	Variable Frequency Drives (VFDs) for Process Blowers - WEWPCC	3-234	200						200	
Ĩ	Total Financed by Sewage Disposal Retained Earnings		18,975	29,745	37,075	50,550	19,050	7,450	162,845	

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY		ADOPTED BY COUNCIL DECEMBER 15, 2009								
(in Thousands of \$)	DETAIL PAGE	Budget			FORECAST			2010-2015 6 YEAR		
							2015	TOTAL		
Financed by Other Sources:										
Environmental Impact Statement and Public Hearings										
- Environmental Reserve	3-235	100	200	200	100	100	100	800		
Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) Management Strategy & Miscellaneous										
Mitigation										
- Environmental Reserve	3-236	8,000	9,000	9,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	56,000		
Biosolids - Alternative Disposal Delivery & Management System										
- Environmental Reserve	3-237		14,922	12,191	11,325	11,313	6,899	56,650		
Nutrient Removal / Expansion - SEWPCC										
- Canada Strategic Infrastructure Fund (CSIF) - Federal		8,035						8,035		
- Canada Strategic Infrastructure Fund (CSIF) - Provincial		10,392						10,392		
- External Debt		48,865						48,865		
- Transfer to General Capital Fund		(3,292)						(3,292		
Total Nutrient Removal - SEWPCC	3-238	64,000						64,000		
Nutrient Removal - NEWPCC										
- External Debt	3-240	21,000	150,000	150,000	65,000			386,000		
Total Sewage Disposal System - Regional		112,075	203,867	208,466	136,975	40,463	24,449	726,295		
Sewer Renewal										
- Sewer System Rehabilitation Reserve	3-241	12,600	13,200	13,900	14,600	15,300	16,100	85,700		
TOTAL SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM		124,675	217,067	222,366	151,575	55,763	40,549	811,995		

	CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			ADO	PTED BY CO	UNCIL DECE	MBER 15, 20	09		
20`	(in Thousands of \$)	DETAIL PAGE	Budget			FORECAST			2010-2015 6 YEAR	
IO AI		NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL	
2010 ADOPTED CAPITAL BUDGET	SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL SYSTEM									
Ē	Summit Landfill Closure / Post-Closure									
Ŝ	- External Debt	3-242		400	400	400			1,20	
PI	Miscellaneous Land Acquisition	0 212		400	-100	400			1,20	
Þ.	- Retained Earnings	3-243					200		20	
BC	Environmental Act Licence for Brady Landfill	0 2 10								
DG	- Retained Earnings	3-244	400						40	
Щ	Brady Landfill Staff Building									
	- Retained Earnings	3-245	100						10	
	Landfill Cell Excavation								_	
	- Retained Earnings		1,467						1,46	
	- External Debt		1,408				4,500		5,90	
	Total Landfill Cell Excavation	3-246	2,875				4,500		7,37	
	Brady Landfill Gas Capture Project									
	- Provincial Government Funding		4,450						4,45	
2-21	- External Debt		4,450						4,45	
2	Total Brady Landfill Gas Capture Project	3-247	8,900						8,90	
	Summit Landfill Leachate Collection Assessment									
	- External Debt	3-248			200				20	
	Street Sweepings Recycling Program Implementation									
	- Provincial Government Funding (Environmental Grant)	3-249	100						10	
	TOTAL SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL SYSTEM		12,375	400	600	400	4,700		18,47	
2011	SPECIAL OPERATING AGENCIES									
1 TO	WINNIPEG GOLF SERVICES									
0 2	Golf Course Improvements									
015	- Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		115	(23)	(23)	(23)	(23)	(23)		
F	- Golf Course Reserve		300	(23)	(23)	23	23	(23)	71	
2015 FIVE YEAR FORECAST	TOTAL WINNIPEG GOLF SERVICES	3-250	415	173	173	23	23	23	71	
Ē		3-230	415	150	150				/1	
70	TOTAL SPECIAL OPERATING AGENCIES		415	150	150				71	



The City of Winnipeg

# **Capital Projects Detail**

2010

**Adopted Capital Budget** 

and

2011 to 2015 Five Year Forecast

# **Table of Contents**

# **Capital Projects Listing by Department**

Public Works	3-1
Local Improvements	3-45
Community Services	3-46
Winnipeg Police Service	3-65
Fire Paramedic Service	3-82
Planning, Property and Development	3-84
Civic Accommodations	3-100
Building Services	3-118
City Clerks	3-130
Corporate Support Services	3-137
Assessment and Taxation	3-145
Other Projects	3-146
Transit	3-148
Water and Waste	3-153
Winnipeg Golf Services	3-250

#### Notes:

- 1. Capital budget amounts are estimates only and are subject to materials management policy (e.g. tenders, bids, and contract awards including Public Private Partnership proposals).
- 2. In the attached Capital Projects Listing, tax supported capital projects are financed by cash to capital unless another funding source is disclosed for the project. In addition, the Manitoba Winnipeg Infrastructure Program, Provincial funding for Road Improvements and Prior Years' Surplus financing amounts are not all allocated to individual capital projects. These unallocated financing amounts will offset cash to capital requirements.
- 3. The Public Service uses the Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineering (AACE) International Classification system for capital budget estimate purposes for all non-scalable projects. Capital estimates for non-scalable projects contained in this budget document are supported by a class 4 or 5 estimate, unless specifically noted.

#### Project Name: Recreational Walkways and Bike Paths - Various Locations

Department: Project: Public Works 183200XX10

Capital Priority Rating: 3 Service: R

g: 3 - 4 e: Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	6,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)			500	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	4,500	4,500
Provincial Funding for Road									
Improvements	4,500	1,000	500					500	1,500
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		1,000	925	925	1,000	1,000	1,000	150	6,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)		425	925	1,000	1,000	1,000	150	4,500
Provincial Funding for Road Improve	ements	1,000	500						1,500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		60	56	56					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)	5	10	15					
Debt and finance charges increase				43					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		55	46	(2)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Program for the construction of new recreational walkways and bike paths facilities where no walkway or cycle facility presently exists, and where the provision of such a facility is warranted.

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

Project Name:

#### **New Regional Sidewalks**

Department: Project:

#### Public Works 183200XX10

Capital Priority Rating: Service:

3 - 4 Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	250	250	250	250	250	250	1,250	1,500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		250	250	250	250	250	250	1,250	1,500
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		213	250	250	250	250	250	37	1,500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	213	250	250	250	250	250	37	1,500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)		13	15	15					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)	1	2	3					
Debt and finance charges increase			20	46					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		12	(7)	(34)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Annual program for the construction of new sidewalks on regional streets where none presently exist and where provision is warranted.

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

# Project Name: Active Transportation Corridors

Department: Project:

Public Works 183200XX10 Capital Priority Rating: 3 Service: R

3 - 4 Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	1,600	500	500	500	500	500	500	2,500	3,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		500	500	500	500	500	500	2,500	3,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		425	500	500	500	500	500	75	3,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	425	500	500	500	500	500	75	3,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		26	30	30					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)	133	266	399					
Debt and finance charges increase			40	93					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(107)	(276)	(462)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Marking of "sharrows" symbol on the road and associated signage.

Project Name:

#### Active Transportation Project - Infrastructure Stimulus Fund

Department: Project: Public Works 183200XX10 Capital Priority Rating: Service:

ting: 3 - 4 vice: Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	20,413	-	-	-	-	-	· -	20,413
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		6,805						_	6,805
Provincial Government Funding		6,804						-	6,804
Infrastructure Stimulus Fund		6,804						-	6,804
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		17,351	3,062	-	-	-	-	· -	20,413
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	5,784	1,021						6,805
Provincial Government Funding		5,783	1,021						6,804
Infrastructure Stimulus Fund		5,783	1,021						6,804
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		347	61	-					
Less:		_	-						
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)	570	570	570					
Debt and finance charges increase			551	682					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(223)	(1,060)	(1,252)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

On September 11, 2009 over \$20 million in joint Federal-Provincial-City investments to Winnipeg's Active Transportation facilities was announced.

#### Active Transportation - Infrastructure Stimulus Fund (continued):

Funds will be allocated to:		(all figures in \$000's):
Ellice/St Mathews Bikeway	Berry St to Garry St	400
Brazier/Roch Bikeway	Midwinter Ave to Sutton Ave	350
Jubilee Ave	Pembina Hwy to Cockburn St	900
Alexander/Pacific Bikeway	King St to King Edward St	425
Eugenie/Des Meurons Bikeway	St Mary's to Fermor	125
St. Charles/Flora	Pritchard to Higgins	60
Sherbrook/Maryland Bike Lanes	Maryland Bridge to Alexander	228
York Bike Lanes	Main St to Portage Ave	60
St. Mary Bike Lanes	Main St to Portage Ave	60
Grosvenor Bikeway	Centennial St to Wellington Cresc	525
Fleet/Warsaw Bikeway	Centennial St to Nassau St	675
Kildare Bikeway	Plessis Rd to Chadwick Cresc	675
Machray Bikeway	Main St to Keewatin St	900
Hay Bikeway	Brandon Ave to Montgomery Ave	225
Pritchard Bikeway	Main St to Keewatin St	900
Berry	Assiniboine Ave to Ellice Ave	260
Harrow	Pembina Hwy to Wellington Cresc	375
Nassau	Roslyn Rd to Grant Ave	225
Bannatyne/ McDermot Bikeway	Waterfront Dr to Sherbrook St	250
Omand's Creek Bridge	Over Omand's Creek	1,000
Silver Ave	Sturgeon Rd to Berry St	2,020
Moray Pathway	Ness Ave to Murry Park Rd	400
Transcona Trail Phase I	Regent Ave to Day St	1,200
Transcona Trail Phase II	Day St to Perimeter Hwy	1,300
Dugald Rd	Plessis Rd to Lagimodiere Blvd	900
Wilkes Ave	Victor Lewis Dr to Waverley St	300
Waverley St	North of Buffalo Pl	100
Sommerville to Seel	Across hydro corridor	60
Sherwin Pathway	Saskatchewan Ave to Notre Dame Ave	500
Rue Archibald	Fermor to Guilbault St	900
Bison	Pembina Hwy to Barnes St	240
Lagimondiere	Bishop Grandin Blvd to Fermor	700
Dakota/Dunkirk Pathway	Ward Ave to Bishop Grandin Blvd	1,400
Dakota/Dunkirk Pathway	Bishop Grandin Blvd to Fermor	900
Kildonan Golf Course	Kildonan Park to Chief Peguis Bridge	500
Seine River	Shorehill Drive to Creek Bend Rd	250
Assiniboine Bikeway	Osborne St to Main St	125
Total Active Transportation Program		20,413

Project Name:

#### Traffic Signals Enhancement

Department: Project:

#### Public Works 183400XX10

Capital Priority Rating: Service:

3 - 4
 Transportation Planning and Traffic Management

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	5,100	2,300	2,300	2,300	-	-	-	4,600	6,900
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		2,300	2,300	2,300				4,600	6,900
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		1,955	2,300	2,300	345	-	-	· _	6,900
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	1,955	2,300	2,300	345				6,900
NET OPERATING IMPACT	•	2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		117	138	138					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)	10	20	30					
Debt and finance charges increase			186	426					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		107	(68)	(318)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

A program whose main goal is to improve the movement of people and goods using the transportation system by improving the coordination of traffic signals along major corridors in the City.

- Increases existing system capacity by providing full monitoring capability of local controller operations.
- Provides a multi-protocol communications system that allows for the use of the existing field equipment as well as for the future procurement of different controller types from different manufacturers.
- Provides capacity for future system expansion.
- Upgrades remaining electro-mechanical signal controllers to full electronic technology.
- Minimizes on-going communications costs by using standard low speed communications circuits (both wireline and wireless) with up to 32 intersections per circuit, and maximizing the use of local intersection control equipment for both local and coordinated operations (and as a backup in the event of a communications failure).

#### Project Name: Developer Payback - Various Locations

Department: Project: Public Works 180700xx10

Capital Priority Rating: 2 - 3 Service: Road

Roadway Construction and Maintenance

		2010		F	ORECAST	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011 **	2012 **	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	250	2,100	9,500	-	-	-	11,600	11,850
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		250	1,805	9,500				11,305	11,555
Developer Funding			295	-,				295	295
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		250	1,805	9,795	-	-	-	-	11,850
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	250	1,805	9,500					11,555
Developer Funding				295					295
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		15	108	588					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			24	206					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		15	84	382					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

A development agreement payback is the required funding to enable the City to fulfill a Council approved contractual obligation for certain works constructed by the developer within the right-of-way.

Funds will be allocated to:	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
Concordia Avenue interchange Lagimodiere Boulevard & Warde Avenue	250						250
intersection Waverley Street twinning Tim Sale to northern			500				500
limit of Ladco property		2,100					2,100
Plessis Road twinning			9,000				9,000
	250	2,100	9,500	-	-	-	11,850

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

\*\* Supported by class estimates ranging from 1 to 5, see Appendix # 2.

#### Project Name: Kenaston Boulevard - Academy Road to Taylor Avenue

Department:	
Project:	

# Public Works 1803000601

Capital Priority Rating:


y Rating: 3 - 4 Service: Roadway Construction and Maintenance

		2010		F	ORECAST	I.		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted *	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	2,900	-	100	100	100	100	100	500	500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)			100	100	100	100	100	500	500
	1							Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	85	100	100	100	100	15	500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)		85	100	100	100	100	15	500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
		2010	-	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)			5	0					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase				9					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	5	(3)					

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The estimate includes funding for the provision of maintenance of the roadway until the facility is reconstructed. On April 19, 1995, Council approved the expenditure of \$100,000 annually until the construction of the permanent rehabilitation.

\* Due to available unspent balances, no program authorization required.



#### Project Name: Traffic Engineering Improvements - Various Locations

Department: Project: Public Works 183100xx10

Capital Priority Rating: 3 Service: Tr

3 - 4 Transportation Planning and Traffic Management

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	850	950	1,050	1,150	1,250	1,350	5,750	6,600
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		850	950	1,050	1,150	1,250	1,350	5,750 -	6,600 -
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		723	935	1,035	1,135	1,235	1,335	202	6,600
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	723	935	1,035	1,135	1,235	1,335	202	6,600
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		43	56	62					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								

69

(13)

43

166

(104)

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This is an annual program which includes city-wide installation of:

- new traffic control signals and pedestrian corridors,
- audible pedestrian signals,

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

- roadside safety improvements,
- traffic calming measures,
- miscellaneous geometric improvements,

Debt and finance charges increase

- traffic sign replacements,
- railway crossing safety improvements,
- traffic signals system enhancement program.

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

Project Name:

#### Inkster Boulevard - Keewatin Street to Brookside Boulevard


Department: Project: Public Works 1804000508 Capital Priority Rating:

y Rating: 3 - 4 Service: Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	16,332	15,770	-	-	-	-	-	· -	15,770
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)	5,626							-	-
Developer Funding	1,706							-	-
Provincial Funding for Road Improvements	9,000	7,100						-	7,100
Federal Gas Tax		8,670						-	8,670
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		15,770	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2013	15,770
Financed by:		15,770	-	-	-	-		-	15,770
Provincial Funding for Road Improve	ments	7,100							7,100
Federal Gas Tax		8,670							8,670
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		946	2011	2012					
Less:		0.0							
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)			30					
Debt and finance charges increase	010000)			00					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		946	-	(30)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Twinning of Inkster Boulevard between Keewatin Street and Brookside Boulevard will improve capacity to accommodate existing and projected travel demands, especially for movement of commercial goods. On April 29, 2009 Council approved a first call on the 2010 Budget in the amount of \$15,770,000.



#### Project Name: Regional and Local Streets

Department: Project:

Public Works 180400xx10 Capital Priority Rating: 3 Service: R

3 - 4 Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	36,578	33,605	26,778	42,433	45,977	39,218	188,011	224,589
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		11,390	21,400	10,423	26,078	29,622	22,863	110,386	121,776
Interim Financing		4,150	(4,150)					(4,150)	-
Federal Gas Tax		14,038	9,355	9,355	9,355	9,355	9,355	46,775	60,813
Provincial Building Manitoba Fund - Roads	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	35,000	42,000
Provincial Funding for Road Improvements	27,600							-	-
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		34,248	33,349	27,802	40,085	45,445	40,232	3,428	224,589
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	9,682	19,899	12,070	23,730	29,090	23,877	3,428	121,776
Interim Financing		3,528	(2,905)	(623)					-
Federal Gas Tax		14,038	9,355	9,355	9,355	9,355	9,355		60,813
Provincial Building Manitoba Fund - I	Roads	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000		42,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<b>[</b>				

NET OPERATING IMPACT	2010	2011	2012
Revenue increase/(decrease)	2,055	2,001	1,668
Less:			
Operating expenditures increase/(decrease)			
Debt and finance charges increase		922	2,964
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	2,055	1,079	(1,296)

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Regional and Local Streets program includes the renewal and reconstruction of the City's streets, sidewalks, and lanes. The purpose of this program is to maintain the level of service, structural integrity, and rideability of the streets infrastructure network. This program also includes funding for improving granular surface roadways that are functioning as collector-type streets and/or have significant traffic volumes and will focus on Type II Road Oiling Surface Treatment as the upgrade.

See next page for program details.

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

### PUBLIC WORKS - GENERAL CAPITAL FUND

Regional and Local Streets (continued):				Authorizat	liana		
Future projects in Regional and Local Street Renewals				Authorizat	lion		<u>.</u>
Program include the following (all figures in 000's):	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Six-Yea Tota
Regional Sidewalk and Curb Renewals:							
Sidewalk and Curb Renewals - Regional Streets	500	500	500	500	500	500	3,000
Regional Paving of Granular Shoulders:							
Paving of Granular Shoulders - Regional Streets	250	250	250	250	250	250	1,500
<b>Regional Major Rehabilitation Works:</b> Corydon Avenue - Cambridge Street to Kenaston Boulevard	600	570	700	500	500	500	3,370
Regent Avenue - Winona to Day	1,050	0.0					1,050
Taylor Avenue EB - Waverley to Nathaniel	1,925						1,92
Taylor Avenue EB - Nathaniel to Wilton	1,000						1,000
Taylor Avenue WB - Nathaniel to Waverley	2,150						2,150
Logan Avenue - Trinity to McPhillips	_,	3,835					3,83
Dugald Road WB - Plessis to Mazenod		2,630					2,630
Dugald Road WB - Mazenod to Lagimodiere		_,000	2,100				2,100
Osborne Street N River to S. limit of bridge & N. limit of			_,				_,
bridge to Broadway		2,220					2,220
Regional Mill and Fill Rehabilitation Works:							
Jubilee Ave - Osborne to Pembina	1,130						1,130
Main St SB - Seaforth to Jefferson	940						94
Pembina Hwy SB - Chevrier to Bishop Grandin	890						890
Portage Ave EB - Rita to Whytewold	160						16
Roblin Blvd EB - Alcrest to W Park Entrance	420						420
Route 90 NB - Dublin to Pacific		670					670
Pembina Hwy SB - Bison to Kirkbridge		940					940
Portage Ave WB - Cavalier to David		440					440
McPhillips St NB - Selkirk to Redwood		400					400
St. Mary's Road - Wallmer to Tache		310					310
Portage Ave EB - Woodhaven to Lake		480					480
Portage Ave EB - Sharp to Woodlawn		210					21
St. Anne's Rd NB - Beliveau to Fermor							
Portage Ave WB - Aldine to Sturgeon			840				840
Mc Phillips St SB - Logan to Notre Dame			760				76
Pembina Hwy SB - Jubilee to McGillivray			840				840
Lagimodiere NB - Fermor to Cottonwood			1,270				1,270
Century St NB - Wellington to Saskatchewan			360				360
Unallocated	13		8				2
Regional Street Renewals - Annual Program - Various locations to be identified.				22,033	25,577	18,818	66,428
Granular Roadway Improvements	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	18,000
Lane Renewals - Various Locations	2,750	2,750	2,750	2,750	2,750	2,750	16,500
Sidewalk Renewals on Local Streets - Various Locations	400	400	400	400	400	400	2,400
Local Street Renewals - Various Locations	15,400	12,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	67,40
Local Thin Bituminous Overlay (TBO)	4,000	2,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	18,000
Total Regional and Local Streets Program	36,578	33,605	26,778	42,433	45,977	39,218	224,58
	30,370	33,005	20,110	72,400	-10,811	53,210	224,00

Note: Any surplus funds will automatically be transferred to the following years Regional and Local Streets Program Budget.

#### Project Name: Sturgeon Road Bridge (Sturgeon Creek)


Department: Project: Public Works 180500xx10 Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 4 Service: Road

Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	1,000	1,033	10,977	-	-	-	12,010	13,010
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		1,000	1,033	10,977				12,010	13,010
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		850	1,028	9,485	1,647	-	-		13,010
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	850	1,028	9,485	1,647				13,010
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012			<u> </u>		
Revenue increase/(decrease)		51	62	569					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			81	188					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		51	(19)	381					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Construct a new bridge to replace existing deteriorated structure. The new structure will eliminate truck load restrictions and allow for a linear pedestrian pathway along Sturgeon Creek underneath the bridge. Preliminary engineering design in 2010, detailed design in 2011, with construction in 2012.



#### Project Name: **Osborne Street Bridge (Assiniboine River)**

Department:	
Project:	

Public Works 1805000209


Capital Priority Rating: Service:

3 - 4 Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	ORECAST	•		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	1,000	355	6,776	6,294	-	-	-	· 13,070	13,425
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)	500	177	3,388	3,160				6,548	6,725
Provincial Funding for Road Improvements	500	178	3,388	3,134				6,522	6,700
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		328	6,295	6,328	474	-	-	· -	13,425
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	150	2,907	3,194	474				6,725
Provincial Funding for Road Improve	ements	178	3,388	3,134					6,700
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		20	378	380					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			14	306					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		20	364	74					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Full rehabilitation of the bridge including roadside safety improvements. Preliminary engineering design in 2009, detailed engineering design in 2010 with construction over a two-year period in 2011 and 2012. Associated Roadwork from Broadway to River is identified in the Regional & Local Streets Program for 2011.



#### Project Name: Jubilee Avenue Overpass (Pembina Highway)

Department: Project: Public Works 1805000309

Capital Priority Rating: 3 Service: R


3 - 4 Roadway Construction and Maintenance

Buritan		2010			FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	<b>.</b>
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	896	7,638	-	-	-	-			7,638
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)	672							-	-
Provincial Funding for Road Improvements	224	1,876						-	1,876
Federal Gas Tax		5,762						-	5,762
	1							Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		7,638	-	-	-	-			7,638
Financed by:									
Provincial Funding for Road Improve	ements	1,876							1,876
Federal Gas Tax		5,762							5,762
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)		458							
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		458	-	-					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Full rehabilitation of overpass bridge structure and related roadway approach ramps including roadside safety improvements. Engineering design in 2009 with construction in 2010.

\*\* Supported by a class 3 estimate, see Appendix # 2.



### Project Name: Waverley Street / CN Mainline Grade Separation

Department:	
Project:	


Public Works 180500xx11 Capital Priority Rating: Service:

ig: 3 - 4ie: Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	1,119	-	-	-		· 1,119	1,119
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)			1,119					1,119	1,119
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	951	168	-	-		· -	1,119
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	-	951	168					1,119
NET OPERATING IMPACT	•	2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)			57	10					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase				95					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	57	(85)					

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

2011- Includes conceptual engineering, study, and public consultation.



#### Project Name: Louise Bridge (Red River)

Department: Project:


Public Works 180500xx13 Capital Priority Rating: Service:

3 - 4 Roadway Construction and Maintenance

					FORECAST			Five-Year Forecast Total	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015		
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	2,581	-	2,000	4,581	4,581
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)					2,581		2,000	4,581	4,581
								Beyond	_
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	2,194	387	1,700	300	4,581
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)				2,194	387	1,700	300	4,581
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<u>                                     </u>				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

2013 - Project budget shown is to undertake conceptual design, preliminary design, public consultation, and property acquisition. 2015 - Engineering detailed design. Options for the potential rehabilitation or replacement will be considered. If a new four lane replacement bridge on its current alignment is required the total estimated project budget is \$100,000,000 assuming construction is undertaken in 2016 and 2017.



#### Project Name: **Pembina Highway Underpass**

Department:	
Project:	

#### Public Works 180500xx11

Capital Priority Rating:


Service:

3 - 4 Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year Forecast Total	a: x
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015		Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	1,300	-	7,000	6,000	-	14,300	14,300
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)			1,300		7,000	6,000		14,300	14,300
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	1,105	195	5,950	6,150	900	-	14,300
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)		1,105	195	5,950	6,150	900		14,300
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)			66	12					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase				111					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	66	(99)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Preliminary Engineering in 2011. 2013 & 2014 - Widen northbound to 3 lanes. Construct pedestrian/active transportation access through the CN Rail Embankment. Improve land drainage/pumping and reconstruct pavement in both directions.



#### Project Name: St. James Street Bridge (Omand's Creek)


Department: Project: Public Works 180500xx12 Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 4 Service: Road

Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	ORECAST	•		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	200	1,961	-	-	2,161	2,161
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)				200	1,961			2,161	2,161
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	170	1,697	294	-	-	2,161
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)			170	1,697	294			2,161
NET OPERATING IMPACT	Г			2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)				10					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	10					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

2012 - Preliminary engineering design. 2013 - Detailed design and construction to undertake full rehabilitation and safety improvements.



## Project Name: Ness Avenue Culvert (Sturgeon Creek)

Department: Project: Public Works 180500xx13


Capital Priority Rating: Service:

g: 3 - 4 e: Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	Five-Year				
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	1,000	2,000	8,000	11,000	11,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)					1,000	2,000	8,000	11,000	11,000
		204.0	2044	2042	2012	2014	0045	Beyond	Tatal
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	850	1,850	7,100	1,200	11,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)				850	1,850	7,100	1,200	11,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	 				
Revenue increase/(decrease)		2010	2011						
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Replace existing structure with a new bridge. Undertake preliminary engineering in 2013, detailed engineering in 2014, and construction in 2015.



### Project Name: Portage Avenue Bridge (Sturgeon Creek)


Department: Project: Public Works 180500xx12 Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 4 Service: Road

Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	Five-Year				
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	500	500	5,000	-	6,000	6,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)				500	500	5,000		6,000	6,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	425	500	4,325	750	-	6,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)				425	500	4,325	750		6,000
		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)				26					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	26					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Full bridge rehabilitation with a total budget requirement of \$6,000,000. 2012 - Preliminary Design \$500,000; 2013 - Detailed Design \$500,000; 2014 - Construction \$5,000,000.



## Project Name: Saskatchewan Avenue Bridge (Omand's Creek)

Department:	
Project:	

Public Works 180500xx14


Capital Priority Rating: Service:

g: 3 - 4 e: Roadway Construction and Maintenance

					Five-Year				
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	-	500	2,000	2,500	2,500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)						500	2,000	2,500	2,500
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	425	1,775	300	2,500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)					425	1,775	300	2,500
NET OPERATING IMPACT	1	2010	2011	2012	<u> </u> ]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Bridge replacement with a total budget requirement of \$2,500,000. Preliminary/detailed engineering in 2014 budgeted for \$500,000 and construction in 2015 budgeted for \$2,000,000.



#### Project Name: Lyndale Drive - Retaining Wall Rehabilitation

Department: Project:


Public Works 180500xx14 Capital Priority Rating: 3 -Service: Ro

3 - 4 Roadway Construction and Maintenance

					Five-Year				
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	-	500	3,500	4,000	4,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)						500	3,500	4,000	4,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	425	3,050	525	4,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)					425	3,050	525	4,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT	Г	2010	2011	2012	<u> </u> ]	<u> </u>			
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This retaining wall forms part of the Lyndale Drive primary dyke system between Monck Avenue and Gauvin Street. The total estimated budget requirement is \$4,000,000 with \$500,000 in 2014 for preliminary design and \$3,500,000 in 2015 for detailed design and construction.



#### Project Name: **Osborne Street Underpass**

Department:	
Project:	

Public Works 180500xx15

Capital Priority Rating: Service:


3 - 4

Roadway Construction and Maintenance

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,500	2,500	2,500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)							2,500	2,500	2,500
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	-	2,125	375	2,500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)						2,125	375	2,500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

2015 - Project budget shown is to undertake conceptual study/design, preliminary design, and public consultation. Estimated project construction budget of \$70,000,000 for potential reconstruction/widening assuming work is undertaken in 2018 and 2019.



## Project Name: Waterway Crossing and Grade Separations - Annual Program

Department: Project: Public Works 1805000110

Capital Priority Rating: 3 Service: R

g: 3 - 4 e: Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	<b>a</b> : <b>v</b>
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	10,000	12,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	10,000	12,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		1,700	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	300	12,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	1,700	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	300	12,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		102	120	120					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			162	371					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		102	(42)	(251)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Annual program to carry out preventative maintenance, major repairs, improvements, or replacement to existing bridges, subways, overpasses, culverts, and related bridge infrastructure ensuring continued and continuous services of these facilities to the maximum practical extent.

### Project Name: Disraeli Bridge and Overpass Facility

Department: Project: Public Works 1805000208

Capital Priority Rating:

y Rating: 3 - 4 Service: Roadway Construction and Maintenance


				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	149,289	45,711	-	-	-	-	-	-	45,711
Financed by:									
Public Private Partnership	62,500	38,711							38,711
Upfront Project Costs									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)	11,789	2,000						-	2,000
Future Payments									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		5,000						-	5,000
Federal Gas Tax		6,100	19,800	19,800	19,800	19,800	19,800	99,000	105,100
Encumbrance Toward Commission-									(0.400)
ing Payment		(6,100)						-	(6,100)
Public Private Partnership			(10.000)	(10.000)	(10.000)	(10.000)	(10.000)	-	-
Annual Service/Financing Payments			(19,800)	(19,800)	(19,800)	(19,800)	(19,800)	(99,000)	(99,000)
External Debt	75,000								
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		7,000	19,800	19,800	19,800	19,800	19,800	395,000	501,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Ass	sets Fund)	7,000							7,000
Federal Gas Tax			19,800	19,800	19,800	19,800	19,800	395,000	494,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		210							
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)			(98)					
Debt and finance charges increase			666	701					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		210	(666)	(603)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Undertake a full rehabilitation or replacement of river bridge, overpass, and related roadworks as well as construct a new pedestrian and cycle bridge added by Council in September 2008. Construction is anticipated to begin in 2010 and continue through 2011.

**Future Payments** - Maximum annual payments total \$19.8 million per year for the first ten years and \$14.8 million per year for the next twenty years. These amounts will repay the \$75.0 million in borrowing authorized by Council on September 30, 2009 as well as fund all payments to the successful proponent required under the project agreement. These amounts also cover annual operations and lifecycle costs of the facility as well as any internal contract administration and other costs. The successful proponent will be paid a substantial completion payment of up to \$75 million at the commissioning of the bridge. Any future payments above forecast may be funded from the amount available in the Capital Investment Fund. Any portion of the Future Payments not required by the project will be redirected to the Capital Investment Fund.

Disraeli Bridge and Overpass Facility (continued):



## Project Name: Charleswood Bridge

Department: Project:

### Public Works 180500XX10

Capital Priority Rating: Service:

3 - 4 Roadway Construction and Maintenance

	_			F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital		1,478	1,478	1,478	1,478	1,478	1,478	7,390	8,868
Public Private Partnership Lease Payments		(1,478)	(1,478)	(1,478)	(1,478)	(1,478)	(1,478)	(7,390)	(8,868)
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		1,478	1,478	1,478	1,478	1,478	1,478	13,302	22,170
Financed by: Cash to Capital - See Note		1,478	1,478	1,478	1,478	1,478	1,478	13,302	22,170
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	crease)								

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges


The Charleswood Bridge was constructed in 1994 and 1995 along with the roadworks between Roblin Boulevard and Ness Avenue.

The bridge portion of the project was undertaken as a public-private partnership, with lease payments extending until 2024.

Note: Cash flow beyond 2015 equals payments to 2024.

Debt and finance charges increase

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)



Project Name:

## Chief Peguis Trail - Henderson Highway to Lagimodiere Boulevard

Department: Project: Public Works 1801000107

Capital Priority Rating: 3 Service: R

3 - 4
 Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	<b>.</b>
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	65,300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Financed by:									
Public Private Partnership	60,000	(30,000)						-	(30,000)
Future Payments									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)	5,300								
Federal Gas Tax	5,300		5,415	5,415	5,415	5,415	5,415	- 27,075	- 27,075
Public Private Partnership			5,415	5,415	5,415	5,415	5,415	27,075	21,015
Annual Service/Financing Payments			(5,415)	(5,415)	(5,415)	(5,415)	(5,415)	(27,075)	(27,075)
External Debt		30,000						-	30,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	5,415	5,415	5,415	5,415	5,415	135,375	162,450
Financed by:									
Federal Gas Tax			5,415	5,415	5,415	5,415	5,415	135,375	162,450
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		900							
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			2,856	3,006					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		900	(2,856)	(3,006)					


*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Extension of the Chief Peguis Trail from Henderson Highway to Lagimodiere Boulevard will provide new capacity to accommodate existing and projected travel demands, as well as reduce through traffic on the residential street system between Henderson Highway and Lagimodiere Boulevard. Construction costs are expected to total \$65.3 million for an at grade solution, which includes \$5.3 million in cash to capital for City upfront costs. Upfront costs include development of the preliminary conceptual design, engineering, traffic management planning, internal contract works, property acquisition, administration and other costs. External debt of up to \$30 million will replace, in part, private borrowing contemplated through the Design/Build/Finance/Maintain model.

**Future Payments** - Estimated annual service/financing payments total \$5.415 million per year for 30 years. Annual payments include repayment of any external debt as well as fund all payments to the successful proponent required under the project agreement. These amounts also cover annual operations and lifecycle maintenance of the facility, internal contract administration and other costs. The successful proponent may be paid a substantial completion payment of up to \$30 million at the commissioning of the facility. Any future payments above forecast may be funded from the amount available in the Capital Investment Fund. Any portion of the Future Payments not required by the project will be redirected to the Capital Investment Fund.

Construction is scheduled over a two year period in 2010 and 2011.

### Chief Peguis Trail (continued):



### Project Name: Waverley West Arterial Roads Project

Department:

Project:

Public Works 180300XX13 Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 4 Service: Road

Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	51,300	3,400	-	-	-	-	3,400	54,700
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		10,567	3,400					3,400	13,967
Transfer from Prior Authorization		7,500						-	7,500
Building Canada Funding		18,233						-	18,233
Provincial Government Funding		15,000						-	15,000
CASH FLOW	•	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		43,605	10,585	510	-	-	-		54,700
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	8,982	4,475	510					13,967
Transfer from Prior Authorization		6,375	1,125						7,500
Building Canada Funding		15,498	2,735						18,233
Provincial Government Funding		12,750	2,250						15,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT	1	2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		871	211	10					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)		70	145					
Debt and finance charges increase			855	1,348					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		871	(714)	(1,483)					


*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

On September 13, 2009, \$54.7 million was announced in joint Federal-Provincial-City funding for the Waverley West Arterial Roads Project. The investment will allow for future economic growth in this area, decrease commute times and improve safety for motorists. Funding is made available under the Building Canada Fund - Major Infrastructure component, part of Canada's Economic Action Plan.

The project includes; the intersection of Kenaston and Bishop Grandin with a partial grade separation, the extension of Kenaston as a four lane arterial roadway from Bishop Grandin to PTH 100, an at grade intersection of the proposed Kenaston and PTH 100, the addition of two new lanes on the existing Waverley and the rehabilitation of the two existing lanes to connect with the four lane arterial roadway to the north, a new four lane section of Waverley that creates a realignment of the existing street in a westerly direction to the proposed Kenaston Blvd, a new at grade intersection of the new Kenaston and the realigned Waverley Street, the decomissioning of the at grade intersection of Waverley and PTH100, and a new 2 lane section of Waverley south of PTH100 to the new intersection of Kenaston and PTH100.

Funds adopted in the 2009 Capital Budget for the Kenaston Boulevard extension and Bishop Grandin intersection will be transferred to this project.

Waverley West (continued):



### Project Name: Asset Management System - Various Divisions

Department: Project:

Public Works 1812000110

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 4 Service: Road

3 - 4
 Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	100	100	100	100	100	100	500	600
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		100	100	100	100	100	100	500	600
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
			-	-		-			
Project Costs (\$000's)		85	100	100	100	100	100	15	600
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	85	100	100	100	100	100	15	600
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		3	3	3					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			8	19					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		3	(5)	(16)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The asset management program being implemented in the Public Works Department is centered on the application of quality management principles to the operation, maintenance, and renewal of infrastructure. A primary objective is the development and implementation of methodologies to preserve the infrastructure and to identify the funding necessary for the long term preservation of the assets.

An objective of the asset management program is to extend this systematic structural quality management approach to all the infrastructure under the Public Works Department responsibility.

### Project Name:

## Land Drainage Sewers - Regional / Local Streets

Department:	
Project:	

# Public Works 1813000110

Capital Priority Rating: Service:

y Rating: 2 - 3 Service: Land Drainage and Flood Control

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	150	150	150	150	150	150	750	900
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		150	150	150	150	150	150	750	900
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		128	150	150	150	150	150	22	900
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	128	150	150	150	150	150	22	900
		0010	0011	0040					
		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		4	5	5					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			12	28					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		4	(7)	(23)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Land drainage sewers and catch basins required for Local Improvement projects and other miscellaneous drainage improvements.

## Project Name: Land Acquisition - Transportation Right of Way

Department: Project: Public Works 183400xx09

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 4 Service: Tran

Transportation Planning and Traffic Management

	_			F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	300	300	300	300	300	300	1,500	1,800
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		300	300	300	300	300	300	1,500	1,800
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		300	300	300	300	300	300	-	1,800
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	300	300	300	300	300	300		1,800
NET OPERATING IMPACT	1	2010	2011	2012					]
Revenue increase/(decrease)		9	9	9					
Less									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase	·		29	60					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		9	(20)	(51)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Allowance for the acquisition of land for future transportation rights-of-way not included in any specific capital project within the given year's capital program. To allow for eventual implementation of proposed regional streets transportation plans by acquiring rights-of-way when the necessary land becomes available or when non-compatible private development is proposed on the land that will be required. Funding for 2010 is to acquire land for Chief Peguis in the Amber Trails area.

Project Name:

### Sand / Salt Storage Facility

Department: Project: Public Works 1815000107

Capital Priority Rating: 3 Service: F

: 3 - 2 : Roadway Snow Removal and Ice Control

				F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	2,400	-	500	-	-	-	-	500	500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)			500					500	500
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		2010	500	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	500
Financed by:		-	500	-	-	-	-	-	500
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)		500						500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)			15						
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)			1					
Debt and finance charges increase				50					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	15	(51)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The facility will be constructed in conjunction with the Public Works east yards development. It will store salt and treated sand for use in snow and ice control. Recent guidelines for the environmental management of road salt use recommends that road authorities across Canada increase protection for materials exposed to wind or precipitation in order to minimize loss of salt to the environment. Previous budget includes \$500,000 that was an authorized transfer in 2007 to the St. Anne's Road streets project.

## Project Name: City-Wide Accessibility Program

Department: Project: Public Works 1814000110

Capital Priority Rating: 2 - 3 Service: Neighbour

Neighbourhood Revitalization

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A*	350	350	350	350	350	350	1,750	2,100
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		350	350	350	350	350	350	1,750	2,100
	-							Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		298	350	350	350	350	350	52	2,100
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	298	350	350	350	350	350	52	2,100
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		9	11	11					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			28	65					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		9	(17)	(54)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Funding to address accessibility issues (Executive Policy Committee recommendation adopted by Council September 25, 2002).

### Project Name: Winnipeg Transportation Strategy

Department: Project:

# Public Works 1832000609

Capital Priority Rating:

y Rating: 3 - 4 Service: Transportation Planning and Traffic Management

FORECAST 2010 Five-Year Previous Forecast Six-Year Adopted AUTHORIZATION 2011 2012 2013 2014 Budgets 2015 Total Total Project Costs (\$000's) 600 650 650 Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund) 650 650 Beyond **CASH FLOW** 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2015 Total Project Costs (\$000's) 650 650 Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund) 650 650 2010 NET OPERATING IMPACT 2011 2012 Revenue increase/(decrease) 20 Less: Operating expenditures increase/(decrease) Debt and finance charges increase 65 62 SURPLUS/(DEFICIT) 20 (62) (65)

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Winnipeg Transportation Strategy establishes the framework for how the City of Winnipeg will address current and future transportation needs. The Strategy will recommend policies, strategies and priorities to guide transportation related decisions and actions over the next 20 years and beyond.

\*\* Supported by a class 2 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

### Project Name: **Reforestation - Improvements**

Department: Project: Public Works 1850000110

Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 4 Service: Parks and Urban Forestry

FORECAST Five-Year Previous 2010 Six-Year Forecast AUTHORIZATION 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Budgets Adopted Total Total Project Costs (\$000's) N/A 383 383 433 383 383 383 1.965 2.348 Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund) 383 383 383 383 2,348 383 433 1,965 Beyond **CASH FLOW** 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2015 Total Project Costs (\$000's) 326 383 426 391 383 382 57 2.348 Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund) 326 383 426 391 383 382 57 2,348 **NET OPERATING IMPACT** 2010 2011 2012 Revenue increase/(decrease) 20 23 26 Less: Operating expenditures increase/(decrease) 25 25 25 Debt and finance charges increase 71 31 SURPLUS/(DEFICIT) (5) (33)(70)

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Sustainable urban forest management program may include tree replacement, tree pruning, and inventory along City boulevards and in parks.

## Project Name: Athletic Fields - Improvements

Department:	Public Works	Capital Priority Rating:	1 - 4
Project:	185200xx10	Service:	Parks and Urban Forestry

	_			F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	200	200	200	200	200	200	1,000	1,200
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		200	200	200	200	200	200	1,000	1,200
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		170	200	200	200	200	200	30	1,200
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	170	200	200	200	200	200	30	1,200
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		10	12	12					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)	10	20	30					
Debt and finance charges increase			16	37					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	(24)	(55)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Athletic field improvements in areas requiring grading, sodding, seeding, irrigation and/or drainage improvements, replacement of backstops, goal posts, and other related park works as required on a site-by-site basis:

Funds will be allocated to:	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
Bord Aire Community Centre	40						40
Burland Park	80						80
Ed Shindleman	80						80
Athletic Field Upgrading - Various		200	200	200	200	200	1,000
	200	200	200	200	200	200	1,200

Project Name:

## **Parks - Improvements**

Department: Project:

Public Works 185300xx10

Capital Priority Rating: Service: 1 - 4

Parks and Urban Forestry

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	808	262	617	677	653	655	2,864	3,672
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		808	262	617	677	653	655	2,864	3,672
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		687	344	564	668	657	655	97	3,672
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	687	344	564	668	657	655	97	3,672
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		41	21	34					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)	61	81	127					
Debt and finance charges increase			65	103					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(20)	(125)	(196)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Refurbishment and/or improvements to various parks to repair aging park infrastructure and related amenities:

Funds will be allocated to:	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
Kildonan Park and Peguis Pavilion Upgrading	200						200
Assiniboine River Walk	408						408
Whittier Park	200						200
Lawn Bowling - various locations		150					150
Kildonan Park and Rainbow Stage			617				617
Various locations		112		677	653	655	2,097
	808	262	617	677	653	655	3,672

# Project Name: Playground Structures

Department:	Public Works	Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 4	
Project:	1855000110	Service: Parks and Urban For	
		FORECAST	Five-Year

	_			F	ORECASI			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	1,800	1,750	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	8,950	10,750
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		1,750	1,750	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	8,950	10,700
Recreational Infrastructure Canada Fund		50						-	50
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		1,538	1,750	1,793	1,800	1,800	1,800	269	10,750
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	1,488	1,750	1,793	1,800	1,800	1,800	269	10,700
Recreational Infrastructure Canada F	und	50							50
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		92	105	108					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)	88	176	264					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Recreational Infrastructure Canada Funding will supplement funding authorized in the 2009 Capital to complete enhancements at the Norquay Community Center.

4

142

(213)

324

(480)

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

Debt and finance charges increase

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

#### Pathway / Roadway / Tennis Court Upgrading Project Name:

Department: Project:

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

Public Works 1856000112

Capital Priority Rating: Service: 1 - 4

8

Parks and Urban Forestry

				F	ORECAST	-		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	-	-	150	150	150	150	600	600
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)				150	150	150	150	600	600
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	128	150	150	150	22	600
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)			128	150	150	150	22	600
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)				8					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Upgrading of pathways, roadways, and tennis courts at various parks locations.

### Project Name: Community Park Amenities

	lic Works 7000109	C	Capital Prio	rity Rating: Service:	1 - 4 Parks ar	nd Urban Fo	orestry		
				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	1,475	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	7,500	8,975
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Ca Assets Fund)	apital	1,475	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	7,500	8,975
CASH FLOW	I	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		1,254	1,496	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	225	8,975
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Ca	apital Assets Fund)	1,254	1,496	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	225	8,975
NET OPERATING I	MPACT	2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		75	90	90					
Less:									
Operating expenditures incre	ease/(decrease)								
Debt and finance charges inc	crease		119	276					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		75	(29)	(186)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Improvement of park amenities at various locations.

Chochinov Park has been identified as a priority in the Old Kildonan Ward. On June 24th Council approved a first call on the 2010 Budget in the amount of \$40,000 for this project.

2010 funding in the amount of \$75,000 has been committed to field renovations for the Transcona Nationals Football Club and will supplement funding the Club will receive from the Recreational Infrastructure Canada Fund.

On December 15, 2009 Council approved that \$25,000 from the Transcona Ward share of the 2010 Community Park Amenities fund be dedicated to the land assembly and construction of the Transcona Centennial Square Project. This funding of \$25,000 is now included in 2010 Transcona Centennial Square Project.

### Project Name: Local Improvements - Ongoing Program

Department:

Assessment and Taxation Capital Priority Rating: 2 - 3

Project:

1420000110

Service: Roadway Construction and Maintenance

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	<b>e</b>
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	-	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	15,000	15,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		1,000	450	450	450	450	450	2,250	3,250
Interim Financing		(1,000)							(1,000)
External Debt			2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550	12,750	12,750
	L							Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	2,550	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	450	15,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	1,000	383	450	450	450	450	67	3,250
Interim Financing		(1,000)							(1,000)
External Debt			2,167	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550	383	12,750
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<b> </b>				
Revenue increase/(decrease)		154	180	180					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase		83	340	633					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		71	(160)	(453)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Local Improvement Capital Projects are those which are financed partly by a direct levy on the properties benefited (Property Owner's Share) and partly by a mill rate levy over the City-At-Large (City's Share). The program is governed by Local Improvements By-Law No. 98/72 and is subject to advertising and subsequent approval by the majority of ratepayers involved. The types of expenditure generally falling within this category are: paving of local streets and lanes; sidewalk and boulevard construction; installation of new watermains and sewers; ornamental street lighting and lane lighting.

### Project Name: Community Incentive Grant Program

Department:	
Project:	

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

# Community Services 62510001yy

Ca

Capital Priority Rating: Service:

1 - 1 Neighbourhood Revitalization

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	2,774	1,508	1,538	1,569	1,600	1,632	7,847	10,621
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		2,774	1,508	1,538	1,569	1,600	1,632	7,847	10,621
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		2,774	1,508	1,538	1,569	1,600	1,632	-	10,621
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital A	ssets Fund)	2,774	1,508	1,538	1,569	1,600	1,632		10,621
NET OPERATING IMPAC	r	2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(d	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase		90	313	483					

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Community Incentive Grant Program, established by Council on March 6, 1985, was designed to encourage non-profit community recreation and sport organizations to undertake capital projects which would result in long-term benefits to the community at large in terms of improved service and facilities. On July 23, 2003, Council adopted expanded criteria for the Program.

(313)

(483)

(90)

On January 25, 2007, Council, with approval of the 2007 - 2012 five year Captial budget program, approved a 2% annual increase to the Community Incentive Grant Program. The Forecast assumes the continuation of this 2% increase beyond 2012.

The remaining cash balances of the CIG Programs from 2004, 2005, 2006, and 2007 were cancelled in June, 2009 but are proposed for rebudgeting in 2010. The proposed amount from these four years is \$1,296,000 and has been added to the 2010 program of \$1,478,000.

### Project Name: Library Replacement - Various

Department: Project: Community Services 62130001yy

Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 2 Service: Libraries

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011 **	2012 **	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	1,000	-	5,000	3,000	3,000	2,000	3,000	16,000	16,000
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)			5,000	3,000	3,000	2,000	3,000	16,000	16,000
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	5,000	3,000	3,000	2,000	3,000	-	16,000
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)		5,000	3,000	3,000	2,000	3,000		16,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)		(373)	(373)					
Debt and finance charges increase			163	606					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	210	(233)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Project funds are necessary to replace and furnish modern, safe, and accessible libraries that are able to accommodate the changing demographics and needs of library users. Funding in 2009 and 2011 have been designated for the amalgamation of two existing branches into one new facility assuming construction will occur in 2011 ready to open early 2012. Funding in 2013, 2014 and 2015 are for future library projects that could include, but are not limited to, amalgamations, joint use facilities, mulit-use recreation and leisure facilities, and stand alone library facilities where a business case can be made (Recreation, Leisure & Library Facility Policy).

\*\* Supported by class 2 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

### Project Name: Library Shelving and Furniture Replacement Program

Department:	Community Services	Capital Priority Rating:	1 - 2
Project:	62110005yy		Libraries

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A*	-	-	200	200	200	200	800	800
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)				200	200	200	200	800	800
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	200	200	200	200	-	800
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)			200	200	200	200		800
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase				7					

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Capital funding is required to replace aging library shelving and furniture that cannot be accommodated within the existing operating budget. The average age of Winnipeg's branch libraries is approximately 45 years old. Much of the shelving dates from the original opening of those facilities. The furniture and shelving needs to be replaced, in some cases for safety reasons, in order to ensure that library facilities remain safe, accessible and welcoming spaces for the changing needs of library users.

(7)

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

### Project Name: Integrated Property Based Information Systems

Department:

Project:

Community Services 62600001yy

Capital Priority Rating: 1 Service: N

j: 1 - 2 : Neighbourhood Revitalization

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	250	-	-	-	-	-		250
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		250						-	250
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		250	-	-	-	-	-		250
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)	250							250
NET OPERATING IMPACT	Г	2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase		8	24	25					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(8)	(24)	(25)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Neighbourhood Profiles initiative will build information technology infrastructure and partnerships to support inter-agency information sharing - increasing both the amount and quality of neighbourhood based information available to civic decision makers, community agencies, neighbourhood groups and individual citizens. Municipal governments throughout North America have begun to lead these types of enhanced information sharing/partnership initiatives. The neighbourhood profiles information will build upon the custom-built Stats Canada Census Data (organized by neighbourhoods) which is purchased every 5 years and constitutes the base of the neighbourhood profiles information system which is currently on the City's web site.

This project expands upon the partnership established between Community Services and Planning, Property and Development in the use of Amanda (BUILD) and other technologies including Geographic Information Systems, Web-based technologies, and the new Class Booking and Registration System.

# Project Name: Vehicle Guidance System & Office "Anti-Pesticide Registration" Management Software Package

Department: Project:	Community Services 622xxxxyy	(	Capital Prio	rity Rating: Service:		Control			
		2010		F	ORECAS	т		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATIC	DN Previous Budgets		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	32	0 160	-	-	-	-			160
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity Assets Fund)	in Capital	160						-	160
CASH F	LOW	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		160	-	-	-	· _			160
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity	in Capital Assets Fund	160							160
NET OPERATI	ING IMPACT	2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrea	ise)								
Less:									
Operating expenditures	increase/(decrease)								
Debt and finance charge	es increase	5	15	16					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(5)	(15)	(16)	]				

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project upgrades antiquated and deteriorating computer systems to enable the continued operation of vehicle guidance and pesticide spray systems that were first established in 1999. The upgraded system will provide an electronic road map and will list current vehicle locations, the location of "anti-pesticide registrants", and a tracking of all spray operations. The system will also provide vehicle telemetry information via a wireless network so that real-time positions and current status of all spray vehicles is displayed at a central coordinating office.

\*\* Supported by class 2 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

#### Project Name: Insect Control - Replace 3 Grey Street Operations Building

Department:

Project:

**Community Services** 62xxxxxxyy

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 2 Insect Control Service:

				I	FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	-	1,000	1,000	1,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)							1,000	1,000	1,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	-	1,000	-	1,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)						1,000		1,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

### Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Insect Control Operations Building at 3 Grey Street requires replacement. The existing building is very old and no longer meets the increased size and scope of today's insect control service delivery demands. This is a preliminary estimate, which if approved, actual costs will be further detailed during the upcoming year and an updated submission will be forthcoming in the next submission for 2016.

### Project Name: Renovate and Refurbish Various Library Branches

Department:	Community Services	Capital Priority Rating:	1 - 2
Project:	621xxxxxyy	Service:	

				F	ORECAST	I.		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	300	-	300	1,000	1,000	-	2,300	2,600
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		300		300	1,000	1,000		2,300	2,600
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		300	-	300	1,000	1,000	-	· -	2,600
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)	300		300	1,000	1,000			2,600
NET OPERATING IMPACT	•	2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)	(50)	(50)	(50)					
Debt and finance charges increase		10	29	41					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This capital program is required to support ongoing renovations of existing library branches that cannot be supported within the existing library operating budget. The average age of Winnipeg's branch libraries is approximately 45 years old. Improvements to facilities will include such things as replacing carpeting, flooring, painting, energy efficient improvements such as lighting and water conservation initiatives, furnishings, counters and workstation replacements that incorporate ergonomic design and universal design principles. In addition, funding will be used for undertaking minor and major construction projects in order to improve library facilities to meet the changing needs of library users.

21

9

40

The operating savings are due to the expectations of reduced utility charges from the installation of water conservation equipment and energy efficient lighting in 20 branches in the latter part of 2009.

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

### Project Name: Imaging and Document Management

Department: Project:

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

Community Services 626xxxxxyy

Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 2 Service: Recreation

				F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	250	-	250	250	-	-		- 500	500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)			250	250				500	500
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	250	250	-	-			500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)		250	250					500
NET OPERATING IMPACT	Γ	2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			8	34					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project is to establish proper imaging and document management systems within the Community Services Department, which will allow the department to move to a paperless filing system that meets all City records management requirements. This will involve the filing and retention of both paper and electronic documents, including e-mail, court-related documents, etc.

(8)

(34)

The key objective of this project is to manage information through one comprehensive system that provides full information accessibility at one source or alternatively through one interface and is based on a sound foundation of technology and records and information management principles. This will enhance decision making and other business processes related to all aspects of service delivery to the public.

#### Library Technology Upgrade and Replacement Project Name:

Department:	Community Services	Capital Priority Rating:	1 - 2
Project:	621xxxxxyy	Service:	Libraries

				F	ORECAST	Γ		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	200	-	-	-	500	500	1,000	1,200
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		200				500	500	1,000	1,200
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		200	-	-	-	500	500	-	1,200
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	200				500	500		1,200
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase		7	19	20					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(7)	(19)	(20)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

By 2010, the Library's Integrated Library System (Horizon) hardware platform and operating system will need to be replaced. These funds are required to supplement the Library Technology Reserve Fund. Capital funding also helps to ensure that libraries can continue to implement new self-service options for the public that make the operation of libraries more efficient and cost effective.

#### Project Name: **Recreational Facility Safety & Accessibility Improvements**

Department:

Project:

**Community Services** 625000001yy

Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 2 Recreation Service:

			F	ORECAST		Five-Year			
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A*	300	-	400	400	500	500	1,800	2,100
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		300		400	400	500	500	1,800	2,100
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		300	-	400	400	500	500	-	2,100
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	300		400	400	500	500		2,100
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase		10	29	44					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(10)	(29)	(44)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This capital project will help address workplace and public safety, health, and accessibility issues that are not part of the current service level agreement with Building Services.

Each year a portion of the funds will be utilized for installation of security systems, fitness equipment replacement, and facility amenities and accessibility projects within 13 indoor pools and 9 major recreation centres based upon established priorities. The new demands require security for staff while performing their duties and increased surveillance of non-staffed space within our buildings, both for patron safety and theft prevention within and immediately outside of aquatic and recreation facilities. The heavy use of fitness equipment and customer demands requires that a program of equipment replacement is implemented to ensure safety and meet contemporary needs.

Recreation facility amenities must be refurbished to meet public health and safety standards, regulation, and to enhance facilities to meet the needs of the public including accessibility (washrooms, change rooms, signage, public entranceways, etc.) and accessible family amenities (specialty change rooms).

### Project Name: Library Signage, Safety and Accessibility

Department:	Community Services	Capital Priority Rating:	1 - 2
Project:	621xxxxxyy	Service:	Libraries

			FORECAST						
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	-	-	200	200	200	200	800	800
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)				200	200	200	200	800	800
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	200	200	200	200	-	800
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)			200	200	200	200		800
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase				7					

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Capital funding is needed to address three areas: 1. Signage needs on the exterior and interiors of library facilities, including electronic signs, for promotion of services and programs, are required to make libraries more visible to the community. 2. Safety issues need to be addressed in many libraries. Upgrading lighting, installing video monitoring technology, regular landscape maintenance, and other building upgrades are required to ensure that libraries remain safe and welcoming to the community and deter vandalism and graffiti. 3. Accessibility issues need to be addressed including adding exterior ramps, upgrading public and staff washrooms, improving wayfinding signage, and installing life safety apparatus to meet the needs of persons with disabilities.

(7)

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

### Project Name: Recreation Equipment Program - New Facilities

Department: Project:

Community Services 62510001yy

Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 2 Service: Recreation

		FORECAST						Five-Year	<b>a</b>
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	-	600	600	600
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)							600	600	600
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		2010			2013		600		600
Financed by:							000		000
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)						600		600
NET OPERATING IMPACT	•	2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)					-				
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Capital funds are required to purchase recreation equipment to meet the program needs of patrons in new and/or expanded facilities. New facilities that required significant equipment purchases included the 2009 Cindy Klassen Recreation Centre and in 2010 the North End Recreation and Leisure Facility.

This capital request coincides with the longer term plan of building new, refurbishing, expanding, and/or improving recreation facilities with the "Recreation Facility Replacement - Various" capital project.

## Project Name: Business Application Systems

Department:	Community Services	Capital Priority Rating:	1 - 2
Project:	626xxxxxyy	Service:	Recreation

				I	FORECAST	•		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	250	-	-	- 250	250
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)					250			250	250
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	250	-	-	-	250
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)				250				250
		0040	0044	2010	ו ו ו ז		l	1	
		2010	2011	2012	-				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Capital funding is required for the upgrade and/or implementation of business application systems within the Community Services Department and/or City as a whole (e.g., Class, Amanda, E-Cash) to improve the delivery of public services. These systems will be designed to introduce new technologies, to integrate with other departmental and corporate systems, and to incorporate web services to customers where possible. This project will provide more convenient, efficient and cost-effective public service.

Debt and finance charges increase

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

## Project Name: Technology Upgrade and Replacement

Department: Project: Community Services 62xxxxxyy

Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 2 Service: Recreation

				FORECAST		Five-Year			
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	-	250		- 250	250
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)						250		250	250
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	250			250
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)					250			250
NET OPERATING IMPACT	ſ	2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	]				

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Capital funding is required in order to maintain life cycle replacement schedules of existing hardware as the Computer Equipment Replacement Reserve will be depleted in 2014.

# Mobile Library Service Vehicle

Department:	Community Services	Capital Priority Rating:	1 - 2
Project:	621xxxxxyy	Service:	Libraries

					FORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	300	-	-	300	300
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)					300			300	300
				 				Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	300	-	-	· -	300
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)				300				300
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)					1				
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Funding is required to purchase a new mobile library vehicle in order to expand library outreach services to inner city, high risk neighbourhoods. The vehicle purchased would be a walk-on vehicle that would be equipped with shelving for collections, space for programming and public access computers to provide access to information and conduct library functions. The Library Services Division will work in conjunction with Fleet Management to purchase the appropriate vehicle for this specialized service. The vehicle may be purchased from out of province because of the specialized nature of the vehicle.

Staffing to drive the vehicle and provide library services would be absorbed within the Outreach Services Unit. Any additional operating expenses (e.g. insurance, gas) will be identified in the 2011-2016 Capital budget submission.

Debt and finance charges increase

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

# Project Name: Recreation Facility Replacement - Various

Department: Project:

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

Community Services 62510001yy

Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 2 Service: Recreation

				F	ORECAS	r		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	500	1,750	-	-	-	2,791	4,541	5,041
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		500	1,750				2,791	4,541	5,041
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		500	1,750	-	-	-	2,791	-	5,041
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital A	ssets Fund)	500	1,750				2,791		5,041
NET OPERATING IMPACT	r	2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less: Operating expenditures increase/(d	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase		16	104	225					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Old infrastructure - During the 1950's, 60's and 70's, the City experienced significant growth with corresponding new infrastructure being built as a result of the baby boomer generation. Much of this infrastructure is 40 to 60 years old and requires renewal.

(104)

(225)

(16)

These project funds are necessary for new infrastructure to service growth and to replace existing aging infrastructure to meet citizen demand for contemporary recreational amenities. Replacement of existing facilities may result in consolidation or closing of existing facilities to meet the requirements in the Recreation Leisure and Library Facility Policy approved by Council on May 18, 2005. The City is working towards the consolidation of facilities in all future developments with a focus on developing multi-use facilities (e.g. libraries, water amenities, fitness, skateboard parks, basketball courts, arenas).

The funds requested in 2010 and 2011 are proposed for a new multi-use facility and are required to supplement the \$6.0 million in capital funding (\$1.0 million in 2009; \$5.0 million in 2011) included in the Library Replacement capital request. The total of \$2.250 million includes costs for the demolition of existing structures, design and construction of the facility, and parking lot development. Net utility savings (e.g. heat, electricity, water, repairs and maintenance) that would accrue from a new building will be determined and incorporated into the 2011 - 2013 budget.

# Project Name: Insect Control - Information System

Department:	Community Services	Capital Priority Rating:	
Project:	62ххххххуу	Service:	Insect Control

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,250	1,250	1,250
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)							1,250	1,250	1,250
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	-	1,250	-	1,250
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)						1,250		1,250
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project will create a totally integrated Insect Control information system that includes: dispatch, inventory control, billing, tracking & reporting, larviciding and fogging. This system will enable Insect Control to more effectively coordinate their fogging and larviciding programs and use their products in a more efficient manner. The current system is comprised of disparate applications that have been created over the last 5-10 years. It is anticipated that since Insect Control is a very unique function, no "off the shelf" software will be available, therefore it will need to be custom built.

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

# Project Name: Assiniboine Park Conservancy (APC) - Building Repairs and Upgrades Plan

Department: Project: Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 2 Service: Arts, Entertainr

e: Arts, Entertainment and Culture

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	5,123	2,000	2,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	13,000	18,123
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		5,123	2,000	2,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	13,000	18,123
,		-, -	,	,	-,	- ,	- ,	- ,	
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		5,123	2,000	2,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	-	18,123
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	5,123	2,000	2,000	3,000	3,000	3,000		18,123
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase		166	553	784					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(166)	(553)	(784)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This capital request is subject to Council approval of the Assiniboine Park Management Agreement and EPC approval of specific project detail.

These capital dollars represent the City's ongoing committment to Assiniboine Park building repairs and upgrades.

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

# Assiniboine Park Conservancy (APC) - Development Plan

Department: Project:

**Community Services** 62300001ýy

Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 2 Service:

Arts, Entertainment and Culture

				F	FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	<b>.</b>
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	2,849	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,849
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		117						-	117
Proceeds from Glacial and Sand									
Gravel		2,732						-	2,732
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		2,849	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,849
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	117							117
Proceeds from Glacial and Sand Gr	avel	2,732							2,732
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	ן				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase		4	11	12					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(4)	(11)	(12)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Assiniboine Park Conservancy (APC) Development capital plan for 2010 includes:

- Nature Playground, Duck Pond, and Family Centre Project

- Design of the Rushing River water play component

- Planning and design of Phase 1 of Zoo Redevelopment - Polar Bear Rescue, Research, and Conservation Centre.

On January 27, 2010, Council approved that the APC be permitted to use adopted capital funds, if required, up to a combined \$3.63 million from the Assiniboine Park Conservancy Development Plan 2010 capital budget (\$2.849 million) and the Assiniboine Park Amenities Improvements / Development 2009 capital project (\$781K) to assist in completion of the Nature Playground and Duck Pond Project.

Also on January 27, 2010, Council waived the condition that the 2010 APC Development Plan capital funds be subject to confirmation of all other funding sources (Provincial, Federal government, and private donors).

# Project Name: Digital Recording - Interview Rooms

Department: Project: Winnipeg Police Service 22XXXXXXYY

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3 Service: Police Response

				F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	523	-	-	-	523	523
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)				523				523	523
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	523	-	-	-	-	523
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)			523					523
NET OPERATING IMPACT	7	2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project is to replace an outdated PC based digital system required for recording interviews. This was a legislated requirement that occurred in 2005 which will see current equipment obsolete by 2012. Digital recording is now required in interview rooms and it appears that the Crown will require monitoring of all prisoners while in a police station. Therefore provision for video surveillance must be made in all police buildings.

# Project Name: Police Headquarters

Department:	Winnipeg Police Service 2200000110	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 3
Project:		Service:	Police Response

	_	2010		F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011	2012**	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	5,640	-	7,611	8,220	6,556	-	22,387	28,027
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		5,640		7,611	8,220	6,556		22,387	28,027
CASH FLOW		2010	2014	2012	2012	2014	2015	Beyond	Total
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		5,640	-	7,611	8,220	6,556	-	-	28,027
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	5,640		7,611	8,220	6,556			28,027
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This Project consists of a number of improvements to and/or consolidation of various Winnipeg Police Service units' facilities. These improvements will address the operating requirements of a modern police force in a metropolitan area the size of the City of Winnipeg. Areas impacted include, the Evidence Control Unit, the Technological Crimes / Identification Unit, the Street Crime Unit, and the Central Processing Unit. These significant aspects of the Service require updated modern facilities to maximize operating processes. A number of other operating units will also be impacted by this consolidation.

# Project Name: Winnipeg Police Service Communications

Department: Project:

Winnipeg Police Service 22XXXXXYY

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3 Service: Police Response

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011 **	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	7,193	-	-	-		- 7,193	7,193
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)			7,193					7,193	7,193
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	7,193	-	-	-			7,193
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)		7,193						7,193
NET OPERATING IMPACT	-	2010	2011	2012	1				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Technological changes in wireless technology, 911 issues, Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP), deaf teletype, and data networks require replacement of outdated systems and equipment. This project represents:

1. A change from the current Winnipeg Police Service (WPS) wireless data network to a Mesh Network Solution;

2. Replacement of the existing phone system;

3. Miscellaneous upgrades related to the 911 alternate site. Legislation requires the WPS to provide an alternate communication backup site to ensure continued service should equipment fail during an emergency. Specifically, legislation requires that every Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) service must have a facility that (a) has a risk assessment carried out in accordance with guidelines approved by the Minister; and (b) can, within one hour, be operational as a PSAP facility to the extent of 80% of the capacity of the PSAP service's primary PSAP facility.

## Wireless Emergency 911 Upgrade

Department:	Winnipeg Police Service	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 4
Project:	2200000608	Service:	Police Response

		2010			FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	260	-	-	-	-			260
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		260						-	260
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		260	-	-	-	-			260
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital A	ssets Fund)	260							260
NET OPERATING IMPAC	г	2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)					-				
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(d	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Approximately 40% of emergency 911 calls are made by persons using cellular phones and this is projected to increase in the future. Research indicates that approximately 15% of callers are unable to provide their location or the call is terminated before the operator can obtain proper information. When a caller is using a land line phone the Police Service can identify the location and number and affect an appropriate response, but is unable to identify the location should the caller be using a cellular phone. This project will enable the identification of the phone number and location of the caller reducing the time required to respond.

Other technologies such as Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) are also becoming more common and in the future the current 911 phone system will not be able to effectively handle these calls as the current system will have exceeded its useful life.

# Project Name: Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) Replacement

Department:

Project:

Winnipeg Police Service 2200000711

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3 Service: Police Response

				l	FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011 **	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	500	-	-	-	-	500	500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)			500					500	500
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	500	-	-	-	-	· -	500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)		500						500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<u> </u>				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

A new Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) was installed in late 2007. Life expectancy of the equipment is approximately 5 years after which time the system hardware may become obsolete and subject to reduced and/or withdrawn maintenance support. If available, maintenance will be more costly as the system ages.

## Police Priority Dispatch System

Department:	Winnipeg Police Service 2200000810	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 3
Project:		Service:	Police Response

		2010			FORECAST	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	427	-	-	-	-			427
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		427						-	427
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		427	-	-	-	-			427
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)	427							427
NET OPERATING IMPACT	1	2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Police Priority Dispatch System (PPDS) software is a set of protocols designed to standardize and codify the operation of law enforcement dispatch. It is designed to provide safe, effective, and efficient police operations through scene assessment, officer safety issues, and the identification of appropriate police response. The PPDS also includes a quality assurance software package. The PPDS is recognized by the National Academies of Emergency Dispatch, which is the world's recognized standard setting and certification body for emergency dispatch. This project addresses recommendations reported in the June 2002 City of Winnipeg Audit Department's in-depth review of the Winnipeg Police Service Communication Centre. The expected useful life of this project is 25 years.

# Project Name: Information Systems - Unified Management, Storage, and Archiving System

Department: Project:

Winnipeg Police Service 22XXXXXXYY

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3 Service: Police Response

					FORECAST	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	-	593	-	- 593	593
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)						593		593	593
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	593	-		593
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)					593			593
NET OPERATING IMPACT	-	2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project is required to address both the replacement needs and the future requirements of the Winnipeg Police Service with respect to the management, storage, and archiving of electronic data. Existing systems require replacement to meet legal and technical requirements. A unified approach is required to address the needs of the entire Winnipeg Police Service.

Project Name: Mobile Laptops

Department:	Winnipeg Police Service	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 3
Project:	22XXXXXXYY	Service:	Police Response

				I	FORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	1,200	-	-	1,200	1,200
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)					1,200			1,200	1,200
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	1,200	-	-	-	1,200
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)				1,200				1,200
	_								
		2010	2011	2012	-				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The mobile laptop technology in cruiser cars needs to be replaced every 5 to 6 years due to the obsolescence of this equipment and the harsh environment to which they are subjected. Currently there are about 200 mobile laptops in cruiser cars at a cost of \$5,000 each. Additional costs are for modem upgrades, brackets and mounting equipment used to attach the laptops to the vehicles. Ergonomically placed laptop computers are critical for prevention of back injuries to police officers.

# Project Name: Officer Mobile Video System

Department:

Project:

Winnipeg Police Service 22XXXXXXYY

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3 Service: Police Response

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,000	1,000	1,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)							1,000	1,000	1,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	-	1,000	-	1,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)						1,000		1,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT	r	2010	2011	2012	<u> </u> ]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

With increasing demands for capturing information when dealing with the public, it appears that at the moment the best way to accomplish this is through an officer mobile video system. The mobile system would be attached to an officer and record all audio and video for a particular interaction. It is estimated that \$1,000,000 would be required to outfit approximately 800 officers with this equipment along with storage equipment to archive and maintain the data. It is expected that technology will progress in this area to provide better alternatives when the purchase date arrives. Additional funding of \$1,000,000.

## **Furniture**

Department: Project:

Winnipeg Police Service 22XXXXXXYY

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3 Service:

Police Response

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	-	-	566	566	566
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)							566	566	566
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	-	566	-	566
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)						566		566
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<u> </u> ]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

With the furniture being utilized in many cases 24 x 7 x 365 it is required to further upgrade our furniture assets. The average dimensions of an officer is larger than the general public resulting in additional wear and tear. As well, a number of requests approved by Occupational Health have resulted in an increase of ergonomic furniture being ordered to accommodate special needs and prevent repetitive strain or back injuries. Additional request of \$566,000 includes:

400 Chairs	230
200 Desks with ergonomic keyboard trays	300
50 Four-drawer cabinets	28
20 Three-drawer cabinets	8
-	566

# Project Name: Radio Upgrade for Districts 3 and 4

Department:

Project:

Winnipeg Police Service 22XXXXXXYY Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3 Service: Police

3 - 3 Police Response

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	-	-	500	500	500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)							500	500	500
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	-	500	-	500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)						500		500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)					-				
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	-				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Police Service conducted an upgrade on a majority of its radios in 2008 and 2009. This submission requests funding to replace radios in District 3 and 4 which will be 10 years old in 2013. Additional funding of \$500,000.

# **Computer Upgrades - Data Integrity and Protection**

Department:	Winnipeg Police Service	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 3
Project:	22XXXXXXYY	Service:	Police Response

		2010			FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	492	-	-	-	-			492
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		492						-	492
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		492	-	-	-	-		-	492
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	492							492
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	·				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

A recent review of Police information systems identified a number of upgrades required to better protect the integrity and safety of the Police Service's electronic information.

Upgrades include:

Firewall Upgrade	132
Transient and Mobile Users Virtualization	180
Police Information Portal	100
Executive and Document Records Management Project	35
Password Management Software	45
	492

#### Project Name: **Computer Assisted Dispatch**

Department:

Project:

Winnipeg Police Service 22XXXXXXYY

Capital Priority Rating: Service: 3 - 3

Police Response

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,000	1,000	1,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)							1,000	1,000	1,000
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	-	1,000	-	1,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)						1,000		1,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The main Police Service information and communication system will have been in operation for 10 years and at the end of its life cycle. This submission proposes to replace the system.

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

# Crime Analysis System/Child Exploitation Software/Crime Tracking System

Department:	Winnipeg Police Service	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 3
Project:	22XXXXXXYY	Service:	Police Response

					FORECAS	r		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,460	1,460	1,460
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)							1,460	1,460	1,460
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	-	1,460	-	1,460
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)						1,460		1,460
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The current crime analysis system will have reached the end of its life cycle in 2014 and will require upgrading. The replacement of the Integrated Child Exploitation system software will also be required. The Service also requires a new Case tracking system for Major Crimes and an Electronic Collision Reporting System to automate the reporting of collisions to government agencies. Additional request of \$1,460,000 includes:

Replacement of Crime Analysis System to help determine trends in crime activities	660
Integrated Child Exploitation System Software	500
Case Tracking System for Major Crimes	150
Electronic Collision Reporting System	150
	1,460

# Project Name: Long Term Computer Upgrades

Department:

Project Costs (\$000's)

AUTHORIZATION

Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital

Project:

Financed by:

Assets Fund)

Winnipeg Police Service 22XXXXXXYY

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3 Service: Police Response

FORECAST Five-Year 2010 Previous Forecast Six-Year Budgets Adopted 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Total Total 1,526 1,526 1,526

1,526

1,526

1,526

CASH FLOW	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	-	1,526	-	1,526
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)						1,526		1,526

NET OPERATING IMPACT	2010	2011	2012
Revenue increase/(decrease)			
Less:			
Operating expenditures increase/(decrease)			
Debt and finance charges increase			
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	-	-	-

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Police Service has identified a number of long term information upgrades required including:

Independent Internet Access	100
Email Exchange Storage	100
Document and Management System for Human Resources	150
Work Order and Service Desk Tracking System	66
Intelligence Led Reporting	500
Remedy Replacement Support	60
Canadian Police Information Centre replacement of authentication software (original implementation 2009)	150
Replacement of DS4700 Storage Area Network	400
	1,526

# Project Name: Aerial Support Unit

Department:	Winnipeg Police Service 2200000510	Capital Priority Rating:	3-3
Project:		Service:	Police Response

		2010		F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	3,492	-	-	-	-			3,492
Financed by:									
Interim Financing (Repayment)		3,492	(3,492)					(3,492)	-
General Purpose Reserve			3,492					3,492	3,492
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		3,492	-	-	-	-			3,492
Financed by:									
Interim Financing (Repayment)		3,492	(3,492)						-
General Purpose Reserve		-	3,492						3,492
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		1,283	1,348	1,393					
Less:		.,	.,0.0	,					
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)	1,283	1,348	1,393					
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

On January 27, 2010, Council approved the establishment of a Winnipeg Police Service Flight Operations Unit (Helicopter) subject to approval of new incremental funding from the Province of Manitoba for all ongoing annual operating costs, estimated at \$1.3 million in 2010 plus cost increases thereafter.

Additional positions would be required and are included in the operating budget estimate noted above. The initial capital cost to purchase the helicopter, a hangar to house the unit, and all related operational equipment is estimated to be \$3.492 million.

The purchase of a Police helicopter is an enhancement to existing resources and will produce tangible and intangible benefits to the Police Service and to the citizens of Winnipeg. Benefits of acquiring a helicopter include pursuit management and related liability reduction, increased public safety, officer safety, higher clearance rates, freeing up of other "on the ground" resources and the ability of the aerial support to serve as a "force multiplier" (a tool that allows 1 officer to accomplish the work of many).

#### Project Name: **Police Building Replacement**

Department: Project:

AUTHORIZATION

Winnipeg Police Service 633100xx10

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3+2 Police Response Service:

FORECAST Five-Year Previous 2010 Forecast Six-Year Budgets\* Adopted 2011 2012 \*\* 2013 2014 2015 Total 2.600 56 721 2.600

Total

Project Costs (\$000's)	56,721			2,600				2,600	2,600
	30,721	-	-	2,000	-	-	-	2,000	2,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital		891	891	4,667	3,374	3,374	3,374	15,680	16,571
Public Private Partnership Lease									
Payments		(891)	(891)	(2,067)	(3,374)	(3,374)	(3,374)	(13,080)	(13,971)
		, ,	, ,				( )	( , ,	( , ,
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
CASH FLOW Project Costs (\$000's)		<b>2010</b> 891	<b>2011</b> 891	<b>2012</b> 4,667	<b>2013</b> 3,374	-		-	<b>Total</b> 122,184
			-	-		-		2015	
Project Costs (\$000's)			-	-		3,374	3,374	2015	
Project Costs (\$000's) Financed by:		891	891	4,667	3,374	3,374	3,374	<b>2015</b> 105,613	122,184

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The 2009 Adopted Capital Budget and 2010 to 2014 Five-Year Forecast approved by Council on December 16, 2008 included estimated budget required to procure two district stations including, potentially, property and purchasing the necessary furniture and special equipment needed at each station.

On July 18, 2007, Council approved the award of a public-private partnership (3P) contract for the development and operation of the East District Police Station based on a 30-year lease term.

The above estimates have been prepared by the Public Service based on the 3P contract for the East District Police Station. Costs include estimated procurement, furniture, and special equipment, plus construction inflation and timelines for the development of the district police stations.

The above annual cash flow estimates represent lease payments and include technical maintenance, but not utility and other operating maintenance expenses. This capital detail sheet was prepared by Planning, Property and Development.

\* Note: "Previous Budget" amount includes funding of \$53.534 million identified as Public Private Partnership and \$3.187 million of Cash to Capital. The Cash to Capital was reduced from \$8.725 in 2009 due to closing of prior year funding of \$5.538 million.

# **Facilities Replacement and Relocation Program**

Dep	artment:
Pro	ect:

Fire Paramedic Service 21000011yy

Capital Priority Rating: Service

y Rating: 3 - 3 Service: Fire and Rescue Response

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	2,800	-	2,700	2,300	858	3,000	8,858	11,658
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		1,496		2,700	2,300	858	3,000	8,858	10,354
Manitoba Winnipeg Infrastructure Program (MWIP)	896	1,304							1,304
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		800	1,800	1,400	2,600	2,300	2,158	600	11,658
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	400	896	1,400	2,600	2,300	2,158	600	10,354
Manitoba Winnipeg Infrastructure Pr (MWIP)	ogram	400	904						1,304
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase		8	44	119					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(8)	(44)	(119)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project represents the Winnipeg Fire Paramedic Service (WFPS) program for facility replacement, relocation, and improvements.

To deal with an infrastructure deficit identified in 2008, the WFPS proposed a two phase approach, with Phase I identifying stations most in need of improvement or replacement. In addition, 2010 includes \$300,000 for the construction of a Pump and Aerial Test Facility at 2546 McPhillips Street.

## Phase I

Station # 11 Relocation (presently at 200 Berry Street) - previously approved.

Station # 12 Relocation (presently at 1710 Grosvenor Avenue)

Station # 18 Replacement (5000 Roblin Boulevard)

Station # 27 New Station (South east quadrant of the city)

#### Phase II

Station # 19 Relocation (presently at 320 Whytewold Road)

Station # 15 Relocation (presently at 1083 Autumnwood Drive)

Station #4 Replacement (presently at 150 Osborne Street)

Station # 13 Relocation (presently at 700 Lilac Street)

New Station (Waverley West Development)

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

# Project Name: Replacement Radio System

Department: Project:

Fire Paramedic Service 21000014yy

Capital Priority Rating: 3-3 Service: Fire

e: Fire and Rescue Response

					FORECAST	Г		Five-Year	-
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,000	2,000	2,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)							2,000	2,000	2,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	-	2,000	-	2,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)						2,000		2,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

## *Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Winnipeg Fire Paramedic Service (WFPS) currently operates 420 radios under the Fleetnet Radio System (FRS). The existing vendor will not support the system past 2014 and it is unknown what will replace the FRS across the province after that. Initial system redevelopment to support a new radio system is estimated at \$2.0 million.

## Project Name: Computer Automation

Department:

#### Planning, Property and Development

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3

Project:

# 6361000410

Service: Property Asset Management

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	350	200	200	200	200	200	1,000	1,350
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		350	200	200	200	200	200	1,000	1,350
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		350	200	200	200	200	200	-	1,350
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	350	200	200	200	200	200		1,350
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		10	6	6					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			33	55					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		10	(27)	(49)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This is an on going office automation program. Its primary goals are to deliver cost-effective solutions that provide convenient customer service to our citizens and to improve organizational efficiencies. We can achieve these goals through expansion of online services, office/ workflow automation, re-engineering of legacy systems, electronic documents management, and systems integration (e.g. database synchronization) between our own applications and those of other departments.

It is anticipated that maintaining and improving a solid technological framework can provide the basis for organization improvements that increase efficiency and reliability. In order to improve service delivery, we strive to make information more accessible, streamline workflows, and reduce any duplication of efforts. It can also be argued that some initiatives such as electronic document submissions are not only citizen-friendly but environmentally responsible as well.

A general program of ongoing system improvement is suggested and is seen as reasonable to ensure the continued longevity and viability of the City's investment in office automation.

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

# Project Name: Riverbank Greenway Programs

Department:

Planning, Property and Development Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 2

Project:

6351300110

Service: Parks and Urban Forestry

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	1,536	385	403	551	381	448	434	2,217	2,602
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		385	403	551	381	448	434	2,217	2,602
								Bayand	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		385	403	551	381	448	434	-	2,602
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	385	403	551	381	448	434		2,602
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		11	12	16					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			37	79					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		11	(25)	(63)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This program supports the acquisition of riverbank lands for the City's linear parkway system and promotes the use of the City's rivers and riverbanks by facilitating public access to rivers and riverbank lands and encouraging the use of Winnipeg rivers for transportation and recreation through the provision of boat launches, docks, pathways, and other accessibility improvements.

Funds provided are for implementation according to the prioritized river segments adopted by Council as opportunities present themselves and as needs dictate. The current top six priority parkways include North Winnipeg, South Winnipeg, Kildonan, Boni-Vital, North Assiniboine, and South Assiniboine, in no particular order.

#### 2008 Projects

Elzear Goulet Memorial Park (Boni-Vital Parkway) - 100% Complete

Bois-des-Esprits Primary Pathway (Seine River Greenway) - 50% Complete; Project to be completed Summer 2009

St. John's Park Pathway (North Winnipeg Parkway) - 75% Complete; Project to be completed Summer 2009

Norquay to Alfred Riverbank Trail (North Winnipeg Parkway) - 75% Complete; Project to be completed Summer 2009

## 2009 Projects

Woodhaven CC to Sturgeon Road Riverbank Trail (Sturgeon Creek Greenway) - Tender for Construction Fall 2009

Riverbank Parkway Trailheads, Kiosks & Signage - Tender for Construction Fall 2009 & Spring 2010

#### 2010 Proposed Projects

South Winnipeg Parkway - Glasgow to Togo connection

North Assiniboine Parkway - Omand Park to Assiniboine Park connection

Riverbank Parkway and Greenway Signage and Trailheads

# Project Name: Cemeteries - Improvements

Department:	Planning, Property and	Capital Priority Rating:	1 - 3
Project:	Development 6322100110	Service:	Cemeteries

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	1,666	550	300	200	200	200	260	1,160	1,710
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund) Veterans Affairs Canada		400 150	300	200	200	200	260	1,160	1,560 150
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		550	300	200	200	200			1,710
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	400	300	200	200	200	260		1,560
Veterans Affairs Canada		150							150
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		16	9	6					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The funds are required to supplement investment being received from Veterans Affairs Canada (VAC) under a joint funding project to renovate the Brookside Cemetery. VAC have so far invested \$60k in 2007, \$120k in 2008, \$200k in 2009 and a discussion of \$150k average for further years to complete the project; further funding is promised but not guaranteed for the next 10 years.

16

52

(43)

85

(79)

In addition, the Capital funds are required for the purchase and installation of columbaria and other cremation interment facilities at City cemeteries. In the past the investment in columbaria units has generated revenues in excess of 200% of the investment sum. The Brookside Cemetery needs to have installed a cremation garden which will assist in increasing revenues.

Funding is required to repair roadways at Brookside and Transcona Cemeteries, install new storage buildings and interment sections in Brookside Cemetery.

Debt and finance charges increase

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

## Project Name: Downtown Enhancement Program

Department:

Planning, Property and Development Capital Priority Rating: 2 - 3

Project:

6351800110

Service: City Beautification

	_			F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	3,018	400	400	400	400	400	400	2,000	2,400
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		400	400	400	400	400	400	2,000	2,400
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		400	400	400	400	400	400	-	2,400
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	400	400	400	400	400	400		2,400
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		12	12	12					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			38	80					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		12	(26)	(68)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The project objective is for functional and aesthetic improvements and required infrastructure upgrades to public spaces and streetscapes in the Downtown and Exchange District National Heritage Site. The funds will enhance accessibility, safety, comfort and urban design quality of pedestrian environments and fulfill the commitment to the "Downtown First" philosophy. The Downtown Winnipeg Urban Design Guidelines provide the guiding principles to support design and enhance the liveability of Winnipeg's Downtown Urban environment. The program will support and develop ongoing partnership opportunities for future development and redevelopment, and projects such as: Old Market Square, Broadway, Hydro Tower, Millennium Library Park, Bonnycastle Park, Assiniboine Riverwalk, etc. Projects and funding have traditionally relied upon partnership opportunities and have supported private redevelopments.

Funding will also be used to support the National Historic Site Designation of the Exchange District.

These public open space and streetscape improvements are over and above and exclusive of work assumed by the Public Works, Transit, and Water and Waste Departments who are responsible for existing infrastructure such as street trees, planters, boulevards, accessibility concerns, and required maintenance.

Priorities from 2010-2015 include Old Market Square Phase I, II & III, Manitoba Hydro Precinct, Bonnycastle Park, Assiniboine Riverwalk, Downtown and Exchange District Pedestrian Facilities Enhancements, Broadway and the Edmonton Street Pedestrian Corridor.

#### **Downtown Enhancement Program (continued):**

After adoption of the 2010 Capital Budget, Council approved (January 27, 2010), a new capital project "Old Market Square Redevelopment" with a total budget of \$1.25 million as detailed below:

- transfer funding from Downtown Enhancement Program.	300,000
- contribution from Government of Canada.	250,000
<ul> <li>funding from Centre Venture Development Corporation.</li> <li>commitment from Winnipeg Foundation.</li> </ul>	200,000 500,000
	1,250,000

The City will provide interim financing of \$200,000 pending the receipt of contributions from the Winnipeg Foundation.

Council also approved (February 24, 2010) an amendment to 2009 Downtown Enhancement Capital Program Budget by transferring \$217,500 from the Planning, Property and Development Department's Non-specified Capital Account to the 2009 Downtown Enhancement program in order to complete the Waterfront Drive Public Art project. Accordingly, the Previous Budget amount has been restated.

## Image Route Enhancements / Streetscaping

Project Name: Department:

Project:

Planning, Property and Development 6351241510 Capital Priority Rating: 2 - 3

Service: City Beautification

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	400	400	400	400	400	400	2,000	2,400
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		400	400	400	400	400	400	2,000	2,400
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		400	400	400	400	400	400	-	2,400
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	400	400	400	400	400	400		2,400
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		12	12	12					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			38	80					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		12	(26)	(68)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The work focuses on the 13 (of the 15) Business Improvement Zones, the designated 6 image routes and 14 neighbourhood main streets. The funds will be utilized to initiate, partner and implement streetscape and open space initiatives and enhance accessibility, safety, comfort and urban design quality of pedestrian environments and promote the City of Winnipeg's image and safety. These enhancements are over and above and exclusive of work assumed by the Public Works Department that includes curb, street and sidewalk repairs, and required maintenance of existing infrastructure including shrub, tree and turf replacement.

The capital works will include the City's Universal Design Policy, adopted by Council in 2001, and the Principles and Guidelines for Tree Planting on Regional Streets.

This enhancement program will continue in consultation and collaboration with the Winnipeg BIZ Association and in partnership with the private sector to achieve the goal statements of: 1) to support Neighbourhoods Main Streets (BIZ's) - provide anchors for neighbourhoods and character districts, 2) to provide a vibrant environment for both businesses and residents to enhance the image and vitality of our neighbourhoods and our city, 3) to ensure the renewal and to sustain the infrastructure of Business Improvement Zones and of Image Routes to enhance the image and vitality of our community, of our business, residential and adjacent communities.

This enhancement program takes the form of decorative medians, pedestrian lighting, banner poles, special entry nodes, special pavement treatments, character signage, plant trees and site furnishings including benches, waste receptacles, tree grates, tree guards, information kiosks, and bicycle racks to support the Neighbourhood Main Streets, etc.

The City of Winnipeg through the enhancement program will work with the proponents by having a conceptual planning and design development of the area done in phase 1. Phase 2 & 3 are the tender and construction phases. The program will try to have up to three larger projects moving forward at any given time.

# PLANNING PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT - GENERAL CAPITAL FUND

#### Image Route Enhancements / Streetscaping (continued):

2010 - Transcona BIZ (Major Project Revitalization) / St. James Village BIZ (Concept Plan Development), West Broadway BIZ (Concept Plan Development), Academy Road BIZ (Pocket Park Project & Repair Street Elements).

2011 - St. James Village BIZ (Major Project Revitalization), West Broadway BIZ (Major Project Revitalization), Osborne South BIZ (Concept Plan Development).

2012 - St. James Village BIZ (Major Project Revitalization), West Broadway BIZ (Major Project Revitalization), Osborne South BIZ (Major Project Revitalization), Norwood Grove BIZ (Concept Plan Development).

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

## Project Name: Public Art Strategy

Department:

Planning, Property and Development

Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 1

Project:

6351400710

Service: City Beautification

	_			F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	2,600	500	500	500	500	500	500	2,500	3,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		500	500	500	500	500	500	2,500	3,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		500	500	500	500	500	500	-	3,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	500	500	500	500	500	500		3,000
				0040	<b>[</b>				
NET OPERATING IMPACT	-	2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		15	15	15					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			48	100					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		15	(33)	(85)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

On September 24, 2003, Council approved in principle the Public Art Policy of the Mayor's Task Force on Public Art in Winnipeg and directed that the Chief Administrative Officer work in conjunction with WAC (Winnipeg Arts Council) to develop the necessary procedures for implementing the direction of the policy, including but not limited to those areas relating to governance and funding. Council adopted a Public Art Policy on October 27, 2004.

#### Projects underway:

- Exchange District BIZ kiosks collaboration

- "With Art" Projects: Winnipeg Gateway & Transit, The Eritrean Community, Dorchester House, Immigrant Refugee Community Organization of Manitoba

- Artist-in-Residence Project: St. Boniface Museum

- Maison des Artistes, Jardin des Artistes - Les Amoureux

#### Projects implemented:

- "With Art" Projects: Bishop Grandin Greenway Environmental Sculptures, Manitoba Urban Native Housing Association, Spence Neighbourhood Association, Manitoba Maternity Care Action Network, Elwick Community

- Artist-in-Residence Projects: City Archives "Souvenirs", Living Prairie Museum "Lost Landscape"
- Vimy Ridge Memorial Park
- Millenium Library "The Illumination"
- Downtown BIZ bike racks
- Assiniboine Park Sculpture "Agassiz Ice"

# Land Acquisition for General Unspecified Purposes

Department: Project: Planning, Property and Development 6321100110 Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 2+2

Service: Property Asset Management

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	200	300	400	400	400	400	1,900	2,100
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		200	300	400	400	400	400	1,900	2,100
		200	500	400	400	400	400	1,500	2,100
								Devend	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		200	300	400	400	400	400	-	2,100
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	200	300	400	400	400	400		2,100
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		6	9	12					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			19	50					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		6	(10)	(38)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

General provision for the annual acquisition of land for purposes other than regional streets and riverbank property. This provides for the acquisition of properties which are unforeseen at this time.

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

# Project Name: **Developer Payback**

Department:

Planning, Property and Development

Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 1

Project:

6351000210

Service: Parks and Urban Forestry

			F	Five-Year					
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	454	280	261	250	203	298	-	1,012	1,292
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		280	261	250	203	298		1,012	1,292
	•							Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		280	261	250	203	298	-	· _	1,292
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		280	261	250	203	298			1,292
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		8	8	7					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decrease)									
Debt and finance charges increase			27	54					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		8	(19)	(47)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The City of Winnipeg is legally obligated through the approved Development Agreement parameters to provide payback on older Developer Agreements based on the approved 48/52% split on the development of park costs.

Applications from some of the outstanding (older) agreements are now being received due to the current build out.

Calculations based on industry consultation.

# Project Name: Central Park Redevelopment

		E/	
Project:	6351500110	Service:	Parks and Urban Forestry
	Development	. , , ,	
Department:	Planning, Property and	Capital Priority Rating:	2 - 4

				Five-Year					
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	1,000	400	-	-	-	-			400
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		400						-	400
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		400	-						400
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital A	ssets Fund)	400							400
NET OPERATING IMPAC	ſ	2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)		12							
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(d	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			38	40					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		12	(38)	(40)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The \$400,000 is to be provided to CentreVenture Development Corporation for the grant portion of the City of Winnipeg Central Park Redevelopment. The grant is to be provided specifically for infrastructure costs of the re-development of Central Park.

....

# Project Name: Transcona Centennial Square

Department:

Planning, Property and Development Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 1

Project:

6351800310

Service: City Beautification

			FORECAST					Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	1,000	-	-	-	-	-		1,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		25						-	25
Province of Manitoba		500						-	500
Land Operating Reserve		440						-	440
Contributions In Lieu of Land Dedication Reserve		35						-	35
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		1,000	-	-	-	-	-		1,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	25							25
Province of Manitoba		500							500
Land Operating Reserve		440							440
Contributions In Lieu of Land Dedica	ition								
Reserve		35							35

NET OPERATING IMPACT	2010	2011	2012
Revenue increase/(decrease)	5		
Less:			
Operating expenditures increase/(decrease)			
Debt and finance charges increase		17	18
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	5	(17)	(18)

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The development of Transcona Centennial Square is part of the Downtown Streetscape Revitalization Strategy for Transcona, the intent of which is to evaluate and identify the immediate streetscape concerns of the downtown area and provide a direction for the revitalization and long-term viability of downtown Transcona's streetscape. Downtown Transcona encompasses three blocks of Regent Avenue from Winona to Kanata, and three blocks each of Day Street and Bond Street from Victoria Avenue to Pandora Avenue.

A master plan was developed which facilitates the creation of a "home town" image for the revitalization of downtown Transcona. The master plan implements conceptual streetscape improvements and a proposed Transcona Centennial Square combined with programmed renewal of existing infrastructure. The proposed streetscape elements will help to revitalize downtown Transcona by providing a consistent identifiable and marketable visual character.

Transcona Centennial Square is proposed, as an integral initiative of the Downtown Transcona Revitalization Strategy, to celebrate the 100th anniversary of the incorporation of Transcona as a town in 1911.

# PLANNING PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT - GENERAL CAPITAL FUND

#### Transcona Centennial Square (continued):

Council approval of this project on December 15, 2009 is subject to:

(1) a minimum provincial funding commitment of \$500,000 as noted above;

(2) funds of \$290,000 being returned to the Land Operating Reserve from the future sale of land(s) in the Transcona Ward, principally the sale of all or part of the lands known as 401 Pandora Avenue West;

(3) \$150,000 from existing funds previously committed to capital projects for Transcona Centennial Celebrations are now dedicated to the land assembly and construction of the Transcona Centennial Square Project;

(4) \$25,000 from the Transcona Ward share of the 2010 Community Park Amenities fund being dedicated to the land assembly and construction of the Transcona Centennial Square Project;

(5) \$35,000 from the Transcona Ward share of the Land Dedication Account being dedicated to the land assembly and construction of the Transcona Centennial Square Project; and

(6) the project information as set out in the Transcona BIZ Downtown Streetscape Revitalization Strategy.

## Project Name: Riverbank Stabilization - Physical Asset Protection

Department:

Planning, Property and Development Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 4

Project:

6351300210

Service: Parks and Urban Forestry

				F	ORECAST	1		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	4,300	1,500	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	6,500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)	1,300	600	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	5,600
Manitoba Winnipeg Infrastructure Program (MWIP)	3,000	900							900
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		1,500	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	-	6,500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As Manitoba Winnipeg Infrastructure Pr	,	600	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000		5,600
(MWIP)	9	900							900
Revenue increase/(decrease)		44	29	29					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			143	251					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		44	(114)	(222)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

In 2000, the Riverbank Stability Characterization Study identified stability conditions of 106 km of City owned riverbank property which comprises approximately 45% of all such property within The City of Winnipeg. Inasmuch as costs to effectively stabilize all City owned river and creek banks requires a significant funding commitment of \$80 million (2000 dollars), "first phase" priority sites were established in the report for purposes of the City implementing stabilization along the most critical sections of riverbank. Four priority sites have been stabilized to date, namely, Annabella Street to May Street (2003), St. John's Park (2006), Bunn's Creek (2006) and St. Vital Park (2008) at a total cost of approximately \$4.0 million.

#### Riverbank Stabilization - Physical Asset Protection (continued):

The Planning, Property & Development Department, in collaboration with the University of Manitoba, developed a GIS Riverbank Asset Management System which systematically updated the priority sites listing in 2009 for all City owned riverbank property based on assigned engineering attributes as follows:

Site	Amount
Red River - Churchill Park (Montague to Cockburn)	2,620,000
Red River - King's Park (Outside Bend)	2,770,000
Red River - Guay Park	1,110,000
Red River - King's Park	1,460,000
Red River - Minnetonka at River Road	430,000
Red River - River to Rivergate	1,780,000
Red River - Canoe Club	3,570,000
Red River - St. Mary's at Perimeter	3,610,000
Red River - Crescent Park	1,010,000
Red River - St. Vital Cemetery	2,100,000
Red River - Glasgow to Brandon	1,040,000
Red River - Lyndale Drive	1,650,000
Total:	23,150,000

# Project Name: Building Communities Initiative II

Department:

Planning, Property and Development Capital Priority Rating: 2 - 4

Project:

6351200010

Service: Neighbourhood Revitalization

				F	ORECAST	1		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast S Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	* 7,500	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,500	10,500	12,500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,250	5,250	6,250
Manitoba Winnipeg Infrastructure Program (MWIP)		1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,250	5,250	6,250
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,500	-	12,500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,250		6,250
Manitoba Winnipeg Infrastructure Pr (MWIP)	ogram	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,250		6,250
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		58	58	58					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			190	401					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		58	(132)	(343)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Building Communities Initiative (BCI) is a capital expenditure program that invests in community infrastructure in Winnipeg's neighbourhoods. The program's goals are to improve living conditions in Winnipeg's neighbourhoods where capital improvements in parks, community facilities, municipal infrastructure, and crime prevention initiatives will have lasting, long-term benefits to the neighbourhood and the larger urban community.

\*Amount has been adjusted to reflect previous funding for BCI I and has been reduced \$400,000 as a result of December 16, 2008 Council Recommendation.

# Project Name: General Building Renovation and Refurbishing

Department:	Civic Accommodations 63310000210	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 3
Project:		Service:	Property Asset Management

				F	ORECAST	•		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	500	500	500	500	500	500	2,500	3,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		500	500	500	500	500	500	2,500	3,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		500	500	500	500	500	500	-	3,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	500	500	500	500	500	500		3,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		15	15	15					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			48	100					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		15	(33)	(85)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This is an ongoing program to fund small corporate moves, minor interior renovations, and other miscellaneous projects for which no other separate financing is available. Fundamental to productivity gain: for corporate renovations, moves, etc. which facilitate client changes/ activities.

# Project Name: Civic Buildings - Barrier Free Access

Department:

Project:

Civic Accommodations 6331000611

Capital Priority Rating: 3 -Service: Pro

: 3 - 2+2 : Property Asset Management

				F	ORECAST	ſ		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	-	300	300	-	230	100	930	930
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)			300	300		230	100	930	930
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	300	300	-	230	100	-	930
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)		300	300		230	100		930
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)			9	9					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase				30					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	9	(21)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

To improve accessibility for disabled persons to existing city-owned buildings and in so doing meet the legislated standards with respect to barrier free access. Legally required: Building Code requirements.

## Project Name: Removal of Asbestos

Department:	Civic Accommodations 6331000310	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 3
Project:		Service:	Property Asset Management

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	300	100	124	100	500	200	1,024	1,324
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		300	100	124	100	500	200	1,024	1,324
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		300	100	124	100	500	200	-	1,324
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	300	100	124	100	500	200		1,324
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		9	3	3					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			29	40					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		9	(26)	(37)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

A regulatory requirement during renovations is the removal of hazardous material from existing facilities. An inventory of asbestos within City buildings has been completed. Work is performed when other building renovations are planned or when some other physical disturbance of asbestos covered building components occurs. This money may also be used to remove mould and other hazardous materials during building renovations, which often is identified at the same time as the asbestos.

#### Project Name: Roof Replacement

Department:

Project:

Civic Accommodations 6331001710

Capital Priority Rating: 3 Service: F

3 - 3 Property Asset Management

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	800	200	200	250	400	400	1,450	2,250
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		800	200	200	250	400	400	1,450	2,250
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		800	200	200	250	400	400	-	2,250
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	800	200	200	250	400	400		2,250
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		24	6	6					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			76	100					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		24	(70)	(94)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The roofs on many of the buildings in the Civic Accommodations portfolio are nearing or beyond the end of their useful life. Ongoing maintenance costs for these roofs are continuing to rise. Operating budgets do not provide adequate funding for the replacement of roofs. Replacement of roofs will also provide an opportunity to upgrade roof insulation, which will result in energy savings.

Projects for 2010 include the following:

2546 McPhillips Street	120
700 Assiniboine Park Drive	230
1539 Waverley Street	170
Various	280
Total	800
* N/A No amounte have been included in the "Dravious Pudgets" due to this preject's on a	

# Project Name: Fire Safety Upgrading - Various Locations

Department:	Civic Accommodations 6331000410	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 4
Project:		Service:	Property Asset Management

				F	ORECAST	•		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	500	200	200	200	400	300	1,300	1,800
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		500	200	200	200	400	300	1,300	1,800
		0010	0044	0040	0010	0014	0045	Beyond	Tatal
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		500	200	200	200	400	300	-	1,800
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	500	200	200	200	400	300		1,800
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	1				
Revenue increase/(decrease)		15	6	6					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			48	70					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		15	(42)	(64)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Renovations within existing buildings are becoming more expensive as the existing buildings do not meet the fire protective design requirements of current building codes. The present fire safety controls in buildings are aging and require replacement or upgrading to current standards. Legally required/essential: code and life safety requirements.

# Project Name: Energy Conservation

Department:

Project:

Civic Accommodations 6331000610

Capital Priority Rating: 3 Service: Pr

3 - 4 Property Asset Management

				F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	300	-	200	-	200	100	500	800
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		300		200		200	100	500	800
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		300	-	200	-	200	100	-	800
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	300		200		200	100		800
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		9	2011	6					
Less:		Ű		Ũ					
Operating expenditures increase/(de Debt and finance charges increase	crease)		29	30					

(29)

(24)

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This is part of a continuing initiative to reduce energy consumption.

Optimizes operational savings.

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

9

Project Name:

# **Civic Centre Car Park Tunnel**

Department:Civic AccommodationsCapital Priority Rating:3 - 3Project:6331000112Service:Property Asset Management

				F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	200	-	-	200	-	-	-	200	200
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)				200				200	200
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	200	-	-	-		200
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)			200					200
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)				6					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	6					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Structural repairs, waterproofing, and restoration to the underground tunnel between the Car Park and the Civic Centre are required. The estimate contained for 2012 provides for the associated engineering costs only.

Major structural repairs will be required.

# Project Name: Tenant Improvement Prepayment - Major Department

Department:

Project:

Civic Accommodations 6331002312

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 4 Service: Prop

15

e: Property Asset Management

				F	ORECAST	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	-	-	500	-	500	200	1,200	1,200
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)				500		500	200	1,200	1,200
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	500	-	500	200	-	1,200
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital A	ssets Fund)			500		500	200		1,200
NET OPERATING IMPAC	r	2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)				15					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(d	ecrease)								

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Funds set aside for replacement of depreciated tenant improvements.

Debt and finance charges increase

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

# Project Name: Historic Building Stabilization

Department:	Civic Accommodations	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 2
Project:	6331000710	Service:	Heritage Conservation

				F	ORECAST	•		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	400	-	200	200	200	200	800	1,200
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		400		200	200	200	200	800	1,200
	•							Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		400	-	200	200	200	200	-	1,200
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	400		200	200	200	200		1,200
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<b> </b>				
Revenue increase/(decrease)		12	2011	6					
Less:				0					
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			38	40					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		12	(38)	(34)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The City of Winnipeg has a substantial inventory of historic buildings that are steadily deteriorating. This program will provide for structural planning and remediation of further deterioration.

Major structural repairs will be required.

# Project Name: Major Building Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) Upgrades

Department: Project: Civic Accommodations 6331000810

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3 Service: Property Asset Management

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	900	-	200	500	500	500	1,700	2,600
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		900		200	500	500	500	1,700	2,600
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		900	-	200	500	500	500	-	2,600
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	900		200	500	500	500		2,600
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		26		6					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			86	90					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		26	(86)	(84)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The HVAC systems in many of the buildings in the Civic Accommodations portfolio are believed to be nearing the end of their useful life or are not operating to regulatory requirements for indoor air quality. Upgrading of the HVAC systems is expected to result in significant energy savings.

Projects for 2010 include the following:

251 Donald Street - \$900k

# Project Name: Building Envelope - Evaluation and Emergency Repair

Department:	Civic Accommodations 6331001212	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 3
Project:		Service:	Property Asset Management

				F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	-	-	200	-	200	300	700	700
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)				200		200	300	700	700
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	300	2013	700
,		-	-	200	-	200	300	-	700
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)			200		200	300		700
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)				6					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	6					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Building envelope concerns have been identified in a number of city-owned buildings. There is a requirement to establish the condition of the building envelopes for all buildings within the Civic Accommodations portfolio. The project will include a study to establish budgets for future building envelope retrofits, and the repairs for priorities identified in the study.

# Project Name: Elevator and Escalator Repair

Department:

Project:

Civic Accommodations 633100xx10

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3 Service: Prop

3 - 3 Property Asset Management

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	800	400	200	300	300	400	1,600	2,400
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		800	400	200	300	300	400	1,600	2,400
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		800	400	200	300	300	400	-	2,400
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	800	400	200	300	300	400		2,400
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
			-	-					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		24	12	6					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			76	120					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		24	(64)	(114)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The elevators and escalators in many of the buildings in the Civic Accommodations portfolio are nearing or beyond the end of their useful life. Replacement of the old elevators and escalators will provide more universally accessible buildings, and the upgraded systems will result in energy savings.

Projects for 2010 include the following:

510 Main Street	375
365 Main Street	300
299 Fort Street	75
Other	50
Total	800

#### Project Name:

# **510 Main Street - Generator Set Replacement**

Department:	Civic Accommodations 633100xx13	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 3
Project:		Service:	Property Asset Management

				F	FORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	1,600	-	-	1,600	1,600
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)					1,600			1,600	1,600
			00//					Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	1,600	-	-	-	1,600
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)				1,600				1,600
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<u> </u>				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The existing generator set in the City Hall complex is coming to the end of its useful life for several reasons. It was installed when the City Hall complex was constructed in 1964. Maintenance costs are rising and there is a possibility that the existing generator may not function as intended in a future power outage. Present Building Codes require additional equipment, needed for fire and life safety purposes, to be supplied with backup power from a generator. Upgrades to the fuel delivery system are also required by current Codes. Mission critical operations within the City Hall complex require backup power which exceeds the capacity of the existing generator set. These mission critical operations include the Emergency Operations Centre, Central Control, and select corporate computer equipment.

# Project Name: Surface Parking Lot Repairs

Department:

Project:

Civic Accommodations 6331002310

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 4 Service: Prop

3 - 4 Property Asset Management

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	200	200	200	200	200	200	1,000	1,200
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		200	200	200	200	200	200	1,000	1,200
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		200	200	200	200	200	200	-	1,200
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	200	200	200	200	200	200		1,200
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		6	6	6					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			19	40					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		6	(13)	(34)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

An ongoing program for repair and replacement of surface parking lots and paved yards for City facilities which are not managed by other departments or the Winnipeg Parking Authority. Many of the City parking lots service public buildings such as libraries and police stations, and are in a state of disrepair.

Projects for 2010 include the following:

2546 McPhillips Street	125
Miscellaneous	75
Total	200

# Project Name: Generator Set Replacement and Upgrades

Department:	Civic Accommodations	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 4+2
Project:	63xxxxx13	Service:	Property Asset Management

				I	FORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	200	-	200	400	400
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)					200		200	400	400
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	200	-	200	-	400
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)				200		200		400
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The existing emergency generator sets in a number of the buildings in the Civic Accommodations portfolio are coming to the end of their useful life for several reasons. Maintenance costs are rising and there is a possibility of existing generators not functioning as intended in future power outages.

Present Building Codes require additional equipment, needed for fire and life safety purposes, to be supplied with backup power from a generator. Mission critical operations within these facilities require backup power which exceeds the capacity of existing generator sets.

Building occupants are requesting backup power for their information technology operations which existing generator sets are not able to provide.

# Project Name: Electrical Distribution System Upgrades

Department:

Project:

Civic Accommodations 63xxxxx13

Capital Priority Rating: 3 -Service: Pro

3 - 4+2 Property Asset Management

					FORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	200	-	200	400	400
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)					200		200	400	400
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2013	400
Financed by:		-		-	200		200		400
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)				200		200		400
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	1		1		
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The electrical distribution systems in many of the buildings in the Civic Accommodations portfolio have major components believed to be nearing the end of their useful life. The distribution systems in some buildings are no longer adequate to safely meet the changing occupant requirements for electrical power.

# Project Name: 510 Main Street - Accessibility Upgrade

Department:	Civic Accommodations	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 4+2
Project:	63xxxxxx10	Service:	Property Asset Management

		2010		F	FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	1,000	-	-	-	-			1,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		1,000						-	1,000
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		1,000	-	-	-	-			1,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	1,000							1,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)		29							
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			95	100					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		29	(95)	(100)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The redevelopment of the upper courtyard at City Hall was completed in 2004. The lower courtyard was not completed at that time due to limited budget funding. The existing ramps from street level to the upper courtyard do not meet current universal accessibility requirements. The lower courtyard at street level has also deteriorated, does not comply with the City's universal design standards and requires upgrading. There are also outstanding building code/safety issues remaining to be addressed. A conceptual design has been developed and cost estimates prepared for the project.

\*\* Supported by class 3 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

# Project Name: Campus Area Security Upgrades

Department:

Project:

Civic Accommodations 6331002610

Capital Priority Rating: 3 Service: Pr

3 - 4+2 Property Asset Management

				F	ORECAST	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	100	700	-	-	-	-	-	· -	700
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		700						-	700
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		700	-	-	-	-	-	· -	700
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	700							700
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		21							
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			67	70					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		21	(67)	(70)	1				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Security has been noted as an ongoing concern within the Civic Campus area. Enhanced security in the Civic Campus area will reflect the evolving risk management needs to protect City staff and property from potential injury and loss, reduce legal liability and will assist to help attract the public to the downtown area. Possible upgrades may include exterior lighting, security system improvements, and video surveillance.

#### Project Name:

# **Community Centres - Refurbishing and Improvements**

Department: Project:	Building Services 6390000110	Capital Priority Rating: Service:	1 - 4 Recreation	
-------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---------------------	--

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	500	500	500	500	500	500	2,500	3,000
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		500	500	500	500	500	500	2,500	3,000
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		500	500	500	500	500	500	-	3,000
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	500	500	500	500	500	500		3,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		15	15	15					
Less:									

Debt and finance charges increase		59	106	
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	15	(44)	(91)	]

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project is for refurbishment and improvements of Community Centres (CC).

Projects for 2010 include the following:

Operating expenditures increase/(decrease)

Roofing/Building Envelope - Notre Dame CC, Norberry CC, Oxford Heights CC, Robert A. Steen CC	380
HVAC Replacement/Upgrades - Northwood CC.	70
Parking Lot/Bldg Access Asphalt/Hard Surface and Drainage Improvements/Sewer Replacement - Norberry CC.	50
Total	500

Project Name:

#### Arenas

Department: Project: Building Services 6391000110

Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 4 Service: Recreation

FORECAST Five-Year Previous 2010 Six-Year Forecast AUTHORIZATION 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Budgets Adopted Total Total Project Costs (\$000's) N/A 525 525 525 525 525 525 2.625 3.150 Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund) 525 525 525 525 525 525 2.625 3,150 Beyond **CASH FLOW** 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2015 Total Project Costs (\$000's) 525 525 525 525 525 525 3,150 Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund) 525 525 525 525 525 525 3,150 **NET OPERATING IMPACT** 2010 2011 2012 Revenue increase/(decrease) 15 15 15 Less: Operating expenditures increase/(decrease) Debt and finance charges increase 62 112

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project is for refurbishment and/or improvements to various indoor arenas including ice plant component replacement, interior improvements, heating, ventilation and electrical improvements, replacements of rink components, building envelope and structural improvements.

(47)

(97)

15

Projects for 2010 include the following:

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

Ice plant component repair/replacement -Vimy /Bertrand/Charles Barbour/Old Ex/ River East/Sargent Park/Billy Mosienko	275
Rink Board Replacement - Terry Sawchuk	100
Interior floor replacement - St. James Civic Centre / Century Arenas	25
Accessibility - Century Arena	125
Total	525

# Project Name: Indoor Aquatic Facilities

Department:	Building Services 6392000110	Capital Priority Rating:	1 - 4
Project:		Service:	Recreation

			FORECAST					Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	650	2,450	2,530	2,530	2,530	2,530	12,570	13,220
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		650	2,450	2,530	2,530	2,530	2,530	12,570	13,220
		2010	0044	0040	2012	204.4	0045	Beyond	Tatal
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		650	2,450	2,530	2,530	2,530	2,530	-	13,220
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	650	2,450	2,530	2,530	2,530	2,530		13,220
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	I				
Revenue increase/(decrease)		19	72	72					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			135	371					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		19	(63)	(299)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project is for refurbishment and replacement of deteriorated building components/systems to selected aquatic facilities due to safety issues and aging building systems.

Projects for 2010 include the following:

Hot Tub Replacement - Bonivital Pool	200
Roof Replacement - St. James Civic Centre/Seven Oaks Pool	100
Parking Lot Refurbishment - Bonivital Pool	350
Total	650

# Project Name: Outdoor Aquatic Facilities

Department:

Project:

Building Services 6393000110

Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 4 Service: Recreation

FORECAST Five-Year Previous 2010 Six-Year Forecast AUTHORIZATION 2012 2013 2014 2015 Budgets Adopted 2011 Total Total Project Costs (\$000's) N/A 350 350 350 350 330 330 1.710 2.060 Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund) 330 330 2,060 350 350 350 350 1,710 Beyond **CASH FLOW** 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2015 Total Project Costs (\$000's) 350 350 350 350 330 330 2.060 Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund) 350 350 350 350 330 330 2,060 **NET OPERATING IMPACT** 2010 2011 2012 Revenue increase/(decrease) 10 10 10 Less: Operating expenditures increase/(decrease) Debt and finance charges increase 41 75

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project is for refurbishing/improvements to various outdoor facilities including wading pool basins, slide components, outdoor swimming pool tanks, mechanical system and pool decks required due to aging building infrastructure.

10

Projects for 2010 include the following:

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

Wading Pool Building Refurbishment - Various	50
Wading Pool Concrete Restoration - Various	35
Wading Pool Plumbing Upgrades - Various	40
Structural Repairs - Westdale Outdoor Pool	225
Total	350

(31)

(65)

## Project Name: Community Facilities

Department:	Building Services 6394000110	Capital Priority Rating:	1 - 4
Project:		Service:	Recreation

		FORECAST					Five-Year		
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	200	200	200	200	200	200	1,000	1,200
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		200	200	200	200	200	200	1,000	1,200
							Beyond		
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		200	200	200	200	200	200	-	1,200
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	200	200	200	200	200	200		1,200
		2000	2010	2011	[				
		2009	2010	2011					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		6	6	6					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			24	43					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		6	(18)	(37)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project is for refurbishment and/or replacement of building components and systems to meet safety and health concerns and correct deteriorating infrastructure at shops, leisure centres, etc. Funding for 2010 is for building envelope upgrade at 180 Poplar and 625 Osborne.

180 Poplar is property owned by Winnipeg School Division #1 and used by Elmwood Seniors Centre via a joint use agreement between the City of Winnipeg Community Services Department and the School Division. The terms and conditions clause 4(b) the City of Winnipeg is obligated to "make repairs to the....with the said premises, repair the roof, outside walls, windows..."

# Project Name: Fire / Life Safety Replacement / Asbestos Abatement

Department:

Project:

Building Services 6396000110

Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 4 Service: Recreation

		FORECAST					Five-Year		
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	225	225	225	225	225	225	1,125	1,350
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		225	225	225	225	225	225	1,125	1,350
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		225	225	225	225	225	225	-	1,350
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	225	225	225	225	225	225		1,350
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
			2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		6	6	6					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			26	48					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		6	(20)	(42)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project is for upgrading/replacement of fire safety systems, emergency lighting, asbestos and other hazardous material abatement as identified through the Asset Management program for various recreation facilities including pools, arenas, community centres, and leisure centres to meet the requirements of Fire/Building Codes and changing Government Regulations.

Projects for 2010 include the following:

Upgrade fire alarm systems at various locations	114
Upgrade of fire separations, fire doors and emergency exits at various facilities	71
Asbestos remediation at various facilities	40
Total	225

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

# Project Name: Security / Building Automation Systems Initiatives

Department:	Building Services 6397000110	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 4
Project:		Service:	Property Asset Management

FORECAST Five-Year 2010 Six-Year Previous Forecast AUTHORIZATION 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Budgets Adopted Total Total Project Costs (\$000's) N/A 125 125 125 125 225 225 825 950 Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund) 125 125 125 125 225 225 825 950 Beyond **CASH FLOW** 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2015 Total Project Costs (\$000's) 125 125 125 125 225 225 950 Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund) 125 125 125 125 225 225 950 NET OPERATING IMPACT 2010 2011 2012 Revenue increase/(decrease) 3 3 3 Less: Operating expenditures increase/(decrease) Debt and finance charges increase 27 15

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project is for security/building automation systems upgrade/new installation initiatives at various facilities including indoor pools, arenas, shops, leisure centres, etc. This program will focus on security system installation/upgrading and remote monitoring/control of buildings to reflect the evolving risk management needs to protect City staff and property from potential injury and loss.

(12)

(24)

3

# Project Name: Building Asset / Work Management Program

Department: Project:

Building Services 6390001010

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 4 Service: Prope

e: Property Asset Management

		FORECAST					Five-Year		
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A*	100	100	100	100	200	200	700	800
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		100	100	100	100	200	200	700	800
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		100	100	100	100	200	200	-	800
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	100	100	100	100	200	200		800
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		3	3	3					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			12	21					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		3	(9)	(18)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The City Auditor presented the Public Works Asset Management Audit - Part 2 Facilities Maintenance in June, 2006. The Audit made a number of recommendations relative to the development of an asset management/work management program and linking to other division programs. This funding provides for the ongoing program of building assessment/inspection to ensure building condition data is current.

Project Name:

# **UFF - Hockey Pen Light Standard Replacement**

Department: Project:	Building Services 6390002010	Capital Priority Rating: Service:	3 - 4 Recreation	
-------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---------------------	--

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A*	100	100	100	100	300	300	900	1,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		100	100	100	100	300	300	900	1,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		100	100	100	100	300	300	-	1,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	100	100	100	100	300	300		1,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<b>I</b>				
Revenue increase/(decrease)		3	3	3					
Less:		Ű	U	0					
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			12	21					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		3	(9)	(18)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The replacement of hockey pen light standards at various locations has been determined to be the City's responsibility under the Universal Funding Formula (UFF) with the Community Centres. As such, this program is intended to replace hockey pen light standards and bases in consideration of workplace safety and health issues, utilization of such hockey pens, number of pens at the specific site, and the Recreation and Leisure Facilities (RALF) policy context amongst other things.

# Project Name: Pan Am Pool Building Envelope

Department:

Project:

Building Services 6392001110

Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 4 Service: Recreation

FORECAST 2010 Five-Year Previous Six-Year Adopted Forecast AUTHORIZATION 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Budgets Total Total Project Costs (\$000's) 1.000 1.000 1.000 Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund) 1,000 1,000 Beyond **CASH FLOW** 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2015 Total Project Costs (\$000's) 1,000 1,000 Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund) 1,000 1,000 NET OPERATING IMPACT 2010 2011 2012 Revenue increase/(decrease) 53 53 53 Less: Operating expenditures increase/(decrease) Debt and finance charges increase 85 85 SURPLUS/(DEFICIT) 53 (32) (32)

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project includes the complete replacement of the deteriorated building envelope to the north and south walls of the Pan Am pool. The project will address insulation, air/vapour barrier, windows and doors as well as some interior renovations.

Building Envelope Pan Am

\*\* Supported by class 3 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

1,000

# Project Name: North End Centennial Pool Boiler/Chimney Replacement

Department: Project:	Building Services 6392002110	Capital Priority Rating: Service:	1 - 4 Recreation	

	_	2010		F	ORECAS	Γ		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	800	-	-	-	-	-	-	800
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		800	-	-	-	-	-	-	800
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		800	-	-	-	-	-	-	800
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Ass	ets Fund)	800	-	-	-	-	-		800
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)		33	33	33					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			68	68					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		33	(35)	(35)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project is the replacement of the existing heating system and chimney at the North End Centennial pool to ensure the ongoing operation of the building's heating systems. The existing systems have reached their life expectancy and upgrades will be made towards energy efficient building systems.

Boiler / Chimney Replacement - North End Centennial

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

\*\* Supported by class 3 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

800

# Project Name: Recreation and Leisure Facilities

Department:

Project:

Building Services 6399000109

Capital Priority Rating: 1 - 4 Service: Recreation

				F		Five-Year			
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	43,760	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		860	8,000	1,000				9,000	9,860
General Purpose Reserve (Repayment)			(8,000)	(1,000)				(9,000)	(9,000)
Transfer from Sewage Disposal Utility Capital Program		3,292						-	3,292
Canada Strategic Infrastructure									
Fund - Province		3,112						-	3,112
Interim Financing (Repayment)		(7,164)						-	(7,164)
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		100	-	-	-	-	-		100
Financed by:	. –								
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As		860	8,000	1,000					9,860
General Purpose Reserve (Repayme	,		(8,000)	(1,000)					(9,000)
Transfer from Sewage Disposal Utilit Program	y Capital	2 202							2 202
Canada Strategic Infrastructure Fund	4	3,292							3,292
Province	1 -	3,112							3,112
Interim Financing (Repayment)		(7,164)							(7,164)
		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		36	160	20					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)	486	486	486					
Debt and finance charges increase		3	9	9					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(453)	(335)	(475)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

On June 29, 2005, Council approved \$43 million for recreation and leisure facilities. The City's new Recreation and Leisure Facilities Policy was adopted on May 18, 2005. The timelines for projects will be finalized each year through the capital budget process considering priorities, project readiness, and financial/operational capacity.

Funds will be allocated to the Community Centre Investment Fund and administration and overhead charges.

#### Project Name: Corporate Records Centre

Department: City ( Project: 0400	Clerks 000912	(	Capital Pri	ority Rating: Service:	5 - 4 Counci	l Services			
				F	ORECAS	г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012 **	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	575	-		- 200	-	-	,	- 200	200
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Cap Assets Fund)	bital			200				200	200
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	- 200	-	-			200
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Cap	bital Assets Fund)			200					200
NET OPERATING IN	<b>IPACT</b>	2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less: Operating expenditures increa	ase/(decrease)								
Debt and finance charges incl									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-							

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The City Clerk's Department has a corporate responsibility for records management services and archives as outlined in the City of Winnipeg Charter. To date, temporary record storage has taken place at 380 William Avenue. The facility is now at maximum capacity, forcing departments to utilize private records storage centers. Departments are bound by by-law to keep records for a specific period of time.

In order to provide a more centralized and more cost effective records management storage services, the City Clerk's Department will renovate a portion of a city owned warehouse at 311 Ross Avenue to accommodate records storage. The area will remain a warehouse but requires some repairs to floors and walls, upgraded lighting and security, and installation of shelving. The records center opened in August of 2007.

Ongoing upgrades are expected at the 5 year mark to meet anticipated demand for corporate records.

\*\* Supported by class 1 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

#### Project Name: **Election Systems**

Department:	
Project:	

City Clerks 0400000510 Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 4 Service:

**Council Services** 

		2010			Five-Year				
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	150	-	-	150	200		- 350	500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		150			150	200		350	500
								-	
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		150	-	-	150	200			500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital A	ssets Fund)	150			150	200			500
NET OPERATING IMPAC	r	2010	2011	2012	11				
Revenue increase/(decrease)					4				
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(d	ecrease)								
	•	1		1	1				

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

In accordance with the Department's statutory responsibility to compile a List of Electors and convene elections, every four years the Department ensures the renewal of equipment and systems used in Election processes.

40

(40)

41

(41)

As some of the systems are only used every 4 years, they require either extensive renovations or complete rewrites to allow them to function with changed systems, networks and databases.

In the past, this has involved corporate mainframes and a large number of staff from Corporate Information Technology Department. The City Clerk's Department will, for the 2010 Election, ensure systems are operational using in-house resources and contract personnel, and by purchasing ready made systems from election partners such as our voting machine partner Election Systems and Software and our voters list partners Elections Canada and Elections Manitoba.

Systems to be upgraded / revitalized for the 2010 Election include the List of Electors system, GIS mapping systems, election night reporting systems, and web sites, especially those utilizing the Internet for more effective communication with candidates, media and the public.

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

\*\* Supported by class 1 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

Debt and finance charges increase

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

Project Name:

### Voting Machine Replacement

Department: Project:	City Clerks 0400001010		Capital Priority Rating: Service:	5 - 4 Council Services	
		2010	FC	DRECAST	

	<b>-</b> ·	2010				Five-real	Six Voor		
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	1,500	250	-	-	-	-	-	-	250
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		250						-	250
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		250	-	-	-	-	-	-	250
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	250							250
NET OPERATING IMPACT Revenue increase/(decrease)		2010	2011	2012	]				

Revenue increase/(decrease)			
Less:			
Operating expenditures increase/(decrease)			
Debt and finance charges increase		41	43
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	-	(41)	(43)

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The City Clerk's Automated Voting Machine system was purchased in 1995 and was used through the 2006 General Municipal Election. While the current system is still functional, obtaining replacement equipment and parts, as well as support is becoming more and more difficult, as technology evolves and the company that manufactures the system moves towards newer technology.

Use of the system to meet the demands of the public and candidates in terms of reporting and other facets is also becoming increasingly difficult as the system ages and becomes more difficult to hook into City of Winnipeg networks / systems as they change.

Access concerns for the physically challenged and the public's desire for new voting technologies must also be addressed. A new voting system using up to date digital technology will be required for the 2010 General Municipal Event.

This will require extensive research and a Request For Proposal process started in late 2008, early 2009, with purchase of the new system to occur in late 2009 or 2010, leaving 10 months of setup time for the 2010 event.

\*\* Supported by class 1 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

Five-Year

#### Project Name: **City Archives**

Department: Project:

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

City Clerks 0400000110 Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 4 Service:

**Council Services** 

	_	2010		F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted	2011 **	2012 **	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	500	500	500	500	500	500	2,500	3,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		500	500	500	500	500	500	2,500	3,000
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		500	500	500	500	500	500	-	3,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	500	500	500	500	500	500		3,000
		0010	0011	0010					
		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:	,								
Operating expenditures increase/(decrease)									
Debt and finance charges increase			49	103					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The City Archives, located at 380 William Avenue, was opened as the City's first library in the early 20th century. The building currently serves as a repository for storage of the City's historical information and has done so since 1977. The structure was designated a heritage building in 1984. Protected elements include the front rooms on the first and second floors, the building exterior and the iron fence surrounding the property.

(49)

(103)

The building until recently acted as the City's record centre. Deflection of second floor beams and a potential for collapse have resulted in much of the records storage / weight being removed from the second floor of the building and transferred to 311 Ross. A report by Crosier Kilgour has identified several issues with the building including the need to address the load bearing capacity of the second floor, ensuring appropriate repairs to the Tyndall Stone facade, ensure adequate waterproofing for the roof and foundation, as well repair / replacement of windows. Also identified are a lack of fire suppression systems and lack of wheel chair ramps in the building. These issues will be addressed over a series of years based on priority with the final year of funding being 2015.

The most pressing immediate need is repair of the iron fence surrounding the property. Due to the historic designation of the property and the fence, the fence needs to be rebuilt in the same manner as existing, rather than simply be removed or rebuilt in wood.

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

\*\* Supported by class 3 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

### Project Name: Variety Heritage Adventure Park

Department: City Cler Project: 0400001		5 - 4 Parks and Urban Forestry
---	--	-----------------------------------

		2010				Five-Year Forecast			
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	250	-	-	-	-	-		250
Financed by:									
General Purpose Reserve		250						-	250
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		250	-	-	-	-	-		250
Financed by:									
General Purpose Reserve		250							250
NET OPERATING IMPA	СТ	2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Scheduled for unveiling in 2010, the Variety Heritage Adventure Park will be a unique, world-class play park. It will feature a variety of interactive play structures and will include an outdoor performance area for interpretive programs and presentations. Key to the program design will be accessibility for children of all abilities.

This grant of \$250,000 is to be provided to Variety, the Children's Charity of Manitoba as the City's contribution to develop an accessible park at The Forks National Historic Site.

\*\* Supported by class 1 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

Operating expenditures increase/(decrease)

Debt and finance charges increase

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

### Project Name: Manitoba Children's Museum Capital Renewal Project

Department: Project: City Clerks 0400001311

Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 4 Service: Arts,

5 - 4
 Arts, Entertainment and Culture

						Five-Year			
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011 **	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	100	100
Financed by:									
General Purpose Reserve			100					100	100
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Financed by:									
General Purpose Reserve			100						100
NET OPERATING IMPA	СТ	2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)					1				

	2010	2011	2012
Revenue increase/(decrease)			
Less:			
Operating expenditures increase/(decrease)			
Debt and finance charges increase			
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	-	-	-

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This grant of \$100,000 is to be provided to the Manitoba Children's Museum to support their capital renewal project.

Project plans for renewal include:

- a new Welcome Centre, providing upgraded admission amenities, as well as providing needed safety and security for the youngest visitors and serving as a gathering place;
- 12 innovative, creative, multi-level galleries in an holistic environment, offering open-ended play and discovery opportunities;
- a fully dedicated toddler area to meet the needs of our youngest and most treasured adventurers; and
- a multi-functional Arts and Exhibition Centre for travelling exhibits, special events, performances and rentals along with three educational classrooms for programs and workshops.
- \*\* Supported by class 1 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

# Project Name: Le Cercle Molière Theatre Facility

Department: Project:

#### City Clerks 0400001411

Capital Priority Rating:

y Rating: 5 - 4 Service: Arts, Entertainment and Culture

					Five-Year Forecast				
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011 **	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	50	-	-	-	-	50	50
Financed by:									
General Purpose Reserve			50					50	50
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		2010	50	2012	2013	2014	2013	2013	50
Financed by:		-	50	-	-	-	-	-	50
General Purpose Reserve			50						50
General i ulpose rieserve			50						50
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This grant of \$50,000 is to be provided to Le Cercle Molière to support the construction of a new 19,000 square foot theatre facility.

The new theatre will be designed for small audiences of up to 125 people. With maximum flexibility in mind, non-fixed seating and platforms will be designed to allow unorthodox stage configurations as required by each production. State-of-the-art acoustic, lighting and sound systems will provide the infrastructure for a new era of exploration and artistic excellence.

\*\* Supported by class 1 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

### Project Name: Server Consolidation

Department:

Corporate Support Services Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 4

Project:

345800010

Service: Organizational Services

	2010		F	ORECAS	r		Five-Year		
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	833	-	-	-	-			833
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		833						-	833
	•							Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		305	528	-	-	-			833
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	305	528						833
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					<u> </u>
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase		27	200	214					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(27)	(200)	(214)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

All departmental data centres and their respective server infrastructure will be migrated to two locations and managed by Corporate Support Services. Capital expenses are being incurred for new, more efficient server technology and staff costs to implement the solution over the planned 24-month implementation period.

\*\* Supported by class 2 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

### Project Name: **Time and Labour**

Department:	Corporate Support	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 4
	Services		
Project:	3459000010	Service:	Organizational Services

	2010		F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year		
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	250	-	-	-	-	-		250
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		250						-	250
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		250	-	-	-	-	-		250
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	250							250
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase		8	60	64					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(8)	(60)	(64)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Business review, development and implementation of a Consolidated Time and Labour Model for the City of Winnipeg. The review will identify opportunities for improvement of current systems, identify applications that can be consolidated and improve operating processes and procedures. Recommendations and project implementation will provide system improvements, increase economy, efficiency and effectiveness related to the recording and reporting of time and labour through centralization of this function.

\*\* Supported by class 3 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

### Project Name: Consolidated Data Warehouse / Business Intelligence

Department:

Corporate Support Services Capital Priority Rating: 2 - 3

Project:

3456000010

Service: Organizational Services

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011		2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total		
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	795	925	-	169	203	218	1,515	2,310
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		795	925		169	203	218	1,515	2,310
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		795	925	-	169	203	218	-	2,310
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	795	925		169	203	218		2,310
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			211	464					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	(211)	(464)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The ability to accurately and consistently report data from many applications is becoming increasingly important. The Data Warehouse/ Business Intelligence initiative will provide the base from which many application systems and their data can be brought together to provide reporting capability as the City moves toward a more service based view of its operation.

Included in this program is a project to build a single repository of data to enable the City's systems to link with the Provincial system for the sharing of common Business Identification Numbers. This starts the process of consolidating all business data in the city, resulting in costsavings and efficiencies. The initiative to a common Business Identification Number satisfies the Red Tape Commission's recommendation number 6.1 - Standardized Business numbers. Project Name: E-Government

Department:	Corporate Support	Capital Priority Rating
	Services	
Project:	3453000010	Service

Service: Organizational Services

2 - 3

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	-	310	617	629	705	603	2,864	2,864
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)			310	617	629	705	603	2,864	2,864
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	310	617	629	705	603	-	2,864
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)		310	617	629	705	603		2,864
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<u> </u>				
Revenue increase/(decrease)			_	-					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			10	95					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	(10)	(95)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

E-Government supports projects that use information technology to support the provision of e-government services. It covers a broad spectrum of initiatives that typically fall into two main categories: 1. Providing better service to citizens (i.e. 24 X 7, self-service options); and 2. Providing services more efficiently and effectively, translates into productivity gains and reduced costs. These funds will be used to provide applications to improve the City's Internet site and to provide better and new electronic services to citizens. In addition, the funds will be used to purchase software to support the development of citizen self-service applications on the web. This software includes content management software, portal software, and single sign-on and authentication software.

### Project Name: Communications Network Infrastructure

Department:

Corporate Support Services Capital Priority Rating: 2 - 4

Project:

3401000010

Service: Organizational Services

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	553	638	643	277	641	566	2,765	3,318
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		553	638	643	277	641	566	2,765	3,318
		[						Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		553	638	643	277	641	566	-	3,318
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	553	638	643	277	641	566		3,318
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase		18	154	317					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(18)	(154)	(317)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Communications Network Infrastructure is the implementation and enhancement of the backbone network infrastructure which connects the decentralized computers in Civic Departments. This is a high speed infrastructure to enable the sharing and distribution of corporate information across departments with more management, control, flexibility, and ease of use at the department level. Information could be processed and stored on lower cost computers in or for departments and made accessible city-wide through the network infrastructure. The infrastructure would extend the availability of applications such as E-mail and internet to all departments.

### Project Name: Network Computing Initiatives

Department:	Corporate Support	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 4
	Services		
Project:	3402000010	Service:	Organizational Services

				F			<b>.</b>		
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2011 2012 2013 2014 2015	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total		
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	209	211	213	213	212	212	1,061	1,270
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		209	211	213	213	212	212	1,061	1,270
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		209	211	213	213	212	212	-	1,270
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	209	211	213	213	212	212		1,270
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					I
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase		7	57	111					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(7)	(57)	(111)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Network Computing Initiatives are emerging multi-tiered infrastructure, internet/intranet servers and other similar network based computing technologies that are required to implement both corporate and departmental initiatives in support of shared quality customer information services. Specific initiatives include hardware, software, and physical facilities protecting the City's networks and key systems from single site disasters, security products to address the ever growing computer virus and "spam" outbreaks, and re-organization assistance to efficiently manage new environment paradigms.

## Project Name: PeopleSoft Upgrade

Department:

Corporate Support Services Capital Priority Rating: 2 - 3

Project:

3455000011

Service: Organizational Services

				FORECAST					<b>a</b> : <b>v</b>
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011 **	2012 **	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	741	-	1,500	1,000	200	-	-	2,700	2,700
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)			1,500	1,000	200			2,700	2,700
	•							Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	1,500	1,000	200	-	-		2,700
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)		1,500	1,000	200				2,700
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<b>[</b>				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			49	393					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	(49)	(393)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The City is committed to renewing its PeopleSoft investment every four years to take advantage of new functionality and remain current with supplier's support. The City will significantly change the PeopleSoft application in 2011 - 2013 when it will migrate from PeopleSoft to Oracle's Fusion products.

\*\* Supported by class 3 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

Project Name:	311 Renewal
---------------	-------------

Department:	
<b>D</b> ' '	

Corporate Support

Capital Priority Rating: 2 - 2

Project:

Services 3457000014

Service: Organizational Services

					FORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	300	646	729	1,675	1,675
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)					300	646	729	1,675	1,675
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	300	646	729	-	1,675
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)				300	646	729		1,675
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<u> </u>				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The City is committed to renewing its Call Center application investment every five years to take advantage of new functionality and remain current with supplier's support. The City is expected to significantly change the customer relationship management and telephone software applications in 2014 - 2015.

### Project Name: Assessment Automation

Department:

Assessment and Taxation Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3

Project:

1400000110

Service: Assessment, Taxation and Corporate

				F		Five-Year			
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011	2012**	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	1,000	-	500	-	-	1,000	1,500	2,500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		1,000		500			1,000	1,500	2,500
								Bayand	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		1,000	-	500	-	-	1,000	-	2,500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	1,000		500			1,000		2,500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			265	270					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	(265)	(270)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Assessment Automation project includes a number of initiatives focused on improving the efficiency and the effectiveness of the assessment and taxation functions through the appropriate use of automation. Examples of specific initiatives that are ongoing include the dynamic linkage between Computer-Aided Mass Appraisal (CAMA) and Geographic Information System (GIS) so that access to assessment data can be provided through the corporate spatial platform; the development of enhanced valuation systems for specific property types; implementation of an electronic document and records management system; acquisition of property images including high resolution aerial images; and integration of PADIView with other key applications.

The Assessment Automation project is an ongoing project. The subprojects within the Assessment Automation project are usually complete within a two-year timeframe. Subprojects completed in the past year include website modifications for online data collection, development of web appraiser tools, and CAMA Commercial Component Enhancements. CAMA is the core valuation system for the Assessment and Taxation Department.

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

\*\* Supported by class 3 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

# Project Name: Innovative Capital

Department:	
Project:	

Corporate 1200000610 Capital Priority Rating: 1 Service: A

1 - 1 Assessment, Taxation and Corporate

				F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	-	-	-	-	-	-	· -	-
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		250						-	250
General Purpose Reserve (Repay- ment)		(250)						-	(250)
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	250							250
General Purpose Reserve (Repayme	ent)	(250)							(250)
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			66	68					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	(66)	(68)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Capital funding to increase efficiency and/or effectiveness for unspecified projects. Projects are issued on an individual basis. Cash to Capital requirements include repayments to the General Purpose Reserve.

# Project Name: Capital Investment Fund

Department: Project: Corporate 1200000110

Capital Priority Rating: 3 Service: 4

3-2 Assessment, Taxation and Corporate

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	-	2,281	6,400	2,200	2,120	3,450	16,451	16,451
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)			2,281	6,400	2,200	2,120	3,450	16,451	16,451
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	2,281	6,400	2,200	2,120	3,450	50,000	66,451
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	ssets Fund)		2,281	6,400	2,200	2,120	3,450	50,000	66,451
NET OPERATING IMPACT	•	2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase				561					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	(561)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Capital funding to support various projects including those delivered under alternative delivery methods, including the design, build, finance, maintain approach. Transfers to existing approved capital projects including multi-year transfers, are to be authorized by the Standing Policy Committee on Finance.

# Project Name: Transit Security Enhancements

Department:	Transit	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 1	
Project:	423000xxyy	Service:	Public Transit	

				F	FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	500	250	-	-	-	-			250
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		250						-	250
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		250	-	-	-	-			250
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	250							250
NET OPERATING IMPACT	•	2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)		7							
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			35	35					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		7	(35)	(35)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The City of Winnipeg and the Transit Department have long recognized the importance of ensuring the security of their infrastructure and operations.

A three-year program was established to address important security priorities. Winnipeg Transit has accessed this program and has received a consultant's report to assess potential risks to Transit's infrastructure and operations and to develop measures to reduce these risks.

This project anticipates that high security risks identified by the study will require immediate attention.

Estimated useful life - variable depending on the project.

#### Project Name: Fare Collection System

Department: Project:

Transit 423000xxyy Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 4 Service:

Public Transit

					FORECAST	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	5,000	7,870	2,370	-	-	-	-	2,370	10,240
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		5,370	4,870					4,870	10,240
Interim Financing		2,500	(2,500)					(2,500)	-
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		5,240	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	10,240
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	2,740	7,500						10,240
Interim Financing		2,500	(2,500)						-
NET OPERATING IMPACT	•	2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		157	148						
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			249	967					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		157	(101)	(967)					

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The existing fare collection system is many decades old and limits flexibility in the type of fares that can be offered passengers. A review will be conducted to consider the best options suited for the City's public transit system. This capital project will authorize capital investments that may include:

- mechanizing the existing transfer dispensing system and acquiring replacement mechanical fareboxes. Among other benefits, this will provide more consistent application of the Power Hour transfer.
- smart card and proximity card will permit more extensive use of prepaid fares, accommodate more complex fare systems, provide more efficient processing and reporting of fares, and provide a better defense against potential counterfeiting of monthly and weekly passes. As the technology is still developing and the public continues to accept this as a means of paying for goods and services, this part of the project will commence after 2010.

Estimated useful life - Twenty years.

Project Name: Innovative Transit Program

Department: Transit Capital Priority Project: 423000xxyy	Rating: 3 - 2 Service: Public Transit	
---	--	--

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	800	800	800	1,000	1,000	1,000	4,600	5,400
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		800	800	800	1,000	1,000	1,000	4,600	5,400
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		800	800	800	1,000	1,000	1,000	-	5,400
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	800	800	800	1,000	1,000	1,000		5,400
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		24	24	24					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase	,		111	228					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		24	(87)	(204)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Innovative Transit Program provides for various projects which are not normally funded through the operating budget or other areas of the capital budget. Various projects such as transit terminals at shopping centres, passenger shelters, improved waiting amenities at major bus stops, park and ride lots, bus priority measures, handi-transit trip confirmation system, automated transit scheduling system, and the Winnipeg Transit internet site provide an enduring benefit to the department and have been funded under this program.

## Project Name: Transit Building Replacement / Refurbishment

Department: Project: Transit 420000xx07 Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 2 Service: Public Transit

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
-	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	100	5,880	7,293	9,722	10,824	11,850	4,771	44,460	50,340
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)			1,413	3,842	4,944	5,970		16,169	16,169
Federal Gas Tax		5,880	5,880	5,880	5,880	5,880	4,771	28,291	34,171
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		771	12,402	9,722	10,824	11,850	271	4,500	50,340
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Asse	ets Fund)		1,413	3,842	4,944	5,970		-	16,169
Federal Gas Tax		771	10,989	5,880	5,880	5,880	271	4,500	34,171
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					]
Revenue increase/(decrease)		27	343	199					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase				133					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		27	343	66					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Transit's operations are housed out of two primary facilities, the Fort Rouge complex and the North Main garage. These facilities are approximately 40 and 80 years old respectively. The department funds the maintenance of the facilities through the operating budget. However, it is becoming clear that significant funding will be needed shortly to respond to the growing need for large capital investment. The previous budget will fund the first step of this project and will consist of a study of the most cost effective approach to solve the building age and space constraint problems.

It is anticipated that the recommendations from the study will result in this becoming a multi-year capital project. Any future funding authorized would be used to provide for major capital refurbishment and investment that the operating budget cannot support. Beginning in 2012, a significant amount of capital will be required, including the replacement of North Garage.

Estimated useful life - Dependent on the specific projects approved.

Project Name:

### Transit Buses

Department: Project: Transit 421000xxyy Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 4 Service: Public Transit

				F	ORECAST	•		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	13,112	18,000	14,500	16,585	16,900	18,547	84,532	97,644
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		2,296	82	421	5,279	5,594	6,132	17,508	19,804
Transit Bus Replacement Reserve		5,976	9,836	10,239	7,466	7,466	7,466	42,473	48,449
Rapid Transit Infrastructure Reserve			4,242					4,242	4,242
Provincial Building Manitoba Fund		3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840	19,200	23,040
Federal Gas Tax							1,109	1,109	1,109
Public Transit Capital Trust/ Bill C-66 Funding		1,000							1,000

							Beyond	
CASH FLOW	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	13,112	18,000	14,500	16,585	16,900	18,547	97,644
Financed by:								
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund)		2,296	82	421	5,279	5,594	6,132	19,804
Transit Bus Replacement Reserve		5,976	9,836	10,239	7,466	7,466	7,466	48,449
Rapid Transit Infrastructure Reserve			4,242					4,242
Provincial Building Manitoba Fund		3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840	23,040
Federal Gas Tax							1,109	1,109
Public Transit Capital Trust/Bill C-66 Funding		1,000						1,000

NET OPERATING IMPACT	2010	2011	2012
Revenue increase/(decrease)		146	119
Less:			
Operating expenditures increase/(decrease)			
Debt and finance charges increase			217
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	-	146	(98)

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project is for the ongoing purchase of new low-floor urban transit buses and for the replacement of buses that have reached the end of their useful life.

Estimated useful life - 18 years

Note: Any surplus funds will automatically be transferred to the following year's Transit Buses program budget.

### Project Name: Stormwater Retention Basin Rehabilitation

Department: Project: Water and Waste 2075000109

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 2 Service: Land Dra

Land Drainage and Flood Control

				F	FORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	2,822	-	200	-	400	-	400	1,000	1,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital Assets Fund)			200		400		400	1,000	1,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	200	-	400	-	400	-	1,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital As	sets Fund)		200		400		400		1,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<u> </u>				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			7	19					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	(7)	(19)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This is an annual program of carrying out repairs or improvements to shorelines and facilities of existing stormwater retention basins including, but not limited to, rock revetment, gate chambers, fountains, and maintenance equipment launching ramps. Rehabilitation and/ or upgrading of the retention basins will reduce annual maintenance problems and improve safety.

There are currently 72 stormwater retention basins under the City's jurisdiction. At the current level of funding and depending on the level of work required, 2 to 4 basins are rehabilitated every two years. As the basins age, they will require a higher level of maintenance, and thus future funding levels and prioritization of work will have to be reviewed in order to continue this program of asset preservation.

The expected life of this asset is 10 years.

## Project Name: Flood Pumping Station Rehabilitation

Department:	
Project:	

Water and Waste 2076500109

Capital Priority Rating:

ty Rating: 3 - 3 Service: Land Draina

e: Land Drainage and Flood Control

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	7,694	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	6,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital Assets Fund)		1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	6,000
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	-	6,000
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital As	sets Fund)	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000		6,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase		33	128	225					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(33)	(128)	(225)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

In a 2007 report on the adequacy and operation of the 34 regional flood pumping stations it is estimated that \$22.7 million of upgrades will be required. Near-term expenditures (i.e., next 10 years) have been estimated at \$14.5 million, and long term (next 11-50 years) are identified to cost \$8.2 million. These upgrades improve overall station reliability during high river levels and rainfall events. Funding for this project also includes an annual program for carrying out major repairs, upgrades and/or improvements to the flood pumping stations, and associated outfall pipes and gates. Upgrades and/or improvements include changes to: ventilation, electrical, mechanical, structural, and architectural components. All stations will be upgraded for remote monitoring which is critical during flood and rainfall events.

Formerly, under the 1990 "Clean and Beautiful Winnipeg" initiative, several flood pumping stations had been identified for aesthetic improvements.

The expected life of this asset is 50 years.

## Project Name: Land Drainage and Combined Sewers Outfall Gate Structures

Department: Project:

Water and Waste 2078500209

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3 Service: Land

Land Drainage and Flood Control

	_			F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	10,700	1,450	1,450	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	7,450	8,900
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital Assets Fund)		1,450	1,450	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	7,450	8,900
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		1,450	1,450	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500		8,900
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital As	ssets Fund)	1,450	1,450	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500		8,900
NET OPERATING IMPACT	•	2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase		47	185	329					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(47)	(185)	(329)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This is a project to install flap gates and positive sliding gates within concrete gate structures on outfall sewers where these facilities do not currently exist or the existing facilities are inadequate or substandard. Under high river level (flood) conditions, the river water backs up into the land drainage and combined sewer systems. In some low lying areas there is a potential for river water to inundate roadways and adjacent properties. The presence of river water in the sewer also greatly reduces the capacity of the system to carry away rainfall and snow melt runoff. The gate structures will prevent the entry of river water into the land drainage and combined sewer systems. Subsequent to the 1997 flood event, 70 outfall locations were identified as requiring gates. To date 34 locations have been addressed, leaving 36 locations yet to be completed.

The expected life of this asset is 50 years.

### Project Name: Floodplain Management

	2070000409		DRECAST	Five-Year	Г
Department: Project:	Water and Waste 2070000409	Capital Priority Rating: Service:	3 - 3 Land Drainage and Flood	Control	

				UNLOAD	1		Tive-Teal	
Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
800	500	100	200	-	100	-	400	900
	500	100	200		100		400	900
	2010	2014	2012	0040	2014	0045	Beyond	Tatal
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
	500	100	200	-	100	-	-	900
sets Fund)	500	100	200		100			900
	2010	2011	2012		1			
crease)								
	800	Budgets         Adopted           800         500           500         500           2010         500           ssets Fund)         500           2010         500	Budgets         Adopted         2011           800         500         100           500         500         100           2010         2011         2010           2010         2011         100           500         100         100           500         100         100           500         100         100           500         2010         2011	Previous Budgets         2010 Adopted         2011         2012           800         500         100         200           500         100         200         200           500         100         200         200           2010         2011         2012           500         100         200           500         100         200           500         100         200           500         100         200           500         100         200           500         100         200           500         100         200	Previous Budgets         2010 Adopted         2011         2012         2013           800         500         100         200         -           500         100         200         -           500         100         200         -           2010         2011         2012         2013           500         100         200         -           500         100         200         -           500         100         200         -           500         100         200         -           500         100         200         -           500         100         200         -           500         100         200         -           500         100         200         -	Previous Budgets         2010 Adopted         2011         2012         2013         2014           800         500         100         200         -         100           800         500         100         200         -         100           500         100         200         2013         2014           500         100         200         100         100           2010         2011         2012         2013         2014           500         100         200         -         100           sets Fund)         500         100         200         -         100           sets Fund)         500         2011         2012         100         100	Previous Budgets         2010 Adopted         2011         2012         2013         2014         2015           800         500         100         200         -         100         -           800         500         100         200         -         100         -           500         100         200         2013         2014         2015           500         100         200         100         100         -           2010         2011         2012         2013         2014         2015           500         100         200         -         100         -           ssets Fund)         500         100         2012         100         -           2010         2011         2012         100         -         -	Previous Budgets         2010 Adopted         2011         2012         2013         2014         2015         Forecast Total           800         500         100         200         -         100         -         400           600         500         100         200         -         100         -         400           600         500         100         200         -         100         -         400           600         500         100         200         2013         2014         2015         400           600         2010         2011         2012         2013         2014         2015         2015           600         100         200         -         100         -         -           600         100         200         -         100         -         -           600         100         200         100         100         -         -           600         2010         2011         2012         100         -         -

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project will investigate and implement programs, policies and legislation to:

- 1) Protect and ensure permanency of current and future secondary dike installation;
- 2) Prevent construction of new structures interfering with secondary dikes and within unprotected areas subjected to frequent high river levels;

51

(51)

64

(64)

16

(16)

3) Purchase and remove existing structures from the floodplain; and

Debt and finance charges increase

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

4) Investigate a process and develop guidelines to control or prevent improvements/re-developments at unprotected private properties with potential acquisition of such high risk properties starting in 2007.

In April 2007, Council approved a program to raise the level of flood protection for low-lying properties below 20 ft James Avenue where it could be demonstrated the cost of providing sandbags exceeded the cost of a permanent flood works. In this program, the level of flood protection would be raised to 22.5 ft James Avenue and thereby avoid the need to sandbag for most floods. If all eligible properties participate, the cost of this program could be \$880,000 and the City could avoid the sandbagging cost of approximately \$2,000,000.

The implementation of such programs could take place over a minimum of 5 to 10 years at a cost of \$10 million.

### Project Name: Seine River Waterway Acquisition

Department: Project:

Water and Waste 2080000108

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3 Service: Land

Land Drainage and Flood Control

				F	ORECAST	•		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	899	150	150	150	150	150	150	750	900
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital Assets Fund)		150	150	150	150	150	150	750	900
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		150	150	150	150	150	150		900
Financed by:		150	150	150	150	150	150	-	900
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital As	sets Fund)	150	150	150	150	150	150		900
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012				I	
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			19	34					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	(19)	(34)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The purchase of Seine River Waterway lands is a Council adopted policy to provide long term economical regional drainage and to manage the floodplain. The floodplain lands were originally delineated in 1980 and are required to accommodate flow along the Seine River from a 100-year summer storm. They are typically purchased at the time of subdivision, made possible from funds collected through the Seine River Waterway Charge applied to all subdivision and rezoning applications within the Seine River watershed within City limits. Development of lands adjacent to the Seine River will occur faster than the development of lands further from the Seine River. Therefore, the funding required to purchase floodplain lands will exceed the funding collected from the Waterway Charge.

## Project Name: **Primary Dike Upgrading**

Department: Project:	Water and V 2070001407			Capital Pric	ority Rating: Service:	3 - 3 Land D	rainage and	d Flood Cor	ntrol	
					F	ORECAS			Five-Year	-
AUTHORIZA	TION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	
Project Costs (\$000's)		1,165	300	-	490	500	948	900	2,838	1
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equ Assets Fund)	ity In Capital		300		490	500	948	900	2,838	

CASH FLOW	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	300	-	490	500	948	900	-	3,138
Financed by: Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital Assets Fund)	300		490	500	948	900		3,138

NET OPERATING IMPACT	2010	2011	2012
Revenue increase/(decrease)			
Less:			
Operating expenditures increase/(decrease)			
Debt and finance charges increase		29	46
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	-	(29)	(46)

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The 1997 flood identified that in the south-end of the City the primary dikes were too low due to higher flows on the upstream portion of the Red River, and in the north-end of the City the dikes were too low due to backwater effects from the Floodway. In 1997 these deficiencies were addressed by constructing temporary clay dikes. The current level of proposed funding will begin to address these areas.

To provide 1 in 700 year flood protection, the level proposed with the Floodway Expansion will require a further raise of the City's primary dike system to deal with additional backwater effects from the expanded Floodway. The estimated cost to undertake this additional work is in the range of \$400 million (2009 dollars) and represents the cost for raises to the primary dikes and associated bank stability improvements. The recently released Clean Environment Commission (CEC) report on the Floodway Expansion made a "non-licensing" recommendation that the City complete permanent raising of their primary dikes by 2015 and that all levels of government work cooperatively on the financing of these improvements.

Until permanent works are completed, the City will rely on temporary raises to its primary dikes on an as-required basis.

Six-Year Total 3.138

3,138

# Project Name: Land Drainage Safety Upgrading Program

Department: Project:

Water and Waste 2070001309

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3 Service: Land Dra

Land Drainage and Flood Control

				F	FORECAST	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	440	200	-	100	-	100	-	200	400
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital									
Assets Fund)		200		100		100		200	400
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		200	-	100	-	100	-	-	400
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital As	sets Fund)	200		100		100			400
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			19	23					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	(19)	(23)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The purpose of this program is to reconstruct or upgrade miscellaneous components of the existing land drainage system to conform with the City of Winnipeg Drainage Safety Guidelines. Specific projects have been identified and prioritized as part of the Open Channel Drainage Inventory and Safety Review Study.

The expected life of the assets is 75 years.

# Project Name: Land Drainage Asset Management Strategy

Department:	
Project:	

Water and Waste 2070001607

Capital Priority Rating: Service:

ing: 3 - 2 ice: Land Drainage and Flood Control

				F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	150	-	200	-	-	-	-	- 200	200
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital Assets Fund)			200					200	200
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	200	-	-	-	-		200
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital As	sets Fund)		200						200
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase				19					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	(19)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Asset management principles are being utilized for various sections in the Water and Waste Department. An asset management strategy is required to define goals, objectives, and strategies for the development and maintenance of a consistent and effective asset management program involving all functions of the land drainage service. The asset management strategy will identify, prioritize, and establish the future direction of condition assessments, establish deterioration/life cycles for components, and recommend rehabilitation, maintenance, and associated financial requirements.

# Project Name: Land Drainage Utility Study and Implementation

Department: Project:

Water and Waste 2070000109

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3 Service: Land

Land Drainage and Flood Control

				F		Five-Year			
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	500	-	-	-	-	500	500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital									
Assets Fund)			500					500	500
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	500	-	-	-	-	-	500
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital As	sets Fund)		500						500
NET OPERATING IMPACT	1	2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			16	48					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	(16)	(48)	1				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The funding is required to study the feasibility of implementing a new Land Drainage Utility. The capital budget established in 2006 has been canceled and re-budgeted for 2011.

# Project Name: Permanent Flood Pumping Stations

Department:	V
Project:	2

Water and Waste 2070yyyyxx Capital Priority Rating: Service:

g: 3 - 3 e: Land Drainage and Flood Control

				F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	200	-	250	-	-	-	250	450
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital								0.50	(=0
Assets Fund)		200		250				250	450
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		200	-	250	-	-	-	· -	450
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital As	sets Fund)	200		250					450
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	Ĭ				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase		7	19	28					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(7)	(19)	(28)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

During high water events, the City places temporary pumps to dewater the sewer system during rainfall/snowmelt events. These temporary pumps require continuous monitoring during a high water event.

This program will involve replacing some of the temporary pump locations that need to be placed every year with permanent submersible pumps. Costs include modifications to site, pumps and cost of providing appropriate power at site.

The expected life of the assets is 50 years.

# Project Name: Combined Sewer Flood Relief

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste 2071000109

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 4 Service: Land

Land Drainage and Flood Control

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A*	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200	36,000	43,200
Financed by:									
Frontage Levy		800	-	-	-	-	-	-	800
Sewer System Rehabilitation									
Reserve		6,400	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200	36,000	42,400
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200	-	43,200
Financed by:									
Frontage Levy		800							800
Sewer System Rehabilitation Reserve	e	6,400	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200		42,400
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This program, which was approved by Council on October 21, 1987, provides for basement flood relief to combined sewered areas. Funds will be used for the planning, design, and construction of sewer relief works. Also, a portion of the funds will be used for sewer flow and meteorological monitoring in advance of design as well as public communication and education on basement flood protection.

There are 42 combined sewer districts in Winnipeg. Currently, 28 combined sewer districts have been relieved. At present, 2 combined sewer districts are being relieved, another 2 are being considered for partial separation and an additional district will be studied for future relief. Planning for the relief work is also coordinated with the upcoming Combined Sewer Overflow program and the Sewer Rehabilitation program. The cost for the remainder of the program is \$246M and at current funding rates will require over 20 years to complete. The benefit to cost ratio of the outstanding work is 3.8 to 1; meaning for every dollar spent on sewer relief works, \$3.8 dollars are saved in reduced flood damages.

The expected life of this asset is over 50 years.

### Project Name: Flood Relief Sewers - Separate

Department:	
Project:	

Water and Waste 2072000109

Capital Priority Rating: Service:

g: 3 - 4 e: Land Drainage and Flood Control

				F		Five-Year			
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A*	300	300	300	300	300	300	1,500	1,800
Financed by:									
Frontage Levy		300						-	300
Sewer System Rehabilitation				000	000	000		4 500	4 500
Reserve			300	300	300	300	300	1,500	1,500
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		300	300	300	300	300	300	-	1,800
Financed by:									
Frontage Levy		300							300
Sewer System Rehabilitation Reserve			300	300	300	300	300		1,500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2014	2012					
		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Basement flooding has become an issue in some separate sewered areas as in combined sewered areas. A parallel relief sewer program in separate areas is necessary. Prior to any works proceeding, a planning study will be undertaken to identify City-wide priorities, as well as allow for sewer flow and meteorological monitoring in advance of a conceptual and detailed design.

The expected life of this asset is over 50 years.

### Project Name: **Development Agreement Paybacks**

Department: Project: Water and Waste 2077000109

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 2 Service: Land

3 - 2 Land Drainage and Flood Control

				F		Five-Year			
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A*	-	500	500	500	-	500	2,000	2,000
Financed by:									
Developer Capacity Charges			500	500	500		500	2,000	2,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	500	500	500	-	500	-	2,000
Financed by:									
Developer Capacity Charges			500	500	500		500		2,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(deci	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The provision for development agreement payback is an allowance for the City to repay developers who bridge finance the installation of oversized land drainage services at a time when City capital funding is not provided. The policy guideline for bridge financing was defined in the previous version of the Development Agreement Parameters and was again incorporated in the most recent version of the Parameters which was adopted by Council on June 21, 1989.

Funds budgeted will provide the following paybacks:

2011 DASZ 30/05 - Bridgewood Estates Inc./Perfanick	500,000
2012 DASZ 3/93 - Genstar/Amber Trails	500,000
2013 DASZ 1/06 - MHRC/Waverley West	500,000
2015 DASZ 14/94 - Genstar/Prairie Crossing	500,000


The expected life of this asset is over 50 years.

### Project Name: Fernbank Avenue Land Drainage Sewer

Department: Water and V Project: 2082xxxxyy		(	Capital Pric	ority Rating: Service:	3 - 3 Land Dr	3 - 3 Land Drainage and Flood Control				
				I	FORECAST			Five-Year	Six-Year Total	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total		
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	875	-		- 875	875	
Financed by:										
Developer Capacity Charges					875			875	875	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total	
Project Costs (\$000's)		- 2010			875	- 2014	2013		875	
Financed by:										
Developer Capacity Charges					875				875	
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<u>   </u> ]					
Revenue increase/(decrease)					-					
Less:										
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)									
Debt and finance charges increase										
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-						

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project includes construction of a 1,350 mm diameter land drainage sewer on Fernbank Avenue from approximately 65 metres west of Main Street to Rivergrove Drive. The sewer will provide a permanent outlet for the Riverbend Subdivision retention basin and an outlet for the undeveloped lands west of the CPR Winnipeg Beach Subdivision rail line. These lands include the Public Works Department's snow dump site north of Fernbank Avenue. The expected life of this asset is 75 years.



## Project Name: Outfall Rehabilitation

Department: Project: Water and Waste 2078000106

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 4 Service: Land

Land Drainage and Flood Control

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	8,698	600	1,550	1,750	2,000	1,200	1,200	7,700	8,300
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital Assets Fund)		600	1,550	1,750	2,000	1,200	1,200	7,700	8,300
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		600	1,550	1,750	2,000	1,200	1,200	-	8,300
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity In Capital As	sets Fund)	600	1,550	1,750	2,000	1,200	1,200		8,300
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase		20	107	266					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		(20)	(107)	(266)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Funding is for rehabilitation of sewer outfalls, repair to piping, and correction of riverbank instability. A condition assessment was completed in 1998 to provide a prioritization of repairs for outfalls throughout the City. Outfall repairs will focus on the outfalls that require most immediate attention, some of which experienced damage from the high river elevations and ice flows experienced during the 1996 and 1997 floods. Originally 55 high-priority sites were identified requiring attention. Since then, 5 more sites have been added for a total of 60 sites. To date 29 locations have been refurbished, with 31 sites remaining.

The expected life of this asset is 50 years.


### Project Name: Saskatchewan Avenue Feedermain

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 3
Project:	Waterworks System 2004xxxxyy	Service:	Water

						Five-Year			
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	3,400	-		- 3,400	3,400
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings					3,400			3,400	3,400
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	3,400	-			3,400
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings					3,400				3,400
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Urban development of the lands within the City and west of the Perimeter Highway (PTH 101) will require an extension of the water distribution system to provide a looped supply. The funds included are to provide for a watermain between Cavalier Drive and a point 500 metres west of PTH 101 on Saskatchewan Avenue. It is expected that watermains required within the development lands to complete the loop will be oversized by developers. The expected life of this asset is over 50 years.



Project Name:

### Water Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) Upgrade

Department: Project: Water and Waste -Waterworks System 20050002yy Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 4

Service: Water

				F		Five-Year			
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	1,800	2,900	-	-		- 4,700	4,700
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			1,800	2,900				4,700	4,700
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	1,800	2,900	-	-			4,700
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			1,800	2,900					4,700
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system controls and monitors the operation of the Drinking Water Treatment Plant and the Regional Water Supply and Distribution System. The automated control system is comprised of specialized computer hardware and software, remote communications, instrumentation, and Programmable Logic Controls (PLC). A SCADA system assessment and upgrading are required to ensure timely replacement of dated hardware and software. The SCADA system is essential for the supply, water treatment process control and distribution of drinking water.

The PLCs currently in use at the three regional pumping stations (McPhillips, MacLean, and Hurst), Tache and Deacon Booster Pumping Stations, and Shoal Lake Intake were installed in 1992 and will no longer be serviced or supported by the manufacturer as of December 31, 2011. Upgrading the water supply system's PLCs at this time will allow for the review of the control software to optimize the operation of the system.

The expected life of this asset is 10 years but will depend on the technical advancement in the process automation field.

Project Name:

### Shoal Lake Aqueduct Intake Facility Assessment and Rehabilitation

Department:	Water and Waste -
Project:	Waterworks System 2003xxxxyy

Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 4

Service: Water

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	1,000	1,700	-	-	-	-			1,700
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		1,700						-	1,700
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		700	1,000	-	-	-			1,700
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		700	1,000						1,700
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Shoal Lake Aqueduct, which has been in continuous service since 1919, is the sole water supply infrastructure for the City. The Shoal Lake Intake Structure is the transition headworks to receive water from Shoal Lake and to control the water flow into the Aqueduct. The control of water flow is critical to the safe operation of the Aqueduct, as the Aqueduct was designed to operate as a non-surcharged pipe. The intake structure has a number of mechanical gate and screening components and related features that date back to the original construction. Given the necessity and critical nature of the intake structure and its associated Venturi structure to the safe operation of the Aqueduct and the City's water supply, a condition assessment is required to determine future rehabilitation and replacement requirements. The assessment will also consider alterations and/or additions to the intake structure to minimize its impact on the spring fish spawning.

The Shoal Lake Aqueduct Intake Condition Assessment project and the Shoal Lake Intake Maintenance/Communication Facility Rehabilitation project have been combined into one project called Shoal Lake Aqueduct Intake Facility Assessment and Rehabilitation. It is expected that the construction/rehabilitation work at the intake Facility will be combined with work required at the Shoal Lake Intake Maintenance/Communication Facility. The existing Shoal Lake Intake Maintenance/Communication Facility is used to store Intake equipment, track units and complete required maintenance on some of the Intake equipment. The facility also contains critical communications equipment for the Intake facility and Aqueduct. The facility requires upgrades and repairs in order to maintain its life and prevent damage to equipment. The funding for the Shoal Lake Intake Maintenance/Communication Facility Rehabilitation has been removed from its stand alone project and added to this combined project.

The expected life of this rehabilitated asset is 50 years.

### Project Name: Shoal Lake Intake Chloramination Facility

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 4
Project:	Waterworks System 20020005yy	Service:	Water

		FORECAST					Five-Year		
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	300	-	-	2,000	-	-	-	2,000	2,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings				2,000				2,000	2,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	2,000	-	-	-	-	2,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings300				2,000					2,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The first phase of the project will be a study to review alternate forms of treatment and/or disinfection for the Shoal Lake Aqueduct and distribution system to meet the operational, public health, and safety goals. Presently, chlorine is used at the intake for disinfection, slime control in the aqueduct and zebra mussel control. This results in high levels of disinfection by-products being formed. The water treatment plant must be constructed and operational prior to replacing the strong disinfection advantages of chlorine. The Water Treatment Project Risk Management process identified viable alternatives to gas chlorine to reduce risk. Given the consequences associated with security, transportation, and containment of gas chlorine, an alternative form of chlorination will be investigated.

The expected life of this asset is 25 years.

### Project Name: General Water Infrastructure Rehabilitation

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 4
Project:	Waterworks System 2001xxxxyy	Service:	Water

				F		Five-Year			
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	300	300	300	500	500	1,900	1,900
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			300	300	300	500	500	1,900	1,900
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	300	300	300	500	500	-	1,900
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			300	300	300	500	500		1,900
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decre	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Many components in the water supply and distribution systems have been in service for many years and are reaching the end of their functional lives. These components are critical elements of the infrastructure and their failure would result in disruption of service and costly emergency repairs. This project will address the need to rehabilitate the water supply and distribution systems, in order to maintain the water system reliability and conformance with current building codes and health regulations. This will be an on-going project with additional funding being included in future years.

The scope of work will be varied and will be prioritized based on the urgency and cost effectiveness identified through condition assessment studies, available rehabilitation techniques, and research into innovative rehabilitation measures. Infrastructure to be assessed and rehabilitated under this project includes, but is not limited to, reservoirs, pumping stations, feedermains, valves, electrical and mechanical systems, control systems, chemical systems, related building structures, and security.

Life expectancy will vary with each asset, however, a range of 10 to 50 years is expected.

### Project Name: Waverley West Feedermain

Department:

Water and Waste -Waterworks System

Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 3

Project:


20040001yy

Service: Water

	Draviana			F	ORECAS	г	Five-Year		
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	3,400	-	-	7,000	-	-		- 7,000	7,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings				7,000				7,000	7,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	7,000	-	-			7,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings				7,000					7,000
NET OPERATING IMPAC	r	2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(d	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Urban development of the lands within the City west of Waverley Street, north and south of Cadboro Road will require an extension of the existing feedermain system to provide water service and fire protection to developments in this area. The funds included are for Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the feedermain extension. Phase 1 is from the existing feedermain at Waverley Street and Bison Drive and extends into the Waverley West lands. Phase 2 will continue the Phase 1 extension west into the Waverley West lands and south down the proposed Kenaston Blvd extension. The expected life of the asset is over 50 years.



# Project Name: Feedermain Condition Assessment and Rehabilitation

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 3
Project:	Waterworks System 2004xxxxyy	Service:	Water

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year Forecast Total	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015		Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	500	-	-	500	500	1,000	1,000	3,000	3,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings				500	500	1,000	1,000	3,000	3,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	500	500	1,000	1,000	-	3,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings				500	500	1,000	1,000		3,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decre	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase	·								
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The feedermains are specialized pipes which are unique in size, materials, construction techniques, and failure. The majority of feedermains were installed in the 1960's and require inspection, testing, and maintenance to preserve the asset. Future funds will be identified as part of the first phase of this project.

The expected life of this asset is 50 years.

# Project Name: Water Utility Asset Management Strategy

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 2
Project:	Waterworks System 20010006yy	Service:	Water

					FORECAST	Г		Five-Year	
		2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	600	-	200	-	-	-	-	200	200
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			200					200	200
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	200	-	-	-	-	-	200
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			200						200
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Asset management principles are being utilized for various projects in the water utility. However, development of an asset management strategy is required to define goals, objectives, and strategies for the development and maintenance of a consistent and effective asset management program involving all functions of the water utility. The asset management strategy will identify, prioritize, and establish the future direction of condition assessments, establish deterioration/life cycles for components, and recommend rehabilitation, maintenance, and associated financial requirements.

### Project Name: Water Supply Valve Installation / Replacement Program

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 4
Project:	Waterworks System 20040006yy	Service:	Water

			FORECAST					Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	4,188	-	750	750	750	750	750	3,750	3,750
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			750	750	750	750	750	3,750	3,750
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	750	750	750	750	750	-	3,750
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			750	750	750	750	750		3,750
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<b>[</b>				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decre	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The water supply valves are critical elements used to control the distribution of water from the source through the feedermain pipes. The scope of the work will vary with site-specific conditions. This program will include installation of valves at new locations to improve service reliability and reduce environmental risk. The program will also include replacement of valves, operators, Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) control equipment, related pipe connections, valve chambers, structural assessments, and restoration works. This is an ongoing program with additional funding being included in future years.

Life expectancy will vary between 20 and 50 years.

## Project Name: Hurst Pumping Station Building - Structural Repairs

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Waterworks System

20050007yy

Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 4

Service: Water

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	200	900	-	-	-		- 900	1,100
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		200	900					900	1,100
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		200	900	-	-	-			1,100
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		200	900						1,100
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	1				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	•				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

There are structural defects noted on the precast concrete panels at the Hurst Pumping Station. Noted defects include deterioration of the copings (exposing reinforcing steel), cracked panels, deterioration of ribs on the panels, and the deterioration of the caulking joints in several locations. These conditions may lead to water penetration into the building envelope. A structural review of these conditions is required to determine rehabilitation or replacement costs. The capital budget established in 2006 has been canceled and re-budgeted for 2010.

The expected life of the asset is 50 years.

# Project Name: Chlorination Decommission at Regional Pump Stations

Project:	2005xxxxyy	Service:	Water
	Waterworks System		
Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 4

				F	ORECAS	r		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	115	-	-		- 115	115
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings				115				115	115
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	115	-	-			115
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings				115					115
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The existing chlorine disinfection facilities within the three Regional Pumping facilities may be decommissioned once the new drinking water treatment plant has been successfully commissioned. The proposed works at McPhillips, Hurst, and MacLean pump stations may include the removal of gas chlorine and the decommissioning of the related chlorination systems.

### Safety Upgrades - Isolation Valves at Valve and Meter Pits

Department:

Project:

Project Name:

Water and Waste -Waterworks System 20010014yy Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 3

Service: Water

			FORECAST					Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	600	300	-	-	-	-			300
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		300						-	300
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		300	-	-	-	-			300
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		300							300
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<u>                                     </u>				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

There are numerous underground valve and meter pits that must be accessed under confined entry procedures, to maintain the valves and meters. Recent changes to these safe work practices require that water pressure be turned off outside those pits. In order to reduce water service disruptions to customers included in the shutdown areas, additional valves and bypasses are required outside the pits.

The expected life of these assets is 50 years.

# Project Name: Deacon Chemical Feed Facility Upgrading

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 4
Project:	Waterworks System 2002xxxxyy	Service:	Water

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	400	1,000	-	-	-		- 1,000	1,400
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		400	1,000					1,000	1,400
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		400	1,000	-	-	-			1,400
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		400	1,000						1,400
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	l ]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase	·								
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	-				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Deacon Chemical Feed Building contains chemical storage and injection equipment to treat the City's drinking water with fluoride and orthophosphate. Prior to upgrading the orthophosphate treatment equipment, an engineering study will be performed to review the need for orthophosphate and alternate chemicals to orthophosphate taking into the enhanced water quality from the new drinking water treatment plant. Given the corrosive nature of such chemicals, the chemical pumps, piping, and related monitoring and control equipment will require upgrading and replacement by 2011.

The expected life of this asset is 20 years.

## Project Name: Regional Pumping Stations Structural Upgrades

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Waterworks System 2005xxxxyy Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 4

Service: Water

					FORECAST	Г		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	500	-	-	-		- 500	500
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			500					500	500
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	500	-	-	-			500
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			500						500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(deci	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The McPhillips, Hurst, and MacLean pumping stations provide the final pumping to deliver water to the Water Utility customers. The pump stations were constructed in the early 1960's. It is anticipated that the building structures will require upgrading to ensure the uninterrupted operation of these pumping facilities. A preliminary assessment will be conducted in 2011 with future funding requirements to be identified in the report.

The expected life of these assets is 50 years.

# Project Name: Wilkes Reservoir "B" Pit Upgrades/Rehabilitation

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 4
Project:	Waterworks System 2011xxxxyy	Service:	Water

					Five-Year				
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	600	-	-	-		- 600	600
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			600					600	600
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	600	-	-	-			600
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			600						600
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)					-				
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Wilkes Reservoir "B" Pit, constructed in the early 1960's, is one of two critical inlet control structures for controlling the flow of water into the Wilkes Reservoir. The "B" pit improvement works will address the employee safety requirements, egress requirements, valve replacement, structural/foundation repairs, electrical upgrades, and improve the site/groundwater drainage.

The project name has changed from Safety Upgrades - Wilkes Reservoir "B" Pit to Wilkes Reservoir "B" Pit Upgrades/Rehabilitation to align with the scope of work required.

The expected life of this asset is 50 years.

### Project Name: Asbestos Containing Materials Operations & Maintenance Program

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Waterworks System 20010009yy Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 3

Service: Water

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year Forecast Total	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015		Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	222	-	125	125	125	-	125	500	500
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			125	125	125		125	500	500
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	125	125	125	-	125	-	500
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			125	125	125		125		500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

In accordance with the City of Winnipeg Asbestos Code of Practice, the Water and Waste Department is initiating its Asbestos Containing Materials Operating and Maintenance Program. Funding is required for professional services including inspections, database development, labeling, testing, Asbestos Containing Material (ACM) remediation contract services, training, and response plan development in the Water Services Division and Wastewater Services Division. A separate budget is set up for the ACM Operations and Maintenance Program in the Sewage Disposal System Fund.

# Project Name: Branch I Aqueduct at Seine River - Riverbank Stabilization

		E/	
Project:	2003xxxxyy	Service:	Water
Department:	Water and Waste - Waterworks System	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 4

						Five-Year			
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	400	1,000	-	-	-	-			1,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		1,000						-	1,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		1,000	-	-	-	-			1,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		1,000							1,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

A comprehensive condition assessment of the Branch I Aqueduct reach of the Shoal Lake Aqueduct (Deacon Reservoir to the McPhillips Pumping Station and Reservoir) was undertaken for its entire length from 1994 to 1996. A program to assess the stability of the riverbanks adjacent to the Branch I Aqueduct where it crosses the Seine River commenced in early 1997. Downslope movement of the east riverbank has been recorded since that time and internal structural inspections of the Aqueduct has not revealed any distress of the pipe as yet. The recent trend of accelerated riverbank movement continues and the Aqueduct will inevitably be impacted along the east riverbank. Funding is for engineering and construction of riverbank stabilization works to be undertaken before the Aqueduct is impacted.

The expected life of this asset is 50 years.

# Project Name: Regional Pumping Stations Reliability Upgrades

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Waterworks System 2005xxxxyy Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 4

Service: Water

				F		Five-Year	<b>a</b>		
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000		- 4,000	4,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000		4,000	4,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000			4,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000			4,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The electrical power supply is the primary source of energy to drive the pumps in the drinking water pump stations. Electrical power disruptions have caused the electric pumps to shut down, for protection purposes, which results in reduced water service. A Water Pumping Station Power Reliability Study is currently ongoing and will review and prioritize electrical and control improvements to reduce the effects of electrical power disruptions. A final assessment report was completed and identified a number of required improvements which will be completed in phases according to priority.

The expected life of this asset is 20 years.

# Project Name: Waterworks System Security Upgrades

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 2
Project:	Waterworks System 2001xxxxyy	Service:	Water

				F	ORECAST	•		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	1,200	500	500	500	500	500	500	2,500	3,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		500	500	500	500	500	500	2,500	3,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		500	500	500	500	500	500	-	3,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		500	500	500	500	500	500		3,000
			0044						
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The waterworks system is made up of complex infrastructure that delivers water from Shoal Lake to Winnipeg homes and businesses. Operating the waterworks system is subject to legislation including the Drinking Water Safety Act, Public Health Act, Operator Certification Regulations, Workplace Safety and Health Act, and Environment Act. Safeguarding our infrastructure from accidental or intentional damage or destruction is critical to drinking water quality and public health. Funding is required for increased security measures to adequately protect the waterworks system.

# Project Name: Regulatory Assessment of Water System Infrastructure and Water Supply Sources

Department:Water and Waste -<br/>Waterworks SystemCapital Priority Rating:5 - 2Project:2001xxxxyyService:Water

						Five-Year			
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	750	-	-	-	-	910	-	910	910
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings						910		910	910
	1							Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	910	-	-	910
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings						910			910
NET OPERATING IMPAC	r	2010	2011	2012	1				
Revenue increase/(decrease)	1	2010	2011	2012	-				
Less:									

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

A drinking water system Infrastructure Assessment study is required under the Provincial Drinking Water Safety Act. The objective of the report is to carry out an onsite evaluation to identify, analyze, and mitigate any potential adverse health risks and environmental impacts associated with the water system in a "source to tap" methodology. This work will also determine whether the water system's source, facilities, equipment, and operations are effective in producing safe drinking water, and meet the regulations in force.

The scope will also review projected water demands, treatment, and pumping capacities and any anticipated changes to water quality standards. The first assessment report must be completed by an independent professional engineer and submitted in 2010.

Infrastructure assessment reports are required on a 5 year reporting frequency.

Operating expenditures increase/(decrease)

Debt and finance charges increase

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

### Project Name: Customer Information and Services System (CISS) Project - Phase 2

Department:	
Project:	

Water and Waste -Waterworks System 20100002yy

Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 2

Service: Water

		2010			FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	Six-Year Total
	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	
Project Costs (\$000's)	512	1,025	-	-	-	-			1,025
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		1,025						-	1,025
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		1,025	-	-	-	-			1,025
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		1,025							1,025
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(deci	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Phase two of the Customer Information and Services System (CISS) project will include implementing enhanced functionality of the Oracle Customer Care and Billing (CCB) system. Some components of this phase will include web self-service, CCB cashiering, meter management and inventory control, enhanced reporting, and work order management interface.

The first phase of the CISS project included \$10,000,000 (split between the Waterworks System Fund and the Sewage Disposal System Fund) for replacing the legacy mainframe based system with a new web based Customer Care and Billing (CCB) system. In order to mitigate risk and ensure a successful project, only the base Oracle functionality was implemented in Phase one.

The funding for this project is split 50/50 between the Waterworks System Fund and the Sewage Disposal System Fund.

# Project Name: Cost of Service Rates Study

Department:

Water and Waste -Waterworks System Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 2

Project:

20010020yy

Service: Water

						Five-Year			
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	250	150	-	-	-	-			150
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		150						-	150
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		150	-	-	-	-			150
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		150							150
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	1				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	-				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Council has mandated that the Water & Waste Department develop a cost of service rate plan. Cost of Service Analysis is a methodology for rate setting that is generally accepted in the industry and recommended by industry associations such as the American Waterworks Association (AWWA), Water Environment Federation and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. It is also accepted by Public Utility Boards and Commissions. Implementation of a cost of service rates philosophy will align rates with the actual cost of delivering the service, by class of customer. The objective being that each group pays its "proportionate share" of the costs. This will require a detailed review and possible restructuring of how Water and Sewer rates are applied by customer class.

The funding for the project will be split 50/50 between the Waterworks System Fund and the Sewage Disposal System Fund.

# Project Name: Safety Upgrades - Regional Reservoir Access

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 2
Project:	Waterworks System 20010014yy	Service:	Water

						Five-Year			
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	700	-	-	-	-			700
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		700						-	700
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		350	350	-	-	-			700
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		350	350						700
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project is directly related to the safe entry into the McPhillips, Wilkes and MacLean reservoirs. Structural modifications are required for the installation of access/ladders to comply with current safety regulations. The capital budget established in 2006 has been canceled and re-budgeted for 2010.

The expected life of the asset is 50 years.

### Project Name: Synergen/GIS Interface

Department:

Water and Waste -Waterworks System

2010xxxxyy

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 2

Water

Project:

Service:

					FORECAS	г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	150	-	-	-	-			150
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		150						-	150
		2010	2014	2042	0040	2014	2045	Beyond	Tatal
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		150	-	-	-	-			150
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		150							150
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)					-				
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Synergen/GIS (Geographic Information System) Interface links the Water and Waste Department "in ground" assets data (Water and Sewer pipes, valves, gates, etc.) to the department's Computerized Work Management system. This will provide the automatic recording of work and costs against all in ground assets; provides up to date information on the operational status of all assets (valve closures); automatically records repair status of assets so that future condition assessment and asset renewal decisions can be made with greater accuracy.

The benefits include improved customer service and reduced operating risk because of the real time information on water valve and sewer gate status; increased staff efficiency in recording work against assets, improved decision making in knowing asset status and condition which will provide for lower costs in asset management and renewal.

The Capital Budgets previously established for the Computerized Work Management System (CMWS) have been closed and CWMS work will continue as Synergen/GIS Interface for 2010.

## Project Name: 552 Plinguet - Water Services Building Roof Rehabilitation

Water and Waste -
Waterworks System 20010010yy

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3

Service: Water

		2010			FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	300	-	-	-	-			300
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		300						-	300
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		300	-	-	-	-	-		300
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		300							300
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Water Services building at 552 Plinguet requires re-roofing of the Water Section garage because the existing roofing has exceeded its functional life and is in need of frequent repair and servicing. Computer and training related equipment are housed in the Water Section Garage as it is used also as a training facility. The capital budget previously established in 2006 for Water Services Building Upgrades / Repairs at 552 Plinguet has been cancelled and re-budgeted as 552 Plinguet - Water Services Building Roof Rehabilitation for 2010.

The expected life of this asset is 20 years.

## Project Name: Aqueduct Bridging Structure at Deacon

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 2
Project:	Waterworks System 2003xxxxyy	Service:	Water

		2010		I	FORECAST	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011 **	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	100	600	-	-	-	-	- 600	700
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		100	600					600	700
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		100	600	-	-	-	-		700
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		100	600						700
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Greater Winnipeg Water District (GWWD) rail line crosses the Shoal Lake Aqueduct at the Deacon Reservoir site. A buried timber bridging structure was constructed, as part of the original construction work, to protect the aqueduct from the rail traffic loading. An engineering assessment of the bridging structure and aqueduct have been performed and recommended that the bridging structure be replaced as the current structure has failed and no longer offers the required protection to the aqueduct.

The expected life of this asset is 50 years.

## Project Name: Civil Maintenance Shop and Storage Building at Deacon

			FC	RECAST
Project:	2002xxxxyy		Service:	Water
Department:	Water and Waste - Waterworks System	(	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 2

					- Tour	<b>.</b>			
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	500	-	-	-	-			500
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		500						-	500
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		500	-	-	-	-			500
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		500							500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

New water and wastewater facilities recently constructed, under construction and/or to be constructed require maintenance. This results in increased requirements for maintenance shop and storage. In order to support the increased maintenance requirements at the new water treatment plant, a maintenance facility is proposed. Existing staff and equipment that currently support other water supply facilities will also move to this location to take advantage of shared resources. This will also create additional capacity at the current location, which will be used by additional Civil maintenance needs required for new wastewater facilities.

The expected life of this asset is 50 years.

ſ

Five-Year

## Project Name: Deacon Reservoir Box Culvert Rehabilitation and Embankment Repairs

Department:Water and Waste -<br/>Waterworks SystemCapital Priority Rating:<br/>Service:3 - 2Project:2011xxxxyyService:Water

		2010			FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	Adopted	2011 **	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	800	1,200	-	-	-	-	1,200	2,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		800	1,200					1,200	2,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		800	1,200	-	-	-	-		2,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		800	1,200						2,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	1				
Revenue increase/(decrease)					1				
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decre	ease)								

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Deacon Reservoir is made of four large uncovered cells constructed from clay material. The reservoir has the capacity to supply the City of Winnipeg with water for 28 days when the aqueduct is taken out of service for inspection and repairs. The clay embankments rise approximately 5 meters above the natural elevation. A number of localized failures have developed on the embankments from moisture accumulation, freezing and thawing. Repairs will include the excavation, recompaction of the clay and regrading of the embankment crown with suitable material.

There are two box culvert pipes that cross under PTH 207 which convey water from Cell 2 into Cell 1. The box culverts' joints have a history of leaking and a number of joint repair methods have been undertaken with minimal success. The joint leakage is a safety issue as the water will flood the ditches and roadway during the winter conditions. Both box culvert pipes will be repaired by installing a structural liner.

The expected life of this asset is 50 years.

Debt and finance charges increase

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

#### Project Name: **Deacon Booster Pumping Station Suction Header Divider Valve**

		E/	
i rojeci.	2011/////	Schwee.	vator
Project:	Waterworks System 2011xxxxyy	Service:	Water
Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 2

				ļ		Five-Year			
-	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	100	1,200	-	-	-		- 1,200	1,300
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		100	1,200					1,200	1,300
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		100	1,200	-	-	-			1,300
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		100	1,200						1,300
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Deacon Booster Pumping Station suction header pipe has been identified as a single point of potential failure for the supply of treated water to the City. The work will include the installation of a valve in the mid point on the suction header to effectively divide the suction header. This will permit a continuous supply of water to the City in the event a leak occurs on this suction header.

The expected life of this asset is 50 years.

# Project Name: GIS Database Upgrades and Continuous Development

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Waterworks System 2010xxxxyy Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 2

Service: Water

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
-	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	100	-	-	-	-			100
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		100						-	100
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		100	-	-	-	-			100
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		100							100
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)					-				
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Funding is for development of a long term strategic plan to guide the future development of the Department's Geographic Information System.

The funding for this project is split 50/50 between the Waterworks System Fund and the Sewage Disposal System Fund.

### Project Name: Foundation Drainage Improvements for Deacon Booster Pumping Station

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 2
Project:	Waterworks System 2005xxxxyy	Service:	Water

					Five-Year				
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011 **	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	250	-	-	-		- 250	250
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			250					250	250
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	250	-	-	-			250
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			250						250
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)					-				
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(deci	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

As part of a risk management assessment, the Deacon Booster Pumping Station foundation drainage system was identified as high risk due to internal flooding from excessive foundation water. The pump floor is approximately 9 meters below grade. With the construction of the new water treatment plant, the Deacon Booster Pumping Station must be operated continuously to deliver drinking water to the City of Winnipeg. The foundation drainage improvement and potential automation of valves to control the flow of water will reduce the risk of flooding the electrical pumps.

The expected life of this asset is over 50 years.

### Project Name: Water Works By-law 504/73 Revision

Department:Water and Waste -<br/>Waterworks SystemCapital Priority Rating:<br/>3 - 2Project:2001xxxxyyService:Water

				Five-Year					
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011 **	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	175	-	-	-		- 175	175
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			175					175	175
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	175	-	-	-			175
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			175						175
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)					-				
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Water Works By-law No. 504/73 relates to the Water Works Utility, the fixing of water rates and the billing and collection thereof. This by-law was originally passed by Council November 7, 1973. Each year amendments are passed to reflect the new water and sewer rates. The structure and language in the by-law has become dated. Amendments have occurred to clarify terms, liability or cross connection control provisions based on the original by-law structure. The Water Works By-law will be written taking into account a review of the by-laws in other jurisdictions, plain language format and better alignment with current operation policies.

The expected life of this asset is 25 years.

### Project Name: Deacon Site Flood Protection

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 2
Project:	Waterworks System 2005xxxxyy	Service:	Water

			F	Five-Year					
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012 **	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	500	-	-	-	500	500
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings				500				500	500
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	300	200	-	-	· -	500
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings				300	200				500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Deacon site ditch drainage system has experienced overland flooding in the past due to high water levels in the drain discharging into the floodway during the 1997 flood. With the expanded floodway and the increased water levels additional flood protection measures must be installed to protect the new Water Treatment Plant and related onsite buildings.

The expected life of this asset is over 50 years.

# Project Name: Tache Booster Pumping Station

Department:

Water and Waste -Waterworks System Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 2

Project:

2005xxxxyy

Service: Water

	Previous Budgets			I	FORECAST			Five-Year					
							2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	5,300	-	-	5,300	5,300				
Financed by:													
Retained Earnings					5,300			5,300	5,300				
								Beyond					
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total				
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	1,300	2,000	2,000	-	5,300				
Financed by:													
Retained Earnings					1,300	2,000	2,000		5,300				
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012									
Revenue increase/(decrease)													
Less:													
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)												
Debt and finance charges increase													
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-									

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Tache Booster Pumping Station on the Branch 1 Aqueduct was constructed in 1950 in order to develop the full capacity of the aqueduct. A study identified a number of required upgrading to the pumping station and the aqueduct surge tower adjacent to the station. The work includes replacing the pump motors, upgrading the station electrical, heating and ventilation systems, upgrading the foundation and roof structures, and a reconfiguration of the valving and piping between the pumping station and the surge tower. Also, aesthetic, fencing and landscaping upgrades to the site and facilities will be undertaken to coincide and assimilate with the proposed residential redevelopment of the surround area.

The capital budget established in 2006 has been cancelled and re-budgeted for 2013.

The expected life of this rehabilitation asset is 50 years.

# Project Name: Water Treatment Research and Process Optimization Facility

		FC	RECAST
Project:	Waterworks System 2005xxxxyy	Service:	Water
Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 2

	Previous Budgets				FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION		2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	_	750	-	- 750	750
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings						750		750	750
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	750	-	· -	750
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings						750			750
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<u>ו</u> ר				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The water treatment research and process optimization facility will be constructed to facilitate ongoing water treatment research and optimization of the existing water treatment process. This will be a small scale model replicating the water treatment process.

As new chemicals become available or existing chemicals become unavailable or existing chemical prices rise such that they become cost prohibitive; alternative chemicals can be pilot tested in this facility to ensure the water quality will meet the regulatory licence requirements. In the future as drinking water quality regulations become more stringent new water treatment processes can be studied and validated on the water supply prior to committing to a large capital expansion to the water treatment plant. Other benefits are: filter media and absorption evaluations, operator training and education, and testing of emerging treatment technologies that become cost effective. The water treatment plant has space dedicated for this facility.

The expected life of this asset is 25 years.

Eine Veen

### Project Name: Branch Aqueduct Condition Assessment and Rehabilitation

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Waterworks System 2003xxxxyy Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 2

Service: Water

					FORECAST	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,000	1,000	1,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings							1,000	1,000	1,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	-	1,000	-	1,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings							1,000		1,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<u> </u> ]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)					-				
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase	-								
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Branch I, II and Interconnection aqueducts are specialized pipes which are unique in size, materials, construction techniques and failure.

The Branch I aqueduct was installed in 1918 and has an established inspection and maintenance program, however, the Branch II and interconnection aqueducts were installed in the 1960's and will require specialized inspection, testing, and maintenance to preserve the asset.

The Branch II and Interconnection aqueducts were installed between 1959 and 1963 and require inspection, testing and maintenance to preserve the asset.

The expected life of this asset is 50 years.

## Project Name: Water Services Division Operations Accreditation

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 2
Project:	Waterworks System 2001xxxxyy	Service:	Water

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	-	-	500	500	500
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings							500	500	500
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	-	500	-	500
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings							500		500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Water Services Division has a strategic goal to become certified under an ISO or similar certification program to enhance customer service and manage risk. Additional benefits of becoming certified are: improved cost effectiveness, environmental compliance, reduced hazard liabilities and promotion of best management practices. The Utility has the opportunity to demonstrate to their customers a commitment to environmentally safe processes, regulatory compliance, and environmental stewardship.

The expected life of this asset is 20 years.

## Project Name: Watermain Renewals

20130001yy

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Waterworks System Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 2

Service: Water

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A*	12,000	13,000	14,000	15,000	16,000	17,000	75,000	87,000
Financed by: Watermain Renewal Reserve		12,000	13,000	14,000	15,000	16,000	17,000	75,000	87,000
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		12,000	13,000	14,000	15,000	16,000	17,000	-	87,000
Financed by: Watermain Renewal Reserve		12,000	13,000	14,000	15,000	16,000	17,000		87,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project is a continuing program to replace and/or rehabilitate deteriorating watermain infrastructure. Funding is used for watermain replacement, cathodic protection, valve and hydrant replacement/rehabilitation, correction of dead end mains, upgrading of substandard mains, watermain studies, assessments, and hydraulic improvements for fire protection purposes. Additional funding has been added to the program due to the escalation in construction costs and an increase in the number of watermains requiring replacement/rehabilitation. The expected remaining life of each rehabilitated watermain ranges from 20 to 80 years, depending on the rehabilitation technology and the asset condition.

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

## Project Name: Shoal Lake Aqueduct Asset Preservation

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 3
Project:	Waterworks System 20030007yy	Service:	Water

				F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	2,050	600	700	1,300	-	-	1,000	3,000	3,600
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		600	700	1,300			1,000	3,000	3,600
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		600	700	1,300	-	-	1,000	-	3,600
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		600	700	1,300			1,000		3,600
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(deci	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Shoal Lake Aqueduct, which has been in continuous service since 1919, delivers water to Winnipeg from Shoal Lake, a distance of 156 kilometres (97 miles). A comprehensive condition and rehabilitation of the Aqueduct commenced in 1988 and was substantially completed in 2004. While the Aqueduct rehabilitation project has resulted in an increase of the Aqueduct's service life by 50 years, on-going monitoring and inspection programs will identify future works.

Works which have been identified include the rehabilitation of drainage siphons crossing the Aqueduct, replacement of corroded boathouse access doors and broken Aqueduct vent pipes, re-establishment of a boathouse in proximity of the Whitemouth River and condition inspection and cleaning of the Aqueduct underdrain.

During the rehabilitation of the Aqueduct, an inventory of specialized and costly inspection and maintenance equipment was assembled which will continue to be utilized in the ongoing inspection and maintenance of the Aqueduct. This equipment requires a dedicated and secure storage facility to ensure its functionality and availability.

The expected life of this asset is 50 years.

# Project Name: Lift Stations Upgrading

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 20350001yy Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 4

20

Service: Wastewater

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A*	750	1,750	1,750	750	750	750	5,750	6,500
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		750	1,750	1,750	750	750	750	5,750	6,500
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		750	1,750	1,750	750	750	750	-	6,500
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		750	1,750	1,750	750	750	750		6,500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project is part of a continuing program for mitigating hydraulic, structural, safety, odour, aesthetic problems, and capacity assessments as required for the 95 lift stations and associated forcemains, gate chambers, and related works in the sewage collection system. For maximum effectiveness the program must be on a planned and continuous basis.

The program will include a condition assessment of all lift stations to establish future funding requirements.

The expected life of this asset is 50 years.

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

Project Name:

# Inflow / Infiltration / Cross-Connection Study and Mitigation

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 20410001yy Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3

Service: Wastewater

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	3,250	-	-	-	-	2,000		2,000	2,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings						2,000		2,000	2,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	2,000		-	2,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings						2,000			2,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase	,								
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project involves locating and identifying sources of extraneous inflow and infiltration (I&I) entering the wastewater sewer system, and implementing mitigative measures to reduce the I&I such that wet weather flows to wastewater treatment plants are minimized, basement flood protection is improved during high river levels and emergency discharges are minimized. Extraneous inflows from groundwater, snowmelt, and rainfall events place an additional stress on the wastewater treatment plants that can result in reduced life expectancy of equipment and/or accelerate the need for wastewater treatment plant expansion. Minimizing extraneous inflows will reduce these additional stresses, prolong equipment life, and postpone facility expansion. Areas of the City will also be identified where land drainage sewer system cross-connections may exist with the wastewater sewer system that can be significant sources of I&I under high river levels or wet weather.

In addition to this, as of 1990, new homes are required to have sump pumps and pits to collect foundation drainage and then discharge this clean water onto the home owners land. The intent was to remove this large source of extraneous flow from reaching the wastewater treatment plants. It has been found, however, that some home owners have illegally connected sump pumps to their wastewater sewer service. Areas where large sources of extraneous I&I are suspected will be investigated. Appropriate technical measures including public education and communication will be initiated to rectify this situation.

The expected life of this asset is 50 years.

Project Name:

## Sewer System Isolation in Areas Protected by Secondary Dikes

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 20300002yy Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3

Service: Wastewater

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	500	1,000	1,000	-		2,500	2,500
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			500	1,000	1,000			2,500	2,500
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	500	1,000	1,000	-		· -	2,500
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			500	1,000	1,000				2,500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project involves isolating the sewer system in areas protected by secondary dikes from the remainder of the sewer system behind the primary dikes. This will involve one of three types of work for different locations:

1. Installation of gate valves on the house sewer connection;

2. Installation of sump pits and pumps and backwater valves in each property; or,

3. Installation of parallel sewer mains in streets.

The purpose of installing these works is to prevent complete flooding of a sewer district behind a primary dike should a secondary dike breach occur and flood the properties between the secondary and primary dikes.

The expected life of this asset is 50 years.

# Project Name: Raw Sewage Pump Replacement - North End Water Pollution Control Centre (NEWPCC)

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 3
Project:	Sewage Disposal 2031xxxxyy	Service:	Wastewater

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	3,600	2,550	5,450	-	-	-		- 5,450	8,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		2,550	5,450					5,450	8,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		2,550	5,450	-	-	-			8,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		2,550	5,450						8,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Raw sewage pumping is a critical operation at the NEWPCC. The largest and oldest raw sewage pumps at the NEWPCC (pumps MP2, MP3, MP4 and MP6) were installed in 1965 and are each rated at 700 horsepower with a pumping capacity of 188 megalitres per day (ML/ d). While these pumps have been maintained in good condition, repairs are becoming more difficult to complete. Parts are difficult to obtain and may have to be custom fabricated. The variable speed drives on pumps MP2 and MP4 are considered obsolete. Variable speed drives allow for more efficient pumping to pace the flows received at the NEWPCC.

Funding shown will be for: conceptual design pertaining to replacement of all six raw sewage pumps; functional and detailed design, contract administration, and addition services pertaining to immediate replacement of pumps MP2, MP4 and MP5; and a supply and installation contract for three variable speed pump/motor/drive assemblies and associated equipment and services. The scope and cost for these upgrades will be developed as engineering proceeds through conceptual and functional design phases.

The expected life of this asset is 25 years.

# Project Name: Upgrading External Power Supply - North End Water Pollution Control Centre (NEWPCC)

Capital Priority Rating:

Department:

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

Project:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 2031xxxxyy

Service: Wastewater

5 - 2

				F	ORECAS	「		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	6,000	-	-	-	6,000	6,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings				6,000				6,000	6,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	6,000	-	-	-	-	6,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings				6,000					6,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The NEWPCC currently has two separate power supplies which are both capable of supplying the entire NEWPCC power requirement. In the event of a power failure to one supply, the plant will continue to operate on the other supply.

If any additional treatment processes are added to the plant, this redundant power supply will be compromised. Effluent disinfection was added to the NEWPCC in 2006 and has been accommodated by its own separate power supply.

Future biological nutrient reduction processes will have higher power requirements and will increase the plant power requirement beyond the current capacity of either of the two supplies. In anticipation of nutrient removal at the NEWPCC the existing power supplies must be assessed and upgraded.

The life expectancy of this asset is 50 years.

## Project Name: Hauled Liquid Waste Acceptance Facility - Wastewater Pollution Control Centres

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 3
Project:	Sewage Disposal 2033xxxxyy	Service:	Wastewater

		2010			FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011 **	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	5,950	2,050	2,800	-	-	-		2,800	4,850
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		2,050	2,800					2,800	4,850
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		2,050	2,800	-	-	-			4,850
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		2,050	2,800						4,850
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	<u>ן                                    </u>				
Revenue increase/(decrease)			-	-					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr Debt and finance charges increase	ease)								

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The West End Water Pollution Control Centre (WEWPCC) accepted waste from septic haulers as a disposal facility at the Perimeter Road Pumping Station. The design consultants for the WEWPCC Biological Nutrient Removal facility have recommended that this practice be stopped. On December 1, 2005, the Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works concurred with the recommendation. As of July 1, 2007, septage was no longer accepted at the WEWPCC and the septage receiving facility at the WEWPCC was closed. This project is to provide increased capacity at the other two facilities (North and South End Water Pollution Control Centres).

The name of this project has been revised from Septage Acceptance Facility - Wastewater Pollution Control Centres to Hauled Liquid Waste Acceptance Facility - Wastewater Pollution Control Centres. The funding in 2008 and 2009 will be used to design and construct upgraded hauled liquid waste acceptance facilities at the North End Water Pollution Control Centres and include provisions for landfill leachate acceptance at the NEWPCC. Due to timing of design, tendering, construction and increased budget costs, the South End Water Pollution Control Centre hauled Liquid Waste Facility was to be designed in 2009 with tendering and construction in 2010.

To improve truck entry and exit security within the NEWPCC it is proposed to add an additional receiving/handling facility to the NEWPCC Hauled Liquid Waste Acceptance Facility in 2011 that will receive sludge from SEWPCC and WEWPCC. This facility will then maintain all truck traffic outside the secure fenced perimeter of the NEWPCC.

The expected life of the structures is 50 years, the expected life of electrical and mechanical components is 25 years, and the expected life for computer related systems is 10 years.

\*\* Supported by class 3 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

## **Re-Roofing at Water Pollution Control Centres**

Department:

Project:

Project Name:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 20300010yy Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 2

Service: Wastewater

					FORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A *	-	400	-	400	-	400	1,200	1,200
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			400		400		400	1,200	1,200
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	400	-	400	-	400	-	1,200
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			400		400		400		1,200
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Various Water Pollution Control Centre buildings require re-roofing because their existing roofing has exceeded their functional life and are in need of frequent repair and servicing. Mechanical equipment and controls contained in these facilities require that the superstructures be adequately maintained to protect its components. Re-roofing in a timely and proactive manner will maximize the functional life of the facilities, protect the mechanical and control components contained in the facilities, and prevent structural damage to the facilities as a result of water leakage undergoing freeze-thaw cycles. The funding for this ongoing program includes engineering, design, and re-roofing construction.

The expected life of this asset is 30 years.

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

# Project Name: Centrifuge Replacement - North End Water Pollution Control Centre (NEWPCC)

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 4
Project:	Sewage Disposal 2031xxxxyy	Service:	Wastewater

				F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	9,500	-	-	-	9,500	9,500
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings				9,500				9,500	9,500
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	9,500	-	-	-		9,500
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings				9,500					9,500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decre	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The centrifuge units de-water digested sludge produced as a by-product of wastewater treatment processes. Furthermore, the sludge produced at the South End and the West End Water Pollution Control Centres is processed at NEWPCC. There are 6 units that are subject to intense mechanical wear, are 18 years old, and are failing with increasing frequency. For construction, operation, and maintenance reasons, these units must be identical to each other, and as such must be purchased simultaneously, or phased over 2 years maximum.

The life expectancy of this asset is 15 years.

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

## Project Name: River Crossings Monitoring and Rehabilitation

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 20360007yy Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 4

Service: Wastewater

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION Bud	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A*	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	6,500	7,800
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	6,500	7,800
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	-	7,800
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300		7,800
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project is for the rehabilitation and/or replacement of forcemain river crossings and for the installation of leak detection equipment on the existing waterway crossings.

The Department has completed a risk assessment of all river crossings in order to determine a prioritization plan to upgrade and replace any at risk river crossings as required by Environmental Licences issued by the Province of Manitoba for the wastewater collection system in the City of Winnipeg.

These funds will also be used to install continuous leak detection monitoring equipment at all river crossings as required by the Provincial Environmental License. The Department is currently undertaking a pilot program to evaluate and determine methods to meet this requirement.

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

## Project Name: Asbestos Containing Materials Operations & Maintenance Program

Department:
-------------

Project:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 20300009yy

Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 3

Service: Wastewater

				F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
-	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	495	200	125	125	-	-		- 250	450
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		200	125	125				250	450
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		200	125	125	-	-	-		450
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		200	125	125					450
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decre	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

In accordance with the City of Winnipeg Asbestos Code of Practice, the Water and Waste Department is initiating its Asbestos Containing Materials Operating and Maintenance Program. Funding is required for professional services including inspections, database development, labeling, testing, Asbestos Containing Material (ACM) remediation contract services, training, and response plan development in the Water Services Division and Wastewater Services Division. A separate budget is set up for the ACM Operations and Maintenance Program in the Waterworks System Fund.

# Project Name: Digester Area Upgrades - North End Water Pollution Control Centre (NEWPCC)

Capital Priority Rating:

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 2031xxxxyy

Service: Wastewater

5 - 4

FORECAST Five-Year Previous 2010 Forecast Six-Year AUTHORIZATION Budgets Adopted 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Total Total Project Costs (\$000's) 600 900 900 Financed by: **Retained Earnings** 900 900 Beyond 2015 **CASH FLOW** 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Total Project Costs (\$000's) 900 900 Financed by: **Retained Earnings** 900 900 NET OPERATING IMPACT 2010 2011 2012 Revenue increase/(decrease) Less: Operating expenditures increase/(decrease) Debt and finance charges increase SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Process equipment in the digester area is over 40 years old and some components are failing with increasing frequency and have reached their useful life. Six digester gas compressor units are used to recirculate biogas in the sludge treatment process. The six sludge heat exchanger units are used to maintain the sludge temperature in the digesters and are critical components in the sludge treatment process. The compressors and the heat exchangers are identical and for construction, operation, and maintenance purposes, their replacements must be acquired simultaneously to ensure that the new equipment is identical.

The life expectancy of this asset is 20 years.

# Project Name: Water Pollution Control Centre Reliability Upgrades

Department:	Water and Waste -
Destant	Sewage Disposal 20310010yy
Project:	203 IOO IOYY

Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 4

Service: Wastewater

				F		Five-Year			
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	21,900	-	2,500	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	20,000	20,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			2,500	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	20,000	20,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	2,500	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	-	20,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			2,500	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000		20,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

A risk and criticality assessment of the City's three water pollution control centres has been undertaken. The assessment outlined required improvements to the existing systems to increase their reliability. The program will address the improvements which affect mechanical, electrical, and structural components at all three water pollution control centres.

The expected life of the structure upgrades is 50 years and the expected life of the electrical and mechanical components is 25 years.

# Project Name: HVAC Replacement - West End Water Pollution Control Centre (WEWPCC)

Capital Priority Rating:

Department:

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

Project:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 20330006yy

5 0

Service: Wastewater

5 - 2

						Five-Year			
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	1,000	6,000	6,320	-	-	-	-	6,320	12,320
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		6,000	6,320		-	-		6,320	12,320
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		6,000	6,320	-	-	-	-	· -	12,320
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		6,000	6,320						12,320
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decre	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Currently all HVAC (Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning) equipment at the WEWPCC is approximately 16 years old, which, based on information provided by the equipment suppliers, is the normal life expectancy of the majority of HVAC equipment at the WEWPCC. Most of this equipment operates continuously and in a severe duty application due to the off-gases resulting from the treatment processes.

In 2006 a mechanical condition and air balancing assessment was performed at the WEWPCC. It was determined that most of the HVAC equipment requires replacement and/or maintenance, and that there are several air balance issues that need to be corrected to maintain proper pressure relationships between classified and unclassified areas. It was also noted that some existing equipment is operating at near maximum capacity and will need to be re-sized.

The expected life of this asset is 20 years.

# Project Name: Traffic Safety Upgrades - North End Water Pollution Control Centre (NEWPCC)

Project:	2031xxxxyy	Service:	Wastewater	
Department:	Water and Waste - Sewage Disposal	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 3	

					FORECAST	-		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	200	-	-	-	2,450	-		- 2,450	2,450
Financed by: Retained Earnings					2,450			2,450	2,450
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	2,450	-			2,450
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings					2,450				2,450
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decre	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The 1990 dewatering expansion at NEWPCC considered the need for traffic lights on Main street at the exit due to the increase in large (WB-20, 30 KL, 6 - axel) truck traffic and the safety concerns associated therewith, but this did not proceed at that time. Since then, large truck traffic at NEWPCC has increased over time from 20 - 25 large trucks/day to upwards of 100-150, with considerable increases in large leachate and liquid sludge trucks, construction trucks, as well as septage trucks and delivery/staff and contractor vehicles associated with plant expansions which are currently underway (in addition to the 100 large trucks/day). Meanwhile, the Chief Peguis bridge and surrounding developments have resulted in large increases in vehicular traffic on Main Street.

Over time, construction traffic will be replaced and exceeded by more septage trucks due to the closing of a West End receiving facility, by chemical delivery trucks due to treatment processes under construction, and by sludge trucks due to expansions at the City's other plants now underway. A traffic expert consultant assignment is urgently required to assess and develop alternatives and consult with authorities and obtain any needed approvals, followed by construction works (traffic lights, ramps, roadways, R/R crossings, access, etc.) to alleviate traffic safety issues both with on-site traffic and ingress/egress at Main Street.

The expected life of this asset is over 50 years.

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

Eive Veer

# Project Name: Holding Tanks Coating - North End Water Pollution Control Centre (NEWPCC)

Capital Priority Rating:

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 2031xxxxyy

5 0

Service: Wastewater

5 - 2

				l	FORECAST			Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	100	-	-	-	2,550	-	-	2,550	2,550
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings					2,550			2,550	2,550
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	2,550	-	-	-	2,550
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings					2,550				2,550
		2010	2011	2012	 1				
Revenue increase/(decrease)		2010	2011	2012					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decre	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The four original digesters at the NEWPCC, built in the mid 1930's, are now used as digested sludge holding tanks, and are essential to the operation of the digested sludge dewatering facility, which serves all three of the City's wastewater treatment plants. The 75+ year old interior concrete walls are subject to corrosive gases and the interior wall and ceiling have deteriorated to the point where reinforcing steel is exposed in some areas. Assessment by qualified experts followed by rehabilitation and application of a sealant and/or coating is required to assure continued functionality of these tanks and avoid the expense of complete rebuilding.

The expected life of this asset is over 50 years.

# Project Name: Primary Clarifier Covers - North End Water Pollution Control Centre (NEWPCC)

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 3
Project:	Sewage Disposal 2031xxxxyy	Service:	Wastewater

				I	FORECAST			Five-Year	
-	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	-	25,500	-	-	25,500	25,500
Financed by: Retained Earnings					25,500			25,500	25,500
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	25,500	-	-	-	25,500
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings					25,500				25,500
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	ll 1				
Revenue increase/(decrease)		2010	2011	2012					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The three uncovered primary clarifiers at the NEWPCC have been identified as one of the remaining untreated odour sources at the site. The original Primary Clarifier Cover project, first introduced into the 1992 Capital Budget Estimates forecast was set up to implement a cover/ventilation system which would facilitate year round maintenance and operational flexibility and provide odour control capability. The project scope of work and the budget were expanded to include replacement of the clarifier sweep mechanisms which have reached the end of their expected design/service life.

Due to several factors that amounted to a significant increase in the capital funding required to complete the project, the Department recommended a deferral of the clarifier covering portion of the project.

On July 18, 2007, Council approved and adopted the following:

"That the scope of work for this project be amended to include only the replacement of the sweep mechanisms and their associated mechanical and electrical equipment and that the cover portion of the project not be delayed, with Administration reporting back to the Standing Policy Committee on Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works on the clarifier cover system expenditure."

The work required on the NEWPCC Clarifiers is now separated into two individual Projects: "Primary Clarifier Refurbishment" for the sweep mechanisms and their associated mechanical and electrical equipment and "Primary Clarifier Covers" for the facilitation of year round maintenance and odour control capability. The budget originally established for work required for the Clarifier Covers was developed in 2003. Recent construction cost increases averaging 15% per year, a better understanding of the required work and changes to the National Building Code have resulted in a new estimated cost of \$25,500,000.

The expected life of this asset is over 50 years.

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

## Project Name: Wastewater Utility Asset Management Strategy

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 20300006yy Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 2

Service: Wastewater

					FORECAS	r		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	200	200	200	-	-	-		- 200	400
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		200	200					200	400
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		200	200	-	-	-			400
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		200	200						400
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	·				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Asset management principles are being utilized for various projects in the wastewater utility. Development of an asset management strategy is required to define goals, objectives and strategies for the development and maintenance of a consistent and effective asset management program involving all functions of the wastewater utility. The asset management strategy will identify, prioritize and establish the future direction of condition assessments, establish deterioration / life cycles for components, and recommend rehabilitation, maintenance, and associated financial requirements.

The Capital Budget previously established in 2004 has been cancelled and re-budgeted for 2011.

The expected life of this asset is over 50 years.

#### Project Name: Customer Information and Services System (CISS) Project - Phase 2

# Department: Project:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 20401002yy

Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 2

> Service: Wastewater

		2010			FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	512	1,025	-	-	-	-			1,025
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		1,025						-	1,025
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		1,025	-	-	-	-			1,025
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		1,025							1,025
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)					-				
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Phase two of the Customer Information and Services System (CISS) project will include implementing enhanced functionality of the Oracle Customer Care and Billing (CCB) system. Some components of this phase will include web self-service, CCB cashiering, meter management and inventory control, enhanced reporting, and work order management interface.

The first phase of the CISS project included \$10,000,000 (split between the Waterworks System Fund and the Sewage Disposal System Fund) for replacing the legacy mainframe based system with a new web based Customer Care and Billing (CCB) system. In order to mitigate risk and ensure a successful project, only the base Oracle functionality was implemented in Phase one.

The funding for this project is split 50/50 between the Waterworks System Fund and the Sewage Disposal System Fund.

\*\* Supported by class 3 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

# Cost of Service Rates Study

Department:

Project:

Project Name:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 20300020yy Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 2

Service: Wastewater

					FORECAST	Г		Five-Year	
-	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	250	150	-	-	-	-			150
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		150						-	150
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		150	-	-	-	-			150
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		150							150
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decre	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Council has mandated that the Water & Waste Department develop a cost of service rate plan. Cost of Service Analysis is a methodology for rate setting that is generally accepted in the industry and recommended by industry associations such as the American Waterworks Association (AWWA), Water Environment Federation and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. It is also accepted by Public Utility Boards and Commissions. Implementation of a cost of service rates philosophy will align rates with the actual cost of delivering the service, by class of customer. The objective being that each group pays its "proportionate share" of the costs. This will require a detailed review and possible restructuring of how Water and Sewer rates are applied by customer class.

The funding for the project will be split 50/50 between the Waterworks System Fund and the Sewage Disposal System Fund.

# Project Name: New Discharge Chamber - North End Water Pollution Control Centre (NEWPCC)

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 3
Project:	Sewage Disposal 203100xxyy	Service:	Wastewater

				I	FORECAST	•		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	500	-	-	-	5,000	10,000	-	15,000	15,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings					5,000	10,000		15,000	15,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	5,000	10,000	-	-	15,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings					5,000	10,000			15,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The discharge chamber and related appurtenances were part of a major plant expansion in 1963. Due to age, wear, and the corrosive environment, extensive deterioration of this asset is evident. Funding in 2009 will be for an engineering assessment of the condition and capacity of the discharge chamber and the conduit from the discharge chamber to the grit building. Funding in 2013 and 2014 will be for the design and construction of a second discharge chamber and will be dependent on the outcome of the condition assessment.

The expected life of the structure is 50 years and the expected life of the mechanical and electrical components is 25 years.

Debt and finance charges increase

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

Project Name:

## New Surgewell - North End Water Pollution Control Centre (NEWPCC)

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 203100xxyy Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 3

Service: Wastewater

				F	ORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	1,000	-	4,000	9,000	-	-		- 13,000	13,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			4,000	9,000				13,000	13,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	4,000	9,000	-	-			13,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			4,000	9,000					13,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The surgewell and related appurtenances were part of the original plant construction in 1936. Due to age, wear, and the corrosive environment, extensive deterioration of this asset is evident. In addition to constructing a new surgewell, consideration will be given to upgrading the on-site interceptors and pump suction header system to improve control of raw sewage inflows and provide redundancy for the plant inflow systems.

The expected life of the structure is 50 years and the expected life of the mechanical and electrical components is 25 years.

# Project Name: Grit Handling Upgrades - North End Water Pollution Control Centre (NEWPCC)

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 3
Project:	Sewage Disposal 203100xxyy	Service:	Wastewater

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
1	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011 **	2012 **	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	2,400	4,900	6,600	-	-	- 13,900	13,900
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			2,400	4,900	6,600			13,900	13,900
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	2,400	4,900	6,600	-	-		13,900
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			2,400	4,900	6,600				13,900
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decre	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Funds included are for the engineering and construction of a new grit collection and handling system in the Grit Removal building at the NEWPCC. Phase 1 of this project involved tank geometry and air flow system upgrades in 2008. Phases 2 and 3 will include supply and installation of a grit collection, removal and classification systems into the four grit tanks, and Phase 4 will include the replacement of the grit conveyor system.

The expected life of the structure is 50 years and the expected life of the electrical and mechanical components is 25 years.

\*\* Supported by class 3 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

## Project Name: Synergen/GIS Interface

Department:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 4

Project:

2040xxxxyy

Service: Wastewater

						Five-Year			
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	150	-	-	-	-			150
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		150						-	150
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		150	-	-	-	-			150
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		150							150
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Geographic Information System GIS/Synergen Interface links the Water and Waste Department "in ground" assets data (Water and Sewer pipes, valves, gates, etc.) to the department's Computerized Work Management system. This will provide the automatic recording of work and costs against all in ground assets; provides up-to-date information on the operational status of all assets (valve closures); automatically records repair status of assets so that future condition assessment and asset renewal decisions can be made with greater accuracy.

The benefits include improved customer service and reduced operating risk because of the real time information on water valve and sewer gate status; increased staff efficiency in recording work against assets, improved decision making in knowing asset status and condition which will provide for lower costs in asset management and renewal.

The capital budget previously established for the Computerized Work Management System have been cancelled and re-budgeted as Synergen/GIS Interface for 2010.

# Project Name: GIS Database Upgrades and Continuous Development

	Drovious	2010		
			F	ORECAST
Project:	Sewage Disposal 2040xxxxyy		Service:	Wastewater
Department:	Water and Waste -		Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 4

					IONEOAO				
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	100	-	-	-	-			100
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		100						-	100
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		100	-	-	-	-			100
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		100							100
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				<u>L</u>
Revenue increase/(decrease)					-				
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	-1				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Funding is for development of a long term strategic plan to guide the future development of the Department's Geographic Information System.

The funding for this project is split 50/50 between the Waterworks System Fund and the Sewage Disposal System Fund.

Five-Year

# Project Name: Main Pump Well Overhead Crane Replacement - North End Water Pollution Control Centre (NEWPCC)

Capital Priority Rating:

Department:

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

Project:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 2031xxxxyy

Service: Wastewater

5 - 3

						Five-Year			
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	400	-	-	-	-			400
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		400						-	400
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		400	-	-	-	-			400
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		400							400
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decre	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Early indications from the NEWPCC raw sewage pump replacement project suggest that the new pumps will exceed the existing crane lifting capacity. Installation of new pumps are scheduled to begin October 2011. The overhead crane replacement must be completed before this time.

Funds are required for engineering services for structural assessment to determine requirements for replacing existing 7.5 ton crane, with a new 10 ton crane capacity.

The estimate includes \$100,000 for engineering services and up to \$300,000 for demolition of existing crane and supply and installation of new crane. A 10 ton crane may require significant alteration to the building structure and electrical/mechanical systems. The scope and budget will be revised as engineering proceeds.

The expected life of this asset is 25 years.

#### Project Name: **Raw Sewage Pump - West End Water Pollution Control Centre** (WEWPCC)

		E4	
Project:	2033xxxxyy	Service:	Wastewater
I	Sewage Disposal	1 5 5	
Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 4

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	3,000	-	-	-	-			3,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		3,000						-	3,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		3,000	-	-	-	-			3,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		3,000							3,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	-				

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Perimeter Road Pump Station (PRPS) is the raw wastewater pumping facility for the WEWPCC. It is located approximately 1.2 km east of the WEWPCC. The PRPS is approximately 45 years old and has recently undergone a reliability upgrade of its forcemain, mechanical, structural and electrical components.

The PRPS is configured to accommodate four pumps. At the present time only three pumps are installed; one medium sized electric variable capacity pump to handle average dry weather flows as well as night time low flows, one large electric pump to handle wet weather flows and one large diesel pump for emergency pumping. A second medium sized electric variable capacity pump is required to provide redundancy and continuous pumping for the average and night time low flows. The new Biological Nutrient Removal (BNR) treatment process at the WEWPCC may be susceptible to process upsets if the only variable capacity pump is out of service.

Eive Veer

# Project Name: Cockburn & Jesse Lift Stations Upgrades to Facilitate Fort Rouge Yards Development

Capital Priority Rating:

Department:

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

Project:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 2035xxxxyy

Service: Wastewater

5 - 4

		FORECAST					Five-Year		
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	2,000	1,000	-	-	-	. 3,000	3,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			2,000	1,000				3,000	3,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	2,000	1,000	-	-	-	· -	3,000
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings			2,000	1,000					3,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The existing combined sewer lift stations, Jessie and Cockburn, are at their operating capacity. The proposed Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) and Fort Rouge Yards (FRY) Development will result in additional dry and wet weather flows to these stations. To safely convey all dry weather flows to the wastewater treatment plants without risk of dry weather overflows, and maintaining sufficient wet weather flow capacity to protect against basement flooding, both stations will require significant structural, mechanical and electrical upgrades.

The expected life of the structure is 50 years, and 25 years for the electrical and mechanical components.

# Project Name: Variable Frequency Drives (VFDs) for Process Blowers - West End Water Pollution Control Centre (WEWPCC)

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 4
Project:	Sewage Disposal 2033xxxxyy	Service:	Wastewater

		2010			FORECAST	Г		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	Adopted **	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	200	-	-	-	-			200
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		200						-	200
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2014	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		200	-	-	-	-			200
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		200							200
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The WEWPCC treatment process was recently upgraded to provide the nutrient removal capability required by licence. During the design of this upgrade it was decided to re-use the existing blowers as they appeared to have adequate capacity for the new process. After several months of operation it is now apparent that although these blowers do have enough capacity, they do not have any turn down ability. When the existing blowers are required to turn on and off during the night to match the low night time flows there has been some damages observed in the air distribution and diffusion system. The installation of variable speed drives will provide energy efficiencies as well as the ability to match the air requirements to plant flows.

\*\* Supported by class 3 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

## Project Name: Environmental Impact Statement and Public Hearings

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 2034xxxxyy Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 4

Service: Wastewater

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	1,400	100	200	200	100	100	100	700	800
Financed by:									
Environmental Reserve		100	200	200	100	100	100	700	800
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		100	200	200	100	100	100	-	800
Financed by:									
Environmental Reserve		100	200	200	100	100	100		800
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decre	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Upon completion of the 2003 regulatory hearings of the Clean Environment Commission (CEC), it has been recommended and the Province has adopted that a further regulatory review be undertaken in two (2) years and then every three (3) years thereafter.

Ongoing works to satisfy regulatory requirements will require documentation, monitoring, and support to demonstrate that the construction and operation of the completed projects relating to the CEC recommendations and as required as a condition of tripartite funding are meeting compliance terms and conditions.

Budgeted funds are for environmental assessment related studies/reports, public participation, regulatory liaison site specific assessments, monitoring and analysis, and future representation at hearings expected in the 2010 to 2015 horizon.

# Project Name: Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) Management Strategy & Miscellaneous Mitigation

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 2
Project:	Sewage Disposal 20380001yy	Service:	Wastewater

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	27,228	8,000	9,000	9,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	48,000	56,000
Financed by:									
Environmental Reserve		8,000	9,000	9,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	48,000	56,000
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		8,000	9,000	9,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	-	56,000
Financed by:									
Environmental Reserve		8,000	9,000	9,000	10,000	10,000	10,000		56,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decre	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

It is the intent to create a multi-year combined sewer mitigation program that integrates with other major sewer programs, including:

- Basement flooding relief

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

- Sewer Condition Assessment and Condition Upgrading
- Pollution Control Centre Upgrading Programs

A Combined Sewer Overflow Master Implementation Plan will develop specific strategies for implementation that meet regulatory compliance. The plan will consider: 2003 CEC Hearings recommendations; Manitoba Conservation Guidance and Priorities; Canadian Council of Ministers on the Environment (CCME) Municipal Effluent Strategy Guidelines; consistency with prevailing international practice; understanding of benefits and costs; fiscal responsibility and environmental stewardship; initiation of near-term actions to reduce CSO's; and confirmation of long-range control program.

The Clean Environment Commission (CEC) has recommended that the City reduce the number of overflows to the local rivers within a 20 to 25 year timeframe.

Funds budgeted are for the development of a CSO Master Implementation plan, technical and economic analyses, program development, staging of mitigation works, annual monitoring programs, pilot studies, land acquisition, integration with other major sewer programs, overflow monitoring and reporting, and initiation and implementation of control measures, including SCADA (Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition).

As more CSO's are diverted to the wastewater treatment plants, additional treatment will need to be added to process the wet weather flows to meet effluent limits set in Environment Act Licences issued for each plant.

The expected life of the sewers is 50 years, mechanical components is 25 years and SCADA system is 10 years.

### Biosolids - Alternative Disposal Delivery & Management System

Project Name: Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal 20310004yy Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 3

Service: Wastewater

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	6,080	-	14,922	12,191	11,325	11,313	6,899	56,650	56,650
Financed by:									
Environmental Reserve			14,922	12,191	11,325	11,313	6,899	56,650	56,650
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	14,922	12,191	11,325	11,313	6,899	-	56,650
Financed by:									
Environmental Reserve			14,922	12,191	11,325	11,313	6,899		56,650
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The City of Winnipeg is reviewing its current land application practices of Biosolids relative to regulation requirements, emerging requirements associated with nutrient management regulations, changes to quantity and quality from upgraded wastewater treatment plants, and risk and criticality assessments done at all three plants. The City will have to implement alternate sludge management and disposal methods as result of the Provincial Nutrient Management Regulation. The current WINGRO management program will need to be modified to be sustainable and will require significant capital investment and transition time to implement the required changes. Funds included are for engineering study and design and construction of alternatives to current sludge management and disposal methods.

The expected life of the facility is 50 years and the expected life of the mechanical components is 25 years.

# Project Name: Nutrient Removal / Expansion - South End Water Pollution Control Centre (SEWPCC)

Department:	Water and Waste -	Capital Priority Rating:	5 - 4
Project:	Sewage Disposal 2032xxxxyy	Service:	Wastewater

		2010 FORECAST					Five-Year		
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	139,250	64,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	64,000
Financed by:									
Canada Strategic Infrastructure Fund - Federal Canada Strategic Infrastructure		8,035						-	8,035
Fund - Provincial		10,392						-	10,392
External Debt		48,865						-	48,865
Transfer to General Capital Fund		(3,292)						-	(3,292)

CASH FLOW	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	64,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	64,000
Financed by:								
Canada Strategic Infrastructure Fund - Federal	8,035							8,035
Canada Strategic Infrastructure Fund -								
Provincial	10,392							10,392
External Debt	48,865							48,865
Transfer to General Capital Fund	(3,292)							(3,292)

NET OPERATING IMPACT	2010	2011	2012
Revenue increase/(decrease)			
Less:			
Operating expenditures increase/(decrease)			
Debt and finance charges increase			
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)	-	-	-

Note: Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project includes the Amalgamation of the High River Level (Flood) Protection - SEWPCC, Capacity Study/Preliminary Engineering - SEWPCC and Nutrient Removal - SEWPCC projects into one overall comprehensive program for the expansion and upgrading of the SEWPCC.

Upon completion of the 2003 Regulatory Hearings of the Clean Environment Commission regarding license review of the wastewater treatment plants, it was learned that nutrient control and ammonia toxicity reduction for all three Pollution Control Centres is a high priority for the Province of Manitoba. The main concern relates to the excess nutrients (nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P)) introduced to Lake Winnipeg, the associated blooms of algae, and the chronic toxicity of ammonia to aquatic life under low flow conditions.

The population serviced by SEWPCC is growing rapidly and will necessitate a major expansion of the SEWPCC plant within 5 years to maintain the current level of secondary treatment and effluent quality. Upgrade requirements for conventional plant expansion in response to increased population, ammonia toxicity, and nutrient reduction at the wastewater treatment plants must be considered jointly for process design reasons and costs. The most cost effective method to address both issues is to construct Biological Nutrient Removal (BNR) facility for N and P and to meet projected flows from increased population growth. The implementation of BNR will require a major plant upgrade and expansion. Best practicable performance of BNR is anticipated to achieve a final effluent with total P and N concentrations of about 1 mg/L and 15 mg/L, respectively. The Clean Environment Commission has recently confirmed the need to use the BNR process to achieve these P and N concentrations.

Completion of the plant expansion by December 2012 is required by the Environment Act License.

### Nutriet Removal/Expansion SEWPCC (continued):

The current funding requirement is based on a conceptual engineering estimate and are subject to a high degree of uncertainty. These funding requirements will be updated as engineering progresses.

The City of Winnipeg is currently investigating alternative project delivery methodologies for several major sewage treatment plant infrastructure upgrades, including the Nutrient Removal/Expansion project for the SEWPCC. As such, the Capital Budget needs and cash flow may need to be altered in the future, depending on the project delivery framework selected.

The expected life of the structures is 50 years, the expected life of electrical and mechanical components is 25 years, and the expected life for computer related systems is 10 years.

\*\* Supported by class 3 estimate, see Appendix # 2.

# Project Name: Nutrient Removal - North End Water Pollution Control Centre (NEWPCC)

Department:	Water and Waste - Sewage Disposal	Capital Priority Rating:	J - 4
Project:	2031xxxxyy	Service:	Wastewater

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	14,500	21,000	150,000	150,000	65,000	-	-	365,000	386,000
Financed by: External Debt		21,000	150,000	150,000	65,000			365,000	386,000
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		21,000	150,000	150,000	65,000	-	-		386,000
Financed by:									
External Debt		21,000	150,000	150,000	65,000				386,000
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decre	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Upon completion of the 2003 Regulatory Hearings of the Clean Environment Commission regarding license review of the wastewater treatment plants, it was learned that nutrient control for all three Pollution Control Centres is a high priority for the Province of Manitoba. The main concerns relate to excess nutrients (nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P)) introduced to Lake Winnipeg contributing to undesirable blooms of blue-green algae.

The upgrade requirements for ammonia and/or nutrient reduction at the wastewater treatment plants must be considered jointly. The most cost effective method to address both issues is Biological Nutrient Removal (BNR) of N and P. The implementation of BNR will require a major plant expansion and double the size of the current facility. Best practicable performance of BNR is anticipated to achieve a final effluent with total P and N concentrations of about 1 mg/L and 15 mg/L, respectively. Due to the timing and magnitude of the costs, a portion of the project will need to be debt financed if it is to be implemented within the next ten years.

The impact of changes in sludge quantity and quality resulting from BNR process upgrades will have a large impact on solids handling and land applications. The addition of wet weather treatment processes associated with combined sewer overflow (CSO) control must be considered in the overall BNR process design and operation effluent disinfection for wet weather.

The required funding is based on meeting expected effluent limits on a rolling 30 day average basis. These limits are contained in an Environment Act License issued for this facility. Funding is being requested to allow for completion of the plant expansion by December 2014. Additional funds may be required and will be identified in succeeding years capital budgets.

The current funding requirement is based on very preliminary engineering and are subject to a great deal of uncertainty. These funding requirements will be updated as engineering progresses.

The expected life of the structures is 50 years, the expected life of electrical and mechanical components is 25 years, and the expected life for computer related systems is 10 years.

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

Project Name:

#### Sewer Renewal

Department:

Project:

Water and Waste -Sewage Disposal

2039xxxxyy'

Capital Priority Rating: 5 - 2

Service: Wastewater

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	<b>a</b> : <b>x</b>
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	N/A*	12,600	13,200	13,900	14,600	15,300	16,100	73,100	85,700
Financed by:									
Sewer System Rehabilitation Reserve		12,600	13,200	13,900	14,600	15,300	16,100	73,100	85,700
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		12,600	13,200	13,900	14,600	15,300	16,100	-	85,700
Financed by:									
Sewer System Rehabilitation Reserve	9	12,600	13,200	13,900	14,600	15,300	16,100		85,700
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

This project is a continuing program to replace and/or rehabilitate sewer infrastructure. Funds will be utilized to rehabilitate or replace combined, separate wastewater and land drainage sewers. Sewers will be replaced or rehabilitated using appropriate technologies, based on condition assessment determined through video inspection. A portion of the funding will be used for condition assessment. Additional funding has been added to the program due to the increased number of sewer mains requiring replacement/rehabilitation. The expected remaining life of each rehabilitated sewer ranges from 20 to 100 years, depending on the rehabilitation technology and the asset condition.

\* N/A - No amounts have been included in the "Previous Budgets" due to this project's on-going nature.

#### Project Name: Summit Landfill Closure / Post-Closure

De	epa	rtment:	
_			

#### Water and Waste - Solid Waste Disposal

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 2

Project:

#### 2060xxxxyy

Service: Solid Waste Collection & Disposal

				F	ORECAST			Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	1,126	-	400	400	400	-	-	1,200	1,200
Financed by:									
External Debt			400	400	400			1,200	1,200
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	20115	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	400	300	300	200	-	-	1,200
Financed by:									
External Debt			400	300	300	200			1,200
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(deci	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

In compliance with the closure/post closure plan for the former Summit Road landfill site, there is a requirement to apply additional final cover over the site and to carry out final grading, drainage control, and vegetative cover. The additional cover and grading/drainage control, and vegetative cover will restrict infiltration of precipitation into the site reducing leachate (landfill liquids) production. This reduces the amount of leachate that needs to be pumped and treated from this site. There is also a requirement to secure this site from unauthorized access. The costs identified include acquiring fill for final cover, grading/drainage control, fencing, plantings, and signage, including associated engineering.

The expected life of this facility is 50 years.

#### Project Name: Miscellaneous Land Acquisition

Department:

Water and Waste - Solid Waste Disposal

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 2

Project:

2061xxxxyy

Service: Solid Waste Collection & Disposal

	_				FORECAST	ſ		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	450	-	-	-	-	200		- 200	200
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings						200		200	200
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	-	-	200			200
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings						200			200
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	1				
Revenue increase/(decrease)					-				
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dec	rease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	-				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

With the 34 closed landfills in the City, there are occasions when there is a benefit to acquiring lands in proximity of these sites to provide additional buffer zones to minimize any impacts on adjacent private lands. There are also occasions when acquiring land would be beneficial to long term control, use, drainage works, or rehabilitation of a site. The cost identified includes the cost of acquiring land with associated administration costs.

#### Project Name: Environmental Act Licence for Brady Landfill

Department:	
Project:	

#### Water and Waste - Solid Waste Disposal

2062xxxxy

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 4

Service: Solid Waste Collection & Disposal

				I	FORECAST	Г		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	400	-	-	-	-			400
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		400						-	400
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		400	-	-	-	-			400
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		400							400
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-	1				

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The City must update the "grandfathered" operating permit for Brady Road Landfill with the required environmental license under current Manitoba Conservation regulations. The City will be required to conduct an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and will also include a public review process as part of obtaining an environmental license.

The expected life of this asset is 100 years.

#### Brady Landfill Staff Building

Department:

Project Name:

Water and Waste - Solid Waste Disposal

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 2

Project:

2062xxxxyy

Service: Solid Waste Collection & Disposal

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year Forecast Total	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015		Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	1,250	100	-	-	-	-			100
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		100						-	100
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		100	-	-	-	-			100
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		100							100
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	1				I
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(dee	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The Brady Road Landfill currently uses a modified 33-year old construction trailer as its site office, lunchroom, and training area. A 36-year old former scale building has been converted for use as a washroom, locker room, and mechanical shop. Given the age and condition of these buildings, and the remaining site life for Brady Road Landfill of 100-150 years, a new staff building is warranted. The cost identified includes a new 380 square meter staff building complete with offices, washrooms, a locker room, training/meeting/visitor reception room, and mechanical shop. The amount shown in 2010 is for escalation since construction will not begin until 2010, after the Brady Landfill licencing process has helped to define the needs.

The expected life of this facility is 30 years.

#### Project Name: Landfill Cell Excavation

Department: Project:

#### Water and Waste - Solid Waste Disposal 2062xxxxyy

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 4

Service: Solid Waste Collection & Disposal

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	2,875	-	-	-	4,500	-	4,500	7,375
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		1,467						-	1,467
External Debt		1,408				4,500		4,500	5,908
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		2,875	-	-	-	4,500	-	-	7,375
Financed by:									
Retained Earnings		1,467							1,467
External Debt		1,408				4,500			5,908
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	1				
Revenue increase/(decrease)		2010	2011	2012					
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(deci Debt and finance charges increase	rease)								

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The remaining capacity at the Brady Road Landfill is approximately 100-150 years. The landfill operation expands laterally by first excavating a below ground cell, and installing a leachate (landfill liquids) collection system at the base of the excavation. Filling with refuse then progresses within the cell in layers until the desired height above ground has been achieved, at which time the next cell is constructed. The excavated material is valuable for covering successive layers of refuse. Cell excavations will be required in 2010 and in 2014. The cost identified includes the cost of excavating and stockpiling excavated soil, and installation of a leachate collection system, including associated engineering.

The expected life of this facility is 50 years.

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

#### Project Name: Brady Landfill Gas Capture Project

Department:	Water and Waste - Solid	Capital Priority Rating:	3 - 4
Project:	Waste Disposal 2062xxxxyy	Service:	Solid Waste Collection & Disposal

					FORECAST	Г	Five-Year	<b>e</b> : <i>V</i>	
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	150	8,900	-	-	-	-			8,900
Financed by:									
Provincial Funding		4,450						-	4,450
External Debt		4,450						-	4,450
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		4,450	4,450	-	-	-			8,900
Financed by:									
Provincial Funding		2,225	2,225						4,450
External Debt		2,225	2,225						4,450
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	]				
Revenue increase/(decrease)					1				
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decre	ease)								

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The City of Winnipeg is committed to reducing greenhouse gases through the Climate Change Action Plan as approved by Council in September 2006. Landfills emit greenhouse gases as a mixture of methane and carbon dioxide called Landfill Gas (LFG). This project will install a LFG recovery and flare system that will reduce up to 97,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent per year from entering the atmosphere. The project includes installing a gas collection system, flare, improved final cover, and maintenance road access. There is also the potential for revenue from the sale of the recovered gas. This project is suitable as a private-public-partnership (P3). A P3 could also include other suitable LFG destruction or offset technologies.

The expected life of this asset is 20 years.

Debt and finance charges increase

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

#### Project Name: Summit Landfill Leachate Collection Assessment

Project:

#### Water and Waste - Solid Waste Disposal

2062xxxxy

Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3

Service: Solid Waste Collection and Disposal

				F	Five-Year				
	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	-	-	200	-	-		- 200	200
Financed by:									
External Debt				200				200	200
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		-	-	200	-	-			200
Financed by:									
External Debt				200					200
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)									
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(decr	ease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

**Note:** Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The existing leachate collection system at the former Summit Road Landfill site is over 20 years old. Similar leachate collection systems have been subjected to clogging problems in the past. It is important to keep this system functioning effectively to be able to keep leachate levels down and contained, therefore an assessment is required to determine the effectiveness of the system at the Summit Road Landfill. The funding proposed for 2012 will involve retaining an engineering consultant to conduct investigations at the site and provide a report on the condition of the leachate collection system and any rehabilitation work required. Funding for any rehabilitation work required as a result of the investigations will be included in future budgets.

#### Project Name: Street Sweepings Recycling Program Implementation

Department:

Water and Waste - Solid Waste Disposal Capital Priority Rating: 3 - 3

Project:

2062xxxxyy

Service: Solid Waste Collection and Disposal

					FORECAS	Г		Five-Year	
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	100	-	-	-	-			100
Financed by:									
Provincial Funding (Environmental Grant)		100						-	100
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Beyond 2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		100	-	-	-	_			100
Financed by:									
Provincial Funding (Environmental G	Grant)	100							100
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012	1				
Revenue increase/(decrease)		2010	2011	2012	-				
Less:	,								
Operating expenditures increase/(de	ecrease)								
Debt and finance charges increase									
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		-	-	-					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

The administration has been directed to investigate the implementation of a program to recycle approximately 65,000 tonnes per year of street sweepings (mostly sand) from annual spring street cleanup. The street sweepings are currently hauled to the landfill for disposal. The funding identified for 2010 is for an engineering investigation and analysis to determine the details, cost and procurement of services for recycling the sweepings. This work is to be financed from Provincial Funding (Environmental Grant) account to be set up with funding from Winnipeg's share of the Provincial Environmental Fee Levy. It is expected that there will be a recovery of this cost from tipping fees generated from street sweepings starting in 2010.

Project Name:

#### **Golf Course Improvements**

Department:Winnipeg Golf ServicesProject:639xxxxx10	Capital Priority Rating: Service:	1-3 Golf Services (SOA)
---	--------------------------------------	----------------------------

	<b>.</b> .			F	ORECAST	•		Five-Year	<b>a</b> : <b>x</b>
AUTHORIZATION	Previous Budgets	2010 Adopted	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Forecast Total	Six-Year Total
Project Costs (\$000's)	-	415	150	150	-	-	-	300	715
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital									
Assets Fund)		115	(23)	(23)	(23)	(23)	(23)	(115)	-
Golf Course Reserve		300	173	173	23	23	23	415	715
								Beyond	
CASH FLOW		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2015	Total
Project Costs (\$000's)		415	150	150	-	-	-	-	715
Financed by:									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital As	sets Fund)	115	(23)	(23)	(23)	(23)	(23)		-
Golf Course Reserve		300	173	173	23	23	23		715
NET OPERATING IMPACT		2010	2011	2012					
Revenue increase/(decrease)		3							
Less:									
Operating expenditures increase/(de	crease)								
Debt and finance charges increase			11	9					
SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)		3	(11)	(9)					

*Note:* Net Operating Impact = Revenue - Operating expenditures - Debt and finance charges

Capital improvements consist of refurbishment and/or replacement of greens, tee boxes and fairways as well as reforestation, equipment purchases and improvements to land drainage, irrigation systems and clubhouse facilities.



The City of Winnipeg

# **SERVICE BASED VIEW**

2010 Capital Budget

and

# 2011 to 2015 Five Year Forecast

Adopted by Council December 15, 2009

# **Table of Contents**

Summary of Capital Program	4-1
Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works	4-2
Protection and Community Services	4-9
Property and Development	4-11
Executive Policy	4-14

2010 ADOPTED CAPITAL BUDGET

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY		ADC	PTED BY C	OUNCIL DE	CEMBER 15	, 2009	
SERVICED BASED VIEW	BUDGET			FORECAST			2010-201
(in Thousands of \$)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
NFRASTRUCTURE RENEWAL AND PUBLIC WORKS							
Roadway Construction and Maintenance	182,865	56,283	61,199	62,425	66,927	64,168	493,8
Transportation Planning and Traffic Management	4,100	3,550	3,650	1,450	1,550	1,650	15,9
Roadway Snow Removal and Ice Control		500					5
City Beautification	2,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	8,8
Land Drainage and Flood Control	12,050	13,300	13,590	14,575	12,648	13,300	79,4
Parks and Urban Forestry	7,481	5,759	6,501	6,294	6,432	6,122	38,5
Public Transit	27,912	28,463	25,022	28,409	29,750	24,318	163,8
Water	20,625	24,800	30,990	26,875	21,410	22,375	147,0
Wastewater	124,675	217,067	222,366	151,575	55,763	40,549	811,9
Solid Waste Collection & Disposal	12,375	400	600	400	4,700		18,4
Total Infrastructure Renewal and Public Works	394,383	351,422	365,218	293,303	200,480	173,782	1,778,5
PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES							
Police Response	10,311	7,693	10,734	9,420	7,149	6,052	51,3
Fire and Rescue Response	2,800	,	2,700	2,300	858	5,000	13,6
Golf Services (SOA)	415	150	150	_,		-,	7
Recreation	5,250	6,350	5,080	5,080	5.360	8,501	35,62
Libraries	500	5,000	3,700	4,700	3,900	3,900	21,70
Arts, Entertainment and Culture	7,972	2,150	2,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	21,1
nsect Control	160			,	,	2,250	2,4
Total Protection and Community Services	27,408	21,343	24,364	24,500	20,267	28,703	146,5
PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT							
Neighbourhood Revitalization	5,374	3,858	3,888	3,919	3,950	4,482	25,4
Heritage Conservation	400	-	200	200	200	200	1,2
Cemeteries	550	300	200	200	200	260	1,7
Property Asset Management - Tax Supported	550	500	600	600	600	600	3,4
Property Asset Management - Civic Accommodations	6,000	1,900	3,024	4,050	3,930	3,600	22,5
Property Asset Management - Facilities Maintenance	225	225	225	225	425	425	1,7
Total Property and Development	13,099	6,783	8,137	9,194	9,305	9,567	56,0
EXECUTIVE POLICY						-	
Organizational Services	2,640	3,584	2,473	1,788	2,407	2,328	15,2
Assessment, Taxation and Corporate	1,000	2,281	6,900	2,200	2,120	4,450	18,9
Council Services	900	500	700	650	700	500	3,9
Fotal Executive Policy	4,540	6,365	10,073	4,638	5,227	7,278	38,1
TOTAL CAPITAL PROGRAM	439,430	385,913	407,792	331,635	235,279	219,330	2,019,3

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			ADO	PTED BY CO	DUNCIL DEC	EMBER 15,	2009	
SERVICED BASED VIEW	PAGE	BUDGET		F	ORECAST			2010-2015
(in Thousands of \$)	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
CAPITAL PROJECTS LISTING								
INFRASTRUCTURE RENEWAL AND PUBLIC WORKS								
Roadway Construction and Maintenance								
Public Works								
Recreational Walkways and Bike Paths - Various Locations	3-1	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	6,000
New Regional Sidewalks	3-2	250	250	250	250	250	250	1,500
Active Transportation Corridors	3-3	500	500	500	500	500	500	3,000
Active Transportation Project - Infrastructure Stimulus Fund	3-4	20,413						20,413
Developer Payback - Various Locations	3-7	250	2,100	9,500				11,850
Kenaston Blvd - Academy Rd to Taylor Ave	3-8		100	100	100	100	100	500
Inkster Blvd - Keewatin Street to Brookside Blvd - First Call on 2010	3-10	15,770						15,770
Capital Budget, \$15.770 million (Council April 29, 2009)								
Regional and Local Streets	3-11	36,578	33,605	26,778	42,433	45,977	39,218	224,589
Sturgeon Road Bridge (Sturgeon Creek)	3-13	1,000	1,033	10,977	· · · ·			13,010
Osborne Street Bridge (Assiniboine River)	3-14	355	6,776	6,294				13,425
Jubilee Avenue Overpass (Pembina Highway)	3-15	7,638						7,638
Waverley Street / CN Mainline Grade Separation	3-16		1,119					1,119
Louise Bridge (Red River)	3-17				2,581		2,000	4,581
Pembina Highway Underpass	3-18		1,300		7,000	6,000		14,300
St. James Street Bridge (Omand's Creek)	3-19			200	1,961			2,161
Ness Avenue Culvert (Sturgeon Creek)	3-20				1,000	2,000	8,000	11,000
Portage Avenue Bridge (Sturgeon Creek)	3-21			500	500	5,000		6,000
Saskatchewan Avenue Bridge (Omand's Creek)	3-22					500	2,000	2,500
Lyndale Drive - Retaining Wall Rehabilitation	3-23					500	3,500	4,000
Osborne Street Underpass	3-24						2,500	2,500
Waterway Crossing and Grade Separations - Annual Program	3-25	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	12,000
Disraeli Bridge and Overpass Facility	3-26	45,711						45,711
Waverley West Arterial Roads Project	3-31	51,300	3,400					54,700
Asset Management System - Various Divisions	3-33	100	100	100	100	100	100	600
Assessment and Taxation								
Local Improvements - Ongoing Program	3-45		3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	15,000
Total Roadway Construction and Maintenance		182,865	56,283	61,199	62,425	66,927	64,168	493,867

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY		ADOPTED BY COUNCIL DECEMBER 15, 2009								
SERVICED BASED VIEW	PAGE	BUDGET			FORECAST			2010-2015		
(in Thousands of \$)	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL		
Transportation Planning and Traffic Management Public Works										
Traffic Signals Enhancement	3-6	2,300	2,300	2,300				6,900		
Traffic Engineering Improvements - Various Locations	3-9	850	950	1,050	1,150	1,250	1,350	6,600		
Land Acquisition - Transportation Right of Way	3-35	300	300	300	300	300	300	1,800		
Winnipeg Transportation Strategy	3-38	650						650		
Total Transportation Planning and Traffic Management		4,100	3,550	3,650	1,450	1,550	1,650	15,950		
Roadway Snow Removal and Ice Control Public Works										
Sand / Salt Storage Facility	3-36		500					500		
Total Roadway Snow Removal and Ice Control			500					500		
City Beautification										
Planning, Property and Development										
Downtown Enhancement Program	3-87	400	400	400	400	400	400	2,400		
Image Route Enhancements / Streetscaping	3-89	400	400	400	400	400	400	2,400		
Public Art Strategy	3-91	500	500	500	500	500	500	3,000		
Transcona Centennial Square	3-95	1,000						1,000		
Total City Beautification		2,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	8,800		

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			ADO	PTED BY C	OUNCIL DEC	CEMBER 15,	2009	
SERVICED BASED VIEW	PAGE	BUDGET			FORECAST			2010-2015
(in Thousands of \$)	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
Land Drainage and Flood Control <u>Public Works</u> Land Drainage Sewers - Regional / Local Streets	3-34	150	150	150	150	150	150	900
<u>Water and Waste</u> Stormwater Retention Basin Rehabilitation	3-153		200		400		400	1,000
Flood Pumping Station Rehabilitation	3-154	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	6,000
Land Drainage and Combined Sewers Outfall Gate Structures	3-155	1,450	1,450	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	8,900
Floodplain Management	3-156	500	100	200		100		900
Seine River Waterway Acquisition	3-157	150	150	150	150	150	150	900
Primary Dike Upgrading	3-158	300		490	500	948	900	3,138
Land Drainage Safety Upgrading Program	3-159	200		100		100		400
Land Drainage Asset Management Strategy	3-160		200					200
Land Drainage Utility Study and Implementation	3-161		500					500
Permanent Flood Pumping Stations	3-162	200		250				450
Combined Sewer Flood Relief	3-163	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200	43,200
Flood Relief Sewers - Separate	3-164	300	300	300	300	300	300	1,800
Development Agreement Paybacks	3-165		500	500	500		500	2,000
Fernbank Avenue Land Drainage Sewer	3-166				875			875
Outfall Rehabilitation	3-167	600	1,550	1,750	2,000	1,200	1,200	8,300
Total Land Drainage and Flood Control		12,050	13,300	13,590	14,575	12,648	13,300	79,463

SERVICE BASED VIEW

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY		ADOPTED BY COUNCIL DECEMBER 15, 2009								
SERVICED BASED VIEW	PAGE NO.	BUDGET		2010-2015						
(in Thousands of \$)		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL		
Parks and Urban Forestry										
Public Works										
Reforestation - Improvements	3-39	383	383	433	383	383	383	2,348		
Athletic Fields - Improvements	3-40	200	200	200	200	200	200	1,200		
Parks - Improvements	3-40	808	200	617	677	653	655	3,672		
Playground Structures	3-42	1,800	1,750	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	10,750		
Pathway / Roadway / Tennis Court Upgrading	3-42	1,000	1,750	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	600		
Community Park Amenities - First Call on 2010 Capital Budget -	3-44	1,475	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	8,975		
Chochinov Park, \$40,000 (Council June 24, 2009)	0 44	1,475	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	0,575		
Planning, Property and Development										
Riverbank Greenway Programs	3-85	385	403	551	381	448	434	2,602		
Developer Payback	3-93	280	261	250	203	298		1,292		
Central Park Redevelopment	3-94	400						400		
Riverbank Stabilization - Physical Asset Protection	3-97	1,500	1,000	1.000	1,000	1,000	1,000	6,500		
		,	,	,	,	,		-,		
City Clerks										
Variety Heritage Adventure Park	3-134	250						250		
Total Parks and Urban Forestry		7,481	5,759	6,501	6,294	6,432	6,122	38,589		
Public Transit										
Transit										
Transit Security Enhancements	3-148	250						250		
Fare Collection System	3-148	7,870	2,370					10,240		
Innovative Transit Program	3-149	800	800	800	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,400		
Transit Building Replacement / Refurbishment	3-151	5,880	7,293	9.722	10,824	11,850	4,771	50,340		
Transit Buses	3-152	13,112	18,000	14,500	16,585	16,900	18,547	97,644		
	J=1JZ	10,112	-	14,000	10,000		·			
Total Public Transit		27,912	28,463	25,022	28,409	29,750	24,318	163,874		

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY		ADOPTED BY COUNCIL DECEMBER 15, 2009								
SERVICED BASED VIEW	PAGE	BUDGET		F	ORECAST			2010-2015		
(in Thousands of \$)	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL		
Water										
Water and Waste - Waterworks System										
Saskatchewan Avenue Feedermain	3-168				3,400			3,400		
Water Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) Upgrade	3-169		1,800	2,900				4,700		
Shoal Lake Aqueduct Intake Facility Assessment and Rehabilitation	3-170	1,700						1,700		
Shoal Lake Intake Chloramination Facility	3-171			2,000				2,000		
General Water Infrastructure Rehabilitation	3-172		300	300	300	500	500	1,900		
Waverley West Feedermain	3-173			7,000				7,000		
Feedermain Condition Assessment and Rehabilitation	3-174			500	500	1,000	1,000	3,000		
Water Utility Asset Management Strategy	3-175		200					200		
Water Supply Valve Installation / Replacement Program	3-176		750	750	750	750	750	3,750		
Hurst Pumping Station Building - Structural Repairs	3-177	200	900					1,100		
Chlorination Decommission at Regional Pump Stations	3-178			115				115		
Safety Upgrades - Isolation Valves at Valve and Meter Pits	3-179	300						300		
Deacon Chemical Feed Facility Upgrading	3-180	400	1,000					1,400		
Regional Pumping Stations Structural Upgrades	3-181		500					500		
Wilkes Reservoir "B" Pit Upgrades/Rehabilitation	3-182		600					600		
Asbestos Containing Materials Operations & Maintenance Program	3-183		125	125	125		125	500		
Branch I Aqueduct at Seine River - Riverbank Stabilization	3-184	1,000						1,000		
Regional Pumping Stations Reliability Upgrades	3-185		1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000		4,000		
Waterworks System Security Upgrades	3-186	500	500	500	500	500	500	3,000		
Regulatory Assessment of Water System Infrastructure and Water Supply Sources	3-187					910		910		
Customer Information and Services System (CISS) Project - Phase 2	3-188	1,025						1,025		
Cost of Service Rates Study	3-189	1,023						1,023		
Safety Upgrades - Regional Reservoir Access	3-189	700						700		
Synergen/GIS Interface	3-190	150						150		
552 Plinguet - Water Services Building Roof Rehabilitation	3-191	300						300		
Aqueduct Bridging Structure at Deacon	3-192	100	600					700		
Civil Maintenance Shop and Storage Building at Deacon	3-194	500						500		
Deacon Reservoir Box Culvert Rehabilitation and Embankment	3-195	800	1,200					2,000		
Repairs	0.00		.,200					2,000		
Deacon Booster Pumping Station Suction Header Divider Valve	3-196	100	1,200					1,300		

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			ADO	PTED BY CO	UNCIL DEC	EMBER 15,	, 2009						
SERVICED BASED VIEW	PAGE	BUDGET	UDGET FORECAST										
(in Thousands of \$)	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL					
Water (continued)													
GIS Database Upgrades and Continuous Development	3-197	100						100					
Foundation Drainage Improvements for Deacon Booster Pumping Station	3-198		250					250					
Water Works By-law 504/73 Revision	3-199		175					175					
Deacon Site Flood Protection	3-200			500				500					
Tache Booster Pumping Station	3-201				5,300			5,300					
Water Treatment Research and Process Optimization Facility	3-202					750		750					
Branch Aqueduct Condition Assessment and Rehabilitation	3-203						1,000	1,000					
Water Services Division Operations Accreditation	3-204						500	500					
Watermain Renewals	3-205	12,000	13,000	14,000	15,000	16,000	17,000	87,000					
Shoal Lake Aqueduct Asset Preservation	3-206	600	700	1,300		·	1,000	3,600					
Total Water		20,625	24,800	30,990	26,875	21,410	22,375	147,075					
Wastewater													
Water and Waste - Sewage Disposal	0.007												
Lift Stations Upgrading	3-207	750	1,750	1,750	750	750	750	6,500					
Inflow / Infiltration / Cross-Connection Study and Mitigation	3-208		500	1 000	4	2,000		2,000					
Sewer System Isolation in Areas Protected by Secondary Dikes	3-209	0.550	500	1,000	1,000			2,500					
Raw Sewage Pump Replacement - NEWPCC	3-210	2,550	5,450					8,000					
Upgrading External Power Supply - NEWPCC	3-211	0.050	0.000	6,000				6,000					
Hauled Liquid Waste Acceptance Facility - Wastewater Pollution Control Centres	3-212	2,050	2,800					4,850					
Re-Roofing at Water Pollution Control Centres	3-213		400		400		400	1,200					
Centrifuge Replacement - NEWPCC	3-214			9,500				9,500					
River Crossings Monitoring and Rehabilitation	3-215	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	7,800					
Asbestos Containing Materials Operations & Maintenance Program	3-216	200	125	125				450					
Digester Area Upgrades - NEWPCC	3-217	900						900					
Water Pollution Control Centre Reliability Upgrades	3-218		2,500	2,500	5,000	5,000	5,000	20,000					
HVAC Replacement - WEWPCC	3-219	6,000	6,320	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Ť			12,320					
Traffic Safety Upgrades - NEWPCC	3-220				2,450			2,450					
Holding Tanks Coating - NEWPCC	3-221				2,550			2,550					
Primary Clarifier Covers - NEWPCC	3-222				25,500			25,500					
Wastewater Utility Asset Management Strategy	3-223	200	200		·	Ī		400					

4-7

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY		ADOPTED BY COUNCIL DECEMBER 15, 2009						
SERVICED BASED VIEW	PAGE	BUDGET	BUDGET FORECAST					2010-2015
(in Thousands of \$)	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
Wastewater (continued)								
Customer Information and Services System (CISS) Project - Phase 2	3-224	1,025						1,025
Cost of Service Rates Study	3-225	150						150
New Discharge Chamber - NEWPCC	3-226				5,000	10,000		15,000
New Surgewell - NEWPCC	3-227		4,000	9,000	,	,		13,000
Grit Handling Upgrades - NEWPCC	3-228		2,400	4,900	6,600			13,900
Synergen/GIS Interface	3-229	150						150
GIS Database Upgrades and Continuous Development	3-230	100						100
Main Pump Well Overhead Crane Replacement - NEWPCC	3-231	400						400
Raw Sewage Pump - WEWPCC	3-232	3,000						3,000
Cockburn & Jesse Lift Stations Upgrades to Facilitate Fort Rouge Yards Development	3-233		2,000	1,000				3,000
Variable Frequency Drives (VFDs) for Process Blowers - WEWPCC	3-234	200						200
Environmental Impact Statement and Public Hearings	3-235	100	200	200	100	100	100	800
Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) Management Strategy & Miscellaneous Mitigation	3-236	8,000	9,000	9,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	56,000
Biosolids - Alternative Disposal Delivery & Management System	3-237		14,922	12,191	11,325	11,313	6,899	56,650
Nutrient Removal / Expansion - SEWPCC	3-238	64,000						64,000
Nutrient Removal - NEWPCC	3-240	21,000	150,000	150,000	65,000			386,000
Sewer Renewal	3-241	12,600	13,200	13,900	14,600	15,300	16,100	85,700
Total Wastewater		124,675	217,067	222,366	151,575	55,763	40,549	811,995
Solid Waste Collection & Disposal								
Water and Waste - Solid Waste Disposal								
Summit Landfill Closure / Post-Closure	3-242		400	400	400			1,200
Miscellaneous Land Acquisition	3-243					200		200
Environmental Act Licence for Brady Landfill	3-244	400						400
Brady Landfill Staff Building	3-245	100						100
Landfill Cell Excavation	3-246	2,875				4,500		7,375
Brady Landfill Gas Capture Project	3-247	8,900						8,900
Summit Landfill Leachate Collection Assessment	3-248			200				200
Street Sweepings Recycling Program Implementation	3-249	100						100
Total Solid Waste Collection & Disposal		12,375	400	600	400	4,700		18,475
TOTAL INFRASTRUCTURE RENEWAL AND PUBLIC WORKS		394,383	351,422	365,218	293,303	200,480	173,782	1,778,588

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			2009					
SERVICED BASED VIEW	PAGE	BUDGET	FORECAST					2010-2015
(in Thousands of \$)	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES								
Police Response								
Winnipeg Police Service								
Digital Recording - Interview Rooms	3-65			523				523
Police Headquarters	3-66	5,640		7,611	8,220	6,556		28,027
Winnipeg Police Service Communications	3-67		7.193	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,	-,		7,193
Wireless Emergency 911 Upgrade	3-68	260	.,					260
Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) Replacement	3-69		500					500
Police Priority Dispatch System	3-70	427						427
Information Systems - Unified Management, Storage, and Archiving	3-71					593		593
System								
Mobile Laptops	3-72				1,200			1,200
Officer Mobile Video System	3-73						1,000	1,000
Furniture	3-74						566	566
Radio Upgrade for Districts 3 and 4	3-75						500	500
Computer Upgrades - Data Integrity and Protection	3-76	492						492
Computer Assisted Dispatch	3-77						1,000	1,000
Crime Analysis System/Child Exploitation Software/Crime Tracking System	3-78						1,460	1,460
Long Term Computer Upgrades	3-79						1,526	1,526
Aerial Support Unit	3-80	3,492						3,492
Police Building Replacement	3-81			2,600				2,600
Total Police Response		10,311	7,693	10,734	9,420	7,149	6,052	51,359
Fire and Rescue Response								
Fire Paramedic Service								
Facilities Replacement and Relocation Program	3-82	2,800		2,700	2,300	858	3,000	11,658
Replacement Radio System	3-83	,		,	,		2,000	2,000
Total Fire and Rescue Response		2,800		2,700	2,300	858	5,000	13,658

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			ADO	PTED BY CO	DUNCIL DEC	EMBER 15,	2009	
SERVICED BASED VIEW	PAGE	BUDGET		F	ORECAST			2010-2015
(in Thousands of \$)	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
Recreation								
Community Services Department								
Imaging and Document Management	3-53		250	250				500
Recreational Facility Safety & Accessibility Improvements	3-55	300		400	400	500	500	2,100
Recreation Equipment Program - New Facilities	3-57						600	600
Business Application Systems	3-58				250			250
Technology Upgrade and Replacement	3-59					250		250
Recreation Facility Replacement - Various	3-61	500	1,750				2,791	5,041
Building Services								
Community Centres - Refurbishing and Improvements	3-118	500	500	500	500	500	500	3,000
Arenas	3-119	525	525	525	525	525	525	3,150
Indoor Aquatic Facilities	3-120	650	2,450	2,530	2,530	2,530	2,530	13,220
Outdoor Aquatic Facilities	3-121	350	350	350	350	330	330	2,060
Community Facilities	3-122	200	200	200	200	200	200	1,200
Fire / Life Safety Replacement / Asbestos Abatement	3-123	225	225	225	225	225	225	1,350
UFF - Hockey Pen Light Standard Replacement	3-126	100	100	100	100	300	300	1,000
Pan Am Pool Building Envelope	3-127	1,000						1,000
North End Centennial Pool Boiler/Chimney Replacement	3-128	800						800
Recreation and Leisure Facilities	3-129	100						100
Total Recreation		5,250	6,350	5,080	5,080	5,360	8,501	35,621
Golf Services (SOA)								
Winnipeg Golf Services								
Golf Course Improvements	3-250	415	150	150				715
Total Golf Services (SOA)		415	150	150				715
Libraries								
Community Services Department								
Library Replacement - Various	3-47		5,000	3,000	3,000	2,000	3,000	16,000
Library Shelving and Furniture Replacement Program	3-48		0,000	200	200	2,000	200	800
Renovate and Refurbish Various Library Branches	3-52	300		300	1,000	1,000	200	2,600
Library Technology Upgrade and Replacement	3-54	200			.,000	500	500	1,200
Library Signage, Safety and Accessibility	3-56	200		200	200	200	200	800
Mobile Library Service Vehicle	3-60			200	300	200	200	300
Total Libraries		500	5.000	3,700	4,700	3,900	3,900	21,700

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			2009					
SERVICED BASED VIEW	PAGE	BUDGET		F	ORECAST			2010-2015 TOTAL
(in Thousands of \$)	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	
Arts, Entertainment and Culture <u>Community Services Department</u> Assiniboine Park Conservancy - Building Repairs and Upgrades Plan	3-63	5,123	2,000	2,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	18,123
(formerly Assiniboine Park Amenities Improvements / Development) Assiniboine Park Conservancy - Development Plan	3-64	2,849						2,849
<u>City Clerks</u> Manitoba Children's Museum Capital Renewal Project Le Cercle Molière Theatre Facility	3-135 3-136		<u>100</u> 50					<u>100</u> 50
Total Arts, Entertainment and Culture		7,972	2,150	2,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	21,122
Insect Control Community Services Department Vehicle Guidance System & Office "Anti-Pesticide Registration" Management Software Package	3-50	160						160
Insect Control - Replace 3 Grey Street Operations Building	3-51						1,000	1,000
Insect Control - Information System	3-62						1,250	1,250
Total Insect Control		160					2,250	2,410
TOTAL PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES		27,408	21,343	24,364	24,500	20,267	28,703	146,585
PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT Neighbourhood Revitalization Public Works City-Wide Accessibility Program	3-37	350	350	350	350	350	350	2,100
<i>Community Services Department</i> Community Incentive Grant Program Integrated Property Based Information Systems	<u>3-46</u> 3-49	2,774 250	1,508	1,538	1,569	1,600	1,632	<u>10,621</u> 250
<i>Planning, Property and Development</i> Building Communities Initiative II	3-99	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,500	12,500
Total Neighourhood Revitalization		5,374	3,858	3,888	3,919	3,950	4,482	25,471

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY		ADOPTED BY COUNCIL DECEMBER 15, 2009						
SERVICED BASED VIEW	PAGE	BUDGET			FORECAST			2010-2015
(in Thousands of \$)	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
Heritage Conservation								
Civic Accommodations								
Historic Building Stabilization	3-108	400		200	200	200	200	1,200
Total Heritage Conservation		400		200	200	200	200	1,200
Cemeteries								
Planning, Property and Development								
Cemeteries - Improvements	3-86	550	300	200	200	200	260	1,710
Total Cemeteries		550	300	200	200	200	260	1,710
Property Asset Management - Tax Supported								
Planning, Property and Development								
Computer Automation	3-84	350	200	200	200	200	200	1,350
Land Acquisition for General Unspecified Purposes	3-92	200	300	400	400	400	400	2,100
Total Property Asset Management - Tax Supported		550	500	600	600	600	600	3,450

SERVICE BASED VIEW

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY								
SERVICED BASED VIEW	PAGE	BUDGET		F	ORECAST			2010-2015 TOTAL
(in Thousands of \$)	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	
Property Asset Management - Civic Accommodations								
Civic Accommodations								
General Building Renovation and Refurbishing	3-100	500	500	500	500	500	500	3,000
Civic Buildings - Barrier Free Access	3-101		300	300		230	100	93
Removal of Asbestos	3-102	300	100	124	100	500	200	1,324
Roof Replacement	3-103	800	200	200	250	400	400	2,250
Fire Safety Upgrading - Various Locations	3-104	500	200	200	200	400	300	1,800
Energy Conservation	3-105	300		200		200	100	800
Civic Centre Car Park Tunnel	3-106			200				200
Tenant Improvement Prepayment - Major Department	3-107			500		500	200	1,200
Major Building Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)	3-109	900		200	500	500	500	2,600
Upgrades								-
Building Envelope - Evaluation and Emergency Repair	3-110			200		200	300	700
Elevator and Escalator Repair	3-111	800	400	200	300	300	400	2,400
510 Main Street - Generator Set Replacement	3-112				1,600			1,600
Surface Parking Lot Repairs	3-113	200	200	200	200	200	200	1,200
Generator Set Replacement and Upgrades	3-114				200		200	400
Electrical Distribution System Upgrades	3-115				200		200	400
510 Main Street - Accessibility Upgrade	3-116	1,000						1,000
Campus Area Security Upgrades	3-117	700						700
Total Property Asset Management - Civic Accommodations		6,000	1,900	3,024	4,050	3,930	3,600	22,504
Property Asset Management - Facilities Maintenance								
Building Services								
Security / Building Automation Systems Initiatives	3-124	125	125	125	125	225	225	95
Building Asset / Work Management Program	3-125	100	100	100	100	200	200	80
Total Property Asset Management - Facilities Maintenance		225	225	225	225	425	425	1,75
TOTAL PROPERTY AND DEVELOPMENT		13.099	6,783	8,137	9,194	9,305	9.567	56,08

CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY			ADO	PTED BY C		CEMBER 15,	2009	
SERVICED BASED VIEW	PAGE	BUDGET			FORECAST			2010-2015
(in Thousands of \$)	NO.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	TOTAL
EXECUTIVE POLICY								
Organizational Services								
Corporate Support Services								
Server Consolidation	3-137	833						833
Time and Labour	3-138	250						250
Consolidated Data Warehouse / Business Intelligence	3-139	795	925		169	203	218	2,310
E-Government	3-140		310	617	629	705	603	2,864
Communications Network Infrastructure	3-141	553	638	643	277	641	566	3,318
Network Computing Initiatives	3-142	209	211	213	213	212	212	1,270
PeopleSoft Upgrade	3-143		1,500	1,000	200			2,700
311 Renewal	3-144				300	646	729	1,675
Total Organizational Services		2,640	3,584	2,473	1,788	2,407	2,328	15,220
Assessment, Taxation and Corporate								
Assessment and Taxation								
Assessment Automation	3-145	1,000		500			1,000	2,500
Corporate								
Capital Investment Fund	3-147		2,281	6,400	2,200	2,120	3,450	16,451
Total Assessment, Taxation and Corporate		1,000	2,281	6,900	2,200	2,120	4,450	18,951
Council Services								
City Clerks								
Corporate Records Centre	3-130			200				200
Election Systems	3-131	150		-	150	200		500
Voting Machine Replacement	3-132	250						250
City Archives	3-133	500	500	500	500	500	500	3,000
Total Council Services		900	500	700	650	700	500	3,950
TOTAL EXECUTIVE POLICY		4,540	6,365	10,073	4,638	5,227	7,278	38,121
TOTAL CAPITAL PROGRAM - SERVICE BASED VIEW		439,430	385,913	407,792	331,635	235,279	219,330	2,019,379



The City of Winnipeg

# **Appendices**

# 2010 Adopted Capital Budget

# and

# 2011 to 2015 Five Year Forecast

Adopted by Council December 15, 2009

## **Table of Contents**

Appendix 1:	City of Winnipeg Profile
Appendix 2:	Cost Estimation Classification System 5-9
Appendix 3:	Capital Priority Rating System
Appendix 4:	Public Private Partnership Payments 5-13
Appendix 5:	Historical Analysis of Borrowing Authority, Financing Sources, Application of Funds 5-14
Appendix 6:	Statement of Current and Authorized Debenture Debt 5-18

## **Appendix 1: City of Winnipeg Profile**

#### Location

Located at the geographic centre of North America, Winnipeg borders the provinces of Ontario to the east, Saskatchewan to the west, Nunavut to the North, and the U.S. states of Minnesota and North Dakota to the south.

Its geographic location places the city on the eastern edge of the Canadian prairies and the northern extension of North America's Great Plains region. The surrounding landscape is characterized by agricultural land utilized for grain production and livestock. In addition, the area includes forests, marsh landscapes, and various lakes and rivers including Lake Winnipeg, the third largest lake in Canada and 13th in the world.

Its central location makes Winnipeg easily accessible by air, road, and railway. Via major airlines, Winnipeg is only 2 hours from the cities of Calgary, Edmonton, Minneapolis, Chicago, and Denver. For those traveling by road east/west, Winnipeg is conveniently located on Canada's Trans Canada Highway and Yellowhead Route. For those traveling to and from the United States, Winnipeg is only 97 km (60 miles) north of the Canada-U.S. border and connects directly with Interstate 29. Winnipeg is also accessible by railway as a destination along the "Canadian" route operated by VIA Rail Canada.

Winnipeg's longitude and latitude coordinates are: 49 degrees 53 minutes 4 seconds north and 97 degrees 8 minutes 47 seconds west.

#### Time Zone

Winnipeg's central location on the continent places it within North America's Central Time Zone. The difference to Eastern Time and Mountain Time zones is only one hour. There is a two hour difference between Winnipeg and Atlantic or Pacific Time zones. Manitoba observes Central Standard Time and Daylight Saving Time. Daylight Saving Time begins at 2:00 a.m. local time on the second Sunday in March when clocks move ahead one hour. At 2:00 a.m. on the first Sunday in November, Manitoba clocks are turned back one hour and return to Standard Time.

### Climate

Winnipeg experiences a continental climate characterized by four distinct seasons creating a variety of weather conditions throughout the year. Average temperatures range between -12 degrees Celsius (+10.4 degrees Fahrenheit) in the winter months to +26 degrees Celsius (+78.8 degrees Fahrenheit) in the summertime. Winnipeg receives over 2,300 hours of sunlight annually. Due to its northern location, Winnipeg residents enjoy up to 16 hours of sunlight daily during the summer months. Winnipeg averages approximately 51.4 centimetres (20.2 inches) of precipitation per year.

Source: Economic Development Winnipeg website http://www.destinationwinnipeg.ca/plan-your-visit/when-to-come/tell-me-about-winnipeg


#### WINNIPEG AND ITS ECONOMY (Excerpted from the 2009 City of Winnipeg Annual Financial Report)

In the heart of the continent and geographic centre of Canada, Winnipeg is home to a vibrant, diverse economy and population. As the economic engine and capital city of Manitoba, Winnipeg has a population of 675,100, is home to 55% of Manitoba residents, and is the seventh-largest city municipality in Canada.

Described as the "cultural cradle of Canada," Winnipeg offers a variety of arts, culture, sports, recreation and entertainment to satisfy every taste. Winnipeg celebrates a rich colourful history through many historic sites, exhibits, attractions and yearly festivals.

Winnipeg is characterized as having one of the most diverse economies in Canada, which allows the City to experience consistent and steady growth, in the face of unexpected economic downturns. In comparing the economic diversities of the largest cities in the country, the Conference Board of Canada rates Winnipeg's index at 0.91 out of 1.00, with the average of major cities at 0.82. The year 2009 saw the economy across North America take a hard hit, yet despite a 2.5 per cent decline to the national economy, Winnipeg weathered the downturn well, experiencing only a 0.5 percent decline.

Winnipeg is a city that is vibrant and friendly, proud of its rich history and heritage, where people from around the world unite to live, work and play together. An ideal place for people of all ages and nationalities, the City offers affordable housing, an abundance of office and industrial space, low unemployment rates, manageable traffic volumes and a diverse economy.



#### RECENT IMMIGRATION TO WINNIPEG

Source: Citizen and Immigration Canada, Immigration Overview: Facts and Figures 2008

2006 Ininigration							
Top 7 City Regions							
Toronto	86,929						
Montreal	38,884						
Vancouver	37,375						
Calgary	13,034						
Winnipeg	8,114						
Edmonton	7,507						
Ottawa	6,285						
80%	198,128						
Total Canada	247,243						

2008 Immigration

To Winni	peg
Philippines	2,511
In d ia	1,123
China	688
Israel	416
Korea	379
Ethiopia	238
Germany	194
U.S.A.	165
Nigeria	156
Sudan	140

Source: Manitoba Immigration Facts 2008

Source: Citizen and Immigration Canada, Immigration Overview: Facts and Figures 2008

#### **Population Growth**

Over the last decade (1999 – 2009), Winnipeg's population, which presently stands at 675,100, has grown by 44,000 or seven per cent.

Winnipeg continues to experience modest population growth relative to other large Canadian cities. The population growth is largely due to immigration. In 2008, total provincial immigration reached just over 8,100 people. Historically, Winnipeg is destination to about 75 per cent of immigrants to Manitoba.

#### Housing Demand Rise Forecasted

Winnipeg's housing starts moderated in 2009 to 1,811 units; however, they are expected to pick up in 2010 and then reach 3,000 units by 2012. A population increase over the next 22 years is forecasted at approximately 177,000 people, and as such the demand for housing is anticipated to continue. Over the last decade, since 2000, Winnipeg's average resale house price has increased 133%. This means the average house price has more than doubled in value over the last ten years.


Property taxes remain in the low range compared to other Canadian cities.

Winnipeg's property tax ranking out of 14 large cities for the average house:

- 3<sup>rd</sup> lowest for Municipal property taxes only
- 3<sup>rd</sup> lowest for total property tax bill and utilities\*

\*Total owner costs include municipal taxes, school taxes, municipality-charged utilities, and other utilities.

Source: City of Edmonton 2009 residential property taxes and utility charges survey



#### CONSTRUCTION OF DWELLING UNITS IN WINNIPEG

Source: City of Winnipeg, Planning, Property and Development Department

#### **Economic Indicators**

Winnipeg's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in 2009: \$25.0 billion (in 2002 dollars).

The Winnipeg region has 55 per cent of Manitoba's population and generates 64 per cent of the provinces total economic output.

#### Building permit values in 2009: \$1.111 billion Labour Force: 418,000

City of Winnipeg										
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009					
Residential	\$337	\$445	\$449	\$511	\$414					
Non-Residential	\$319	\$404	\$394	\$542	\$697					
Total	\$656	\$849	\$843	\$1,053	\$1,111					

# Value of Building permits (\$ millions)

Source: City of Winnipeg, Planning, Property and Development Department

#### **Major Economic Strengths**

#### **Gross Domestic Product**

Winnipeg's 0.5 per cent GDP shrinkage in 2009 was first in 18 years, but remained less than Canada's. A stabilizing manufacturing sector and an improved outlook for most industries suggests a healthy 2.2 per cent GDP advance in 2010. Winnipeg is expected to bounce back with a predicted 2.8 percent gain between 2010 and 2013.

#### Labour Market

Winnipeg's labour force grew by one per cent in 2009 and the employment rate remained stable. The City's average unemployment rate surpassed that of the national average at 5.4 per cent. Job growth is expected to remain modest in 2010, but even slight employment increases will fuel persistent population growth and increase in housing starts.

Winnipeg's mature and stable economy will serve as a foundation for steady population growth over the medium term (2009-11).

In the long term, Winnipeg's labour force is expected to undergo major changes, partly due to the aging population. Fortunately, the Conference Board of Canada forecasts Winnipeg will experience increasing immigration – reaching nearly 11,000 immigrants annually by 2020. Thanks to the Province of Manitoba's successful immigration policy over the past decade, immigration is expected to continue over the long term, which will help feed and support the city's labour force growth.

While Winnipeg's manufacturing sector is recovering from 11.4 per cent output drop due to economic slowdown and the US/Canadian currencies being at or near par 2009. Local manufacturing output growth is pegged at 2.5 per cent in 2010 and is forecast to rise to 3.4 per cent annually from 2012 to 2014. Overall, the industry is expected to keep generating high-paying quality jobs and stabilize over the next few years.

#### **Investment and Major Construction Projects**

On the heels of remarkable double-digit growth in three out of the past four years, Winnipeg's construction sector is expected to decrease slightly over the next two years. While the forecast predicts a slight dip of 2.2 per cent in 2010 and 0.50 per cent in 2011, growth is expected to rebound again in 2012 and average 3.7 per cent in 2012 to 2014.


Construction and land assembly are in progress for the \$138 million first phase of the **Southwest Rapid Transit Corridor**, connecting the downtown to the University of Manitoba. **Road upgrades in Waverley West and the expanding Winnipeg Active Transportation network** are featured in the upcoming year. Longer term projects include the \$195 million **Disraeli Bridge Project** which will see entirely new bridges built with construction beginning in 2011. The existing bridge piers will be transformed into a separate Active Transportation bridge alongside the new freeway. Construction of a new \$137.5 million football stadium to be located at the University of Manitoba will also get underway in the coming year.

Source: Conference Board of Canada, Metropolitan Outlook – Spring 2010


# **City of Winnipeg Council**



Mayor Sam Katz



## **City of Winnipeg Organization**



APPENDIX "A" to By-law No. 7100/97

\* CFO, City Auditor and City Clerk have statutory reporting relationship to City Council

# Appendix 2: Cost Estimation Classification System

Class 5	Typical estimate methodology is judgment and not predicted precisely. (Concept Screening)							
Level of Project Definition	0 to 2%	Expected Accuracy	High: +30% to +100% Low: -20% to -50%					


Class 4	Typical estimate methodology primarily judgment. (Concept Study or Feasibility)							
Level of Project Definition	1 to 15%	Expected Accuracy	High: +20% to +50% Low: -15% to -30%					

Class 3	Typical estimate methodology is a mixture of deterministic and judgment but primarily judgmental. (Budget Authorization or Control)						
Level of Project Definition	10 to 40%	Expected Accuracy	High: +10% to +30% Low: -10% to -20%				

Class 2	Typical estimate methodology is primarily deterministic. (Control or bid/tender)								
Level of Project Definition	30 to 70%	Expected Accuracy	High: +5% to +20% Low: -5% to -15%						

Class 1	Typical estimate methodology is deterministic. (Check Estimate or bid / tender)						
Level of Project Definition	50 to 100%	Expected Accuracy	High: +3% to +15% Low: -3% to -10%				

Based on Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineering (AACE) International Recommended Practice No. 17R97 Cost Estimating Classification System



# **Appendix 3: Capital Priority Rating System**

The purpose of the Priority System is to provide a set of criteria by which proposed spending programs can be ranked in order of priority on a City-wide basis over the whole range of City functions and activities.

The System recognizes two basic factors, namely, priority of function (priority grouping) and degree of priority (sub-group rating).

		Priority I	Points
Priority	Groupings	Main	Sub
А.	<u>Vital Services</u> Those basic elements of essential services which are vital to the day to day domestic, industrial, and commercial life of the City. Capital expenditures within this group would be related to:	5	
	<ol> <li>Sewage Disposal Collection System and treatment facilities.</li> <li>Water aqueduct reservoirs, pumping stations and trunk feedermains</li> </ol>		
В.	<b>Essential Services</b> Those basic services characterized by a high degree of public necessity, convenience and safety. Capital expenditures within this group would be related to:	3	
	<ol> <li>Public Transportation</li> <li>Fire and Police Protection</li> <li>Garbage Collection and Disposal</li> <li>Regional Street System</li> <li>Land Drainage</li> </ol>		
C.	<b>Standard Services</b> Those services which would reasonably be expected to be provided to all citizens of the City for their convenience and in accordance with generally accepted standards. Capital expenditures within this group would be related to:	2	
	<ol> <li>Residential Street and Lane Construction (Local Improvements or other).</li> </ol>		

	<b>Priority</b>	Points	
Priority	Groupings	Main	Sub
D.	<b>Desirable Services</b> Those services having an aesthetic social, cultural, educational or entertainment value. Capital expenditures within this group would be related to:	1	
	<ol> <li>Parks and Related Facilities</li> <li>Recreational Facilities</li> <li>Libraries</li> <li>Museums</li> </ol>		
	<b>Sub-Group Rating</b> Could cause a serious imminent disruption of service (should be done without undue delay).		4
	Would extend or complete the expected usefulness and/or reliability of a facility (should not be delayed for more than 1 year).		3
	Would improve a facility which is at present sub-standard or approaching a high degree of obsolescence (should not be delayed for more than 2 years)		2
	Would qualify as a minimum sustaining program - desirable but not absolutely essential (could be delayed for 3 years or more).		1
Note:	A bonus of up to 2 points may be added to any of the subcategories 1 to 4 for projects that clearly contribute specifically to the City's		

declared development objectives.

# Appendix 4: Public Private Partnership Payments as a Percentage of Cash to Capital/Gas Tax

(In thousands of dollars)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Public Private Partnership Payments:						
1 Charleswood Bridge	1,478	1,478	1,478	1,478	1,478	1,478
2 Police Building Replacement	891	891	2,067	3,374	3,374	3,374
3 Disraeli Bridge and Overpass Facility	-	19,800	19,800	19,800	19,800	19,800
4 Chief Peguis Trail - Henderson to Lagimodiere	-	5,415	5,415	5,415	5,415	5,415
Total	2,369	27,584	28,760	30,067	30,067	30,067
Less Manitoba Winnipeg Infrastructure Fund (2010 - 2019)	-	-	(6,100)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)
Net Public Private Partnership Payments	2,369	27,584	22,660	25,067	25,067	25,067
Financing Sources:						
1 Cash to Capital	65,478	67,478	69,478	71,478	73,478	75,478
2 Federal Gas Tax	40,450	40,450	40,450	40,450	40,450	40,450
Total Cash to Capital and Gas Tax:	105,928	107,928	109,928	111,928	113,928	115,928
Payments as a Percentage of Cash to Capital and Gas Tax	2.24%	25.56%	20.61%	22.40%	22.00%	21.62%

Notes:

- 1 Public Private Partnership Payments include Annual Service/Lease/External debt and financing charges.
- 2 The Province of Manitoba recently announced an additional \$53.3 million over a ten-year period for roads funding to the City of Winnipeg, amending its contribution to the City under the Manitoba-Winnipeg Infrastructure Fund.
- 3 This analysis excludes amounts in the Capital Investment Fund.
- 4 Estimated amounts for planned Public Private Partnerships are subject to change based on the final procurement process.

# Appendix 5: Historical Analysis of BorrowingAuthority, Financing Sources,Application of Funds

#### \_

#### FINANCING SOURCES

Capital expenditures are funded from many sources besides debt. The following is a breakdown by the major categories for adopted capital budgets for 2005 to 2010.

			Adopted Ca	pital Budge	ts	
	<u>2005<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>2006<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>2007<sup>3</sup></u>	<u>2008</u> <sup>4</sup>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>
CAPITAL AUTHORIZATIONS						
General (including Transit and Civic Accommodations).	\$ 118,555	\$ 161,185	\$ 285,113	\$ 300,658	\$ 320,183	\$ 281,340
Local Improvements.	2,393	5,729	2,569	3,794	9,777	-
Utilities.	177,520	140,669	139,641	116,647	146,529	157,675
Special Operating Agencies.		-	-	-	-	415
TOTAL CAPITAL PROGRAM	298,468	307,583	427,323	421,099	476,489	439,430
LESS AVAILABLE FINANCING						
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund).	56,000	56,000	59,478	61,078	63,478	65,478
Reserves / Other City Funding.	102,887	36,383	59,384	51,542	49,481	43,192
Retained Earnings.	43,510	23,500	29,120	52,120	37,989	29,567
Provincial Funding.	21,000	22,020	44,786	51,506	46,506	81,460
Federal Funding.	-	37,640	41,273	20,514	42,029	66,687
Provincial / Federal Funding.	23,000	31,798	5,713	5,187	59,246	21,539
Public Private Partnerships.	-	-	107,905	126,895	3,734	8,711
Other.	1,987	6,682	5,413	11,569	25,716	17,073
TOTAL AVAILABLE FUNDING	248,384	214,023	353,072	380,411	328,179	333,707
BORROWING AUTHORITY REQUIRED FOR THE PROGRAM	50,084	93,560	74,251	40,688	148,310	105,723
LESS BORROWING AUTHORITY REQUIRED FOR UTILITIES	50,084	81,300	69,138	37,200	50,715	75,723
BORROWING AUTHORITY REQUIRED FOR TAX SUPPORTED	\$-	\$ 12,260	\$ 5,113	\$ 3,488	\$ 97,595	\$ 30,000

#### **Adopted Capital Budgets**

<sup>1-4</sup> See Page 5-17 for note details.

Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets \$ 96,000 \$ 96,000 \$ 99,478 \$ 61,078 \$ 63,478 \$ 65,478 \$ 65,478 \$ 1,078         Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets \$ 56,000 \$ 1,078         Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets \$ 56,000 \$ 1,078         Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets \$ 56,000 \$ 1,078         Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets \$ 56,000 \$ 1,078         Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets \$ 1,078         Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets \$ 56,000 \$ 1,078         Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets \$ 56,000 \$ 1,078         Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets A 1,078         Cash to Capital (Equity in Cap	<sup>-4</sup> See Page 5-17 for note details.	\$ 223,39	7\$	137,903	\$	192,768	\$ 216,246	\$ 197,454	\$ 219,697
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets         \$ 65,000         \$ 59,478         \$ 61,078         \$ 63,478         \$ 65,478           Public Private Partnership Lease         . <t< th=""><th>Provincial Payment for Gap in Transit Gas</th><th></th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th></th><th>·</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-</th></t<>	Provincial Payment for Gap in Transit Gas		-	-		·	-	-	-
Cesh to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets S         56,000         S         59,478         S         61,078         S         63,478         S         65,478           Pundi.         Payments.         (6,881)         (3,544)         (916)         8,077         2,342         1,978           Interm Financing / (Repayments).         (6,881)         (3,544)         (916)         8,077         2,342         1,978           Watermain Renewal Reserve.         -	-		-	-		21,000	29,000	25,000	
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets \$         56,000         \$         59,478         \$         61,478         \$         63,478         \$         65,478           Public Private Partnership Lease         -         (1,478)         (1,838)         (2,369)         (8,469)           Payments.         (6,881)         (3,544)         (916)         8,077         2,342         1,978           Watermain Renewal Reserve.         7,000         6,800         7,000         7,000         7,000         7,000         7,000         12,000           Audertical Reserve.         1,759         - <td< td=""><td>Implementation.</td><td></td><td>_</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td></td></td<>	Implementation.		_	-		-	-	-	
Cash to Capital (Equity) in Capital Assets         \$         66,000         \$         50,000         \$         50,000         \$         61,078         \$         61,378         \$         65,478           Public Private Partnenship Lease         -         -         (1,478)         (1,838)         (2,369)         (8,469)           Payments.         (6,881)         (3,544)         (916)         8,077         2,342         1,378           Water mark Reserve.         7,000         7,000         7,000         7,000         12,000         12,000         12,000         -			-	-		-	-	-	
Cash to Capital (Equity) in Capital Assets         \$         66,000         \$         56,000         \$         69,478         \$         61,078         \$         63,478         \$         65,478           Public Private Partnership Lease         -         -         (1,478)         (1,838)         (2,369)         (8,469)           Payments.         (6,881)         (3,544)         (916)         8,077         2,342         1,978           Waterman Renewal Reserve.         7,000         7,000         7,000         7,000         12,000           Augetuct Renewal Reserve.         17,580         -									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets         \$         66,000         \$         56,000         \$         64,778         \$         61,078         \$         63,478         \$         65,478           Public Private Partnership Lease         -         -         (1,478)         (1,838)         (2,369)         (8,469)           Payments.         (6,881)         (3,544)         (916)         8,077         2,342         1,978           Watermain Renewal Reserve.         7,600         6,800         7,000         7,000         12,000           Audeduct Renewal Reserve.         17,580         -         -         -         300         1           Combined Sewer Renewal Reserve.         17,580         -<	•		-	-		-	-	-	
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets         \$         56,000         \$         56,000         \$         56,000         \$         66,478         \$         61,078         \$         61,078         \$         61,478         \$         61,678 <td>Transit - Regular Transit Buses.</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>3,840</td> <td></td> <td>3,840</td> <td>3,840</td> <td>3,840</td> <td>3,840</td>	Transit - Regular Transit Buses.		-	3,840		3,840	3,840	3,840	3,840
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets)         \$         56,000         \$         59,478         \$         61,078         \$         63,478         \$         65,478           Fund, Public Private Partnership Lease Payments.         -         -         (1,478)         (1,838)         (2,369)         (8,469)           Interim Financing / (Repayments).         (6,881)         (3,544)         (9:16)         8,077         2,342         1,978           Watermain Renewal Reserve.         -         -         -         -         300         12,000           Combined Sever Renewal Reserve         17,580         -         -         -         -         -           Combined Sever Renewal Reserve         1,320         -         <			-	6,510		7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets)         \$         56,000         \$         59,478         \$         61,078         \$         63,478         \$         65,478           Fund, Public Private Partnership Lease Payments.         -         -         (1,478)         (1,338)         (2,369)         (8,469)           Payments.         -         -         -         -         -         300         7.000         7.000         7.000         7.000         12,000           Aquedut Renewal Reserve.         -									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets         5         66,000         \$         69,478         \$         61,078         \$         63,478         \$         65,478           Fund).         Public Private Partnership Lease         -         (1,478)         (1,838)         (2,369)         (8,469)           Payments.         (6,881)         (3,544)         (916)         8,077         2,342         1,978           Watermain Renewal Reserve.         7,600         6,800         7,000         7,000         7,000         12,000           Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.         16,000         6,800         -	Provincial Funding for Residential Street	5,00	C	-		-	-	-	-
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets \$ 56,000 \$ 56,000 \$ 56,000 \$ 56,000 \$ 56,000 \$ 64,007 \$ 64,078 \$ 63,478 \$ 66,478 Fund).         Public Private Patrnership Lease         .<	-	, -	-	11,670		11,666	11,666	10,666	18,766
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets \$ 56,000 \$ 66,000 \$ 69,478 \$ 61,078 \$ 63,478 \$ 63,478 \$ 65,478       \$ 63,478 \$ 65,478         Fund).       Public Private Partnership Lease       . <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>				-		-	-	-	-
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets         \$         56,000         \$         56,000         \$         56,000         \$         66,000         \$         66,000         \$         66,000         \$         66,000         \$         66,000         \$         66,000         \$         66,000         7,000			C	-		-	-	-	-
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets       \$ 56,000       \$ 59,478       \$ 61,078       \$ 63,478       \$ 65,478         Fund).       Public Private Partnership Lease       .       .	Unallocated UCPA IV - Unconditional.		0	-		-	-	-	-
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund).       \$       56,000       \$       59,478       \$       61,078       \$       63,478       \$       65,478         Public Private Partnership Lease       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Payments.       (6,881)       (3,544)       (916)       8,077       2,342       1,378         Watermain Renewal Reserve.       7,600       6,800       7,000       7,000       7,000       12,000         Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.       50,000       16,000       8,500       - <td>alternate funding</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	alternate funding								
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets \$         56,000         \$         59,478         \$         61,078         \$         63,478         \$         65,478           Fund).         Public Private Partnership Lease         -         -         (1,478)         (1,838)         (2,369)         (8,469)           Payments.         (6,861)         (3,544)         (916)         8,077         2,342         1,378           Watermain Renewal Reserve.         -	•								
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets         \$         56,000         \$         59,478         \$         61,078         \$         63,478         \$         65,478           Public Private Partnership Lease         -         -         (1,478)         (1,838)         (2,369)         (8,669)           Payments.         (6,811)         (3,544)         (916)         8,077         2,342         1,978           Watermain Renewal Reserve.         -         -         -         -         300         -           Water Traiment Reserve.         50,000         16,000         8,500         - <td< td=""><td>. ,</td><td>1,00</td><td>-</td><td>000</td><td></td><td>500</td><td>102</td><td>2,000</td><td>1,001</td></td<>	. ,	1,00	-	000		500	102	2,000	1,001
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets         \$         56,000         \$         59,478         \$         61,078         \$         63,478         \$         65,478           Public Private Partnership Lease         -         -         (1,478)         (1,838)         (2,369)         (8,469)           Payments.         (6,811)         (3,544)         (916)         8,077         2,342         1,978           Watermain Renewal Reserve.         -         -         -         -         300         -         -         -         300         -									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets \$ 56,000 \$ 56,000 \$ 59,478 \$ 61,078 \$ 63,478 \$ 65,478       66,478         Public Private Partnership Lease       .	-								
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets         \$         56,000         \$         59,478         \$         61,078         \$         63,478         \$         65,478           Public Private Partnership Lease         -         (1,478)         (1,838)         (2,369)         (8,469)           Payments.         (1,478)         (1,478)         (1,838)         (2,369)         (8,469)           Interim Financing / (Repayments).         (6,881)         (3,544)         (916)         8,077         2,342         1978           Watermain Renewal Reserve.         7,600         6,800         7,000         7,000         12,000           Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.         50,000         16,000         8,500         -	-	5.83	D	5.125		7.675	13.545	15,082	8,625
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets \$ 56,000 \$ 59,478 \$ 61,078 \$ 63,478 \$ 65,478 Fund).         Second S									
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund).         56,000         55,000         59,478         61,078         63,478         65,478           Public Private Partnership Lease Payments.         -         (1,478)         (1,838)         (2,369)         (8,469)           Payments.         (6,881)         (3,544)         (916)         8,077         2,342         1,978           Interim Financing / (Repayments).         (6,881)         (3,544)         (916)         8,077         2,342         1,978           Watermain Renewal Reserve.         7,600         6,800         7,000         7,000         12,000           Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.         50,000         16,000         8,500         -         -         -         -           Combined Sewer Renewal Reserve         17,580         -			-	-			-	-	300
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets       \$ 56,000       \$ 59,478       \$ 61,078       \$ 63,478       \$ 65,478         Fund).       Public Private Partnership Lease       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Payments.       (6,881)       (3,544)       (916)       8,077       2,342       1,978         Matermain Renewal Reserve.       7,600       6,800       7,000       7,000       7,000       12,000         Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.       50,000       16,000       8,500       -       <			-			-	-	-	-
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets         5         56,000         \$         59,478         \$         61,078         \$         63,478         \$         65,478           Fund).         Public Private Partnership Lease         -         -         (1,478)         (1,838)         (2,369)         (8,469)           Payments.         (6,881)         (3,544)         (916)         8,077         2,342         1,978           Watermain Renewal Reserve.         7,600         6,800         7,000         7,000         12,000           Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.         50,000         16,000         8,500         -			_	179					
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund).         \$ 56,000         \$ 56,000         \$ 59,478         \$ 61,078         \$ 63,478         \$ 65,478           Public Private Partnership Lease Payments.         -         (1,478)         (1,838)         (2,369)         (8,469)           Interim Financing / (Repayments).         (6,881)         (3,544)         (916)         8,077         2,342         1,978           Watermain Renewal Reserve.         7,600         6,800         7,000         7,000         7,000         12,000           Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.         50,000         16,000         8,500         -	Transfer from Sewage Utility (prior years'		-	(14,390)		7,600	923	-	-
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund).         56,000         59,478         61,078         63,478         65,478           Public Private Partnership Lease Payments.         -         (1,478)         (1,838)         (2,369)         (8,469)           Interim Financing / (Repayments).         (6,81)         (3,544)         (916)         8,077         2,342         1,978           Watermain Renewal Reserve.         7,600         6,800         7,000         7,000         12,000           Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.         50,000         16,000         8,500         -         -         300         -           Combined Sewer Renewal Reserve         17,580         -         <	Federation of Canadian Municipalities Loan		-	(6,808)		(3,417)	-	-	-
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund).       \$ 56,000 \$ 56,000 \$ 59,478 \$ 61,078 \$ 63,478 \$ 65,478         Public Private Partnership Lease       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Payments.       Interim Financing / (Repayments).       (6,881)       (3,544)       (916)       8,077       2,342       1,978         Watermain Renewal Reserve.       7,600       6,800       7,000       7,000       7,000       12,000         Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.       50,000       16,000       8,500       -       <	0	-,	-	-		7,500	7,500	7,500	1,100
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund).       \$ 56,000 \$ 56,000 \$ 59,478 \$ 61,078 \$ 63,478 \$ 65,478         Public Private Partnership Lease       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Payments.       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Interim Financing / (Repayments).       (6,881)       (3,544)       (916)       8,077       2,342       1,978         Watermain Renewal Reserve.       7,600       6,800       7,000       7,000       7,000       12,000         Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.       50,000       16,000       8,500       - <td></td> <td>3,00</td> <td>C</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>		3,00	C			-	-	-	-
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund).       \$ 56,000       \$ 59,478       \$ 61,078       \$ 63,478       \$ 65,478         Public Private Partnership Lease Payments.       -       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Interim Financing / (Repayments).       (6,881)       (3,544)       (916)       8,077       2,342       1,978         Watermain Renewal Reserve.       7,600       6,800       7,000       7,000       7,000       12,000         Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.       50,000       16,000       8,500       -			-	- 650		-	-	-	2,152
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund), Public Private Partnership Lease Payments.       \$ 56,000 \$ 59,478 \$ 61,078 \$ 63,478 \$ 65,478 (1,478)       \$ 61,078 \$ 63,478 \$ 65,478 (2,369)       \$ 65,478 (8,469)         Payments.       Interim Financing / (Repayments).       (6,881)       (3,544)       (916)       8,077       2,342       1,978         Watermain Renewal Reserve.       7,600       6,800       7,000       7,000       7,000       12,000         Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.       -       -       -       300       -         Water Treatment Reserve.       50,000       16,000       8,500       -       -       -         Combined Sewer Renewal Reserve (frontage levy).       1,320       - <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0 720</td>			_			_			0 720
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund).       \$ 56,000       \$ 59,478       \$ 61,078       \$ 63,478       \$ 65,478         Public Private Partnership Lease Payments.       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Interim Financing / (Repayments).       (6,881)       (3,544)       (916)       8,077       2,342       1,978         Watermain Renewal Reserve.       7,600       6,800       7,000       7,000       7,000       12,000         Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.       -       -       -       300       -       -       -         Water Treatment Reserve.       50,000       16,000       8,500       -<	Contribution from Glacial Sand and Gravel	24	7	-		-	-		-
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund).       \$ 56,000       \$ 59,478       \$ 61,078       \$ 63,478       \$ 65,478         Public Private Partnership Lease Payments.       -       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Interim Financing / (Repayments).       (6,881)       (3,544)       (916)       8,077       2,342       1,978         Watermain Renewal Reserve.       7,600       6,800       7,000       7,000       7,000       12,000         Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.       -       -       -       -       300       -         Water Treatment Reserve.       50,000       16,000       8,500       -<			-	- 2,770				(12)	-++0
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund).       \$ 56,000 \$       59,478 \$       61,078 \$       63,478 \$       65,478 (2,369)         Public Private Partnership Lease Payments.       -       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Interim Financing / (Repayments).       (6,881)       (3,544)       (916)       8,077       2,342       1,978         Watermain Renewal Reserve.       7,600       6,800       7,000       7,000       7,000       12,000         Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.       -       -       -       -       300       -         Water Treatment Reserve.       50,000       16,000       8,500       -       -       -         Combined Sewer Renewal Reserve       17,580       -       -       -       -       -         Wastewater Sewer Renewal Reserve       1,320       -       -       -       -       -         (frontage levy).       -			_	-		2 737	973	_	440
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund).       \$ 56,000 \$       59,478 \$       61,078 \$       63,478 \$       65,478         Public Private Partnership Lease Payments.       -       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Interim Financing / (Repayments).       (6,881)       (3,544)       (916)       8,077       2,342       1,978         Watermain Renewal Reserve.       7,600       6,800       7,000       7,000       7,000       12,000         Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.       -       -       -       300       -       -         Water Treatment Reserve.       50,000       16,000       8,500       -       -       -       -         Combined Sewer Renewal Reserve (frontage levy).       1,320       -			-	275		-	-	-	35
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund).       \$ 56,000 \$       \$ 59,478 \$       61,078 \$       63,478 \$       65,478         Public Private Partnership Lease Payments.       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Interim Financing / (Repayments).       (6,881)       (3,544)       (916)       8,077       2,342       1,978         Watermain Renewal Reserve.       7,600       6,800       7,000       7,000       7,000       12,000         Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.       50,000       16,000       8,500       -       -       -         Water Treatment Reserve.       50,000       16,000       8,500       -       -       -       -         Combined Sewer Renewal Reserve (frontage levy).       1,320       - <td></td> <td>25,00</td> <td>6</td> <td> ,</td> <td></td> <td>6,420</td> <td></td> <td>11,450</td> <td></td>		25,00	6	,		6,420		11,450	
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund).       \$ 56,000 \$       \$ 59,478 \$       61,078 \$       63,478 \$       65,478         Public Private Partnership Lease Payments.       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Interim Financing / (Repayments).       (6,881)       (3,544)       (916)       8,077       2,342       1,978         Watermain Renewal Reserve.       7,600       6,800       7,000       7,000       7,000       12,000         Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.       50,000       16,000       8,500       -       -       -         Water Treatment Reserve.       50,000       16,000       8,500       -       -       -       -         Combined Sewer Renewal Reserve (frontage levy).       1,320       - <td>Transit Bus Replacement Reserve.</td> <td>5,01</td> <td>5</td> <td>7,160</td> <td></td> <td>5,885</td> <td>6,098</td> <td>7,920</td> <td>5,976</td>	Transit Bus Replacement Reserve.	5,01	5	7,160		5,885	6,098	7,920	5,976
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund).       \$ 56,000 \$       \$ 59,478 \$       61,078 \$       63,478 \$       65,478         Public Private Partnership Lease Payments.       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Interim Financing / (Repayments).       (6,881)       (3,544)       (916)       8,077       2,342       1,978         Watermain Renewal Reserve.       7,600       6,800       7,000       7,000       7,000       12,000         Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.       -       -       -       300       -         Water Treatment Reserve.       50,000       16,000       8,500       -       -       -         Combined Sewer Renewal Reserve       17,580       -       -       -       -       -       -         Wastewater Sewer Renewal Reserve       1,320       -	-		-	-		- 15,080	12,000		- 19,000
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets Fund).       \$ 56,000       \$ 59,478       \$ 61,078       \$ 63,478       \$ 65,478         Public Private Partnership Lease Payments.       -       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Interim Financing / (Repayments).       (6,881)       (3,544)       (916)       8,077       2,342       1,978         Watermain Renewal Reserve.       7,600       6,800       7,000       7,000       12,000         Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.       -       -       -       300       -         Water Treatment Reserve.       50,000       16,000       8,500       -       -       -         Combined Sewer Renewal Reserve       17,580       -       -       -       -       -         (frontage levy).       1,230       -       -       -       -       -       -	(frontage levy).	1,021	5	-		15 080	12 600	12 600	19,000
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets       \$ 56,000       \$ 59,478       61,078       \$ 63,478       \$ 65,478         Fund).       Public Private Partnership Lease       -       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Payments.       Interim Financing / (Repayments).       (6,881)       (3,544)       (916)       8,077       2,342       1,978         Watermain Renewal Reserve.       7,600       6,800       7,000       7,000       12,000         Aqueduct Renewal Reserve.       -       -       -       300       -	(frontage levy).			-		-	-	-	-
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets       \$ 56,000       \$ 59,478       61,078       63,478       65,478         Fund).       Public Private Partnership Lease       -       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Payments.       Interim Financing / (Repayments).       (6,881)       (3,544)       (916)       8,077       2,342       1,978         Watermain Renewal Reserve.       7,600       6,800       7,000       7,000       7,000       12,000	•	50,00	- D	16,000		8,500	-	-	-
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets       \$ 56,000       \$ 59,478       61,078       63,478       65,478         Fund).       Public Private Partnership Lease       -       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Payments.       Interim Financing / (Repayments).       (6,881)       (3,544)       (916)       8,077       2,342       1,978		7,600	) -	6,800		7,000	7,000		12,000
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets       \$ 56,000       \$ 59,478       \$ 61,078       \$ 63,478       \$ 65,478         Fund).       Public Private Partnership Lease       -       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)         Payments.       -       -       -       (1,478)       (1,838)       (2,369)       (8,469)	• • • • •	( )	,	( )		· ,			
Cash to Capital (Equity in Capital Assets \$ 56,000 \$ 56,000 \$ 59,478 \$ 61,078 \$ 63,478 \$ 65,478 Fund).	Payments.		-				,		
	Fund).	φ 50,000	φ	50,000	Ψ	59,470	φ 01,070	φ 03,470	φ 05,470
	Reserves / Other City Funding:	\$ 56.00	n ¢	56 000	¢	59 478	\$ 61.078	\$ 63.478	\$ 65.478

<sup>1-4</sup> See Page 5-17 for note details.

#### APPENDICES

FINANCING (continued)						
	<u>2005<sup>1</sup></u>	2006 <sup>2</sup>	<b>2007</b> <sup>3</sup>	<u>2008</u> <sup>4</sup>	2009	<u>2010</u>
Federal Funding:						
Federal Gas Tax.	-	23,280	16,413	20,514	41,029	40,450
Government of Canada (Bill C-						
66)/Public Transit Capital Trust Funds.	-	14,360	24,860	-	1,000	1,000
Veterans Affairs Canada.	-	-	-	-	-	150
Recreational Infrastructure Fund. Infrastructure Stimulus Fund.	-	-	-	-	-	50 6,804
Building Canada Fund.	-	-	-	-	-	18,233
Provincial / Federal Funding:	-	-	_	-	_	10,200
Canada / Manitoba Infrastructure Projects.						
Kenaston Underpass	23,000	-	_	-	-	-
Rapid Transit Corridor (Phase 1)	_0,000	-	_	-	35,000	-
Canada Strategic Infrastructure Fund					00,000	
Centrate Nutrient Reduction	-	13,778	-	-	-	-
Treatment - NEWPCC.						
Effluent Disinfection and Nutrient	-	14,187	-	-	-	-
Removal - WEWPCC.						
Effluent Disinfection and Nutrient	-	-	-	-	20,608	-
Removal - SEWPCC.						40.407
Nutrient Removal/Expansion - SEWPCC.	-	-	-	-	-	18,427
Recreation and Leisure Facilities.	_	_	5,125	5,125	3,638	3,112
Kenaston Underpass.	-	3,000	5,125	5,125	5,050	5,112
Winsmart - Urban Transportation	-	833	588	62	-	-
Showcase.						
Other:						
Public Private Partnerships.	-	-	107,905	126,895	3,734	8,711
Developer Capacity Charges.	1,000	3,357	5,413	1,069	2,056	-
Wpg Library Foundation (Millennium						
Library).	-	-	-	-	-	-
District Receivable - Fairfield Park.	942	-	-	-	-	-
School Divisions.	45	-	-	-	-	-
Red River Community Centre Funding. Federation of Canadian Municipalities	-	325	-	-	-	-
Grant.	-	3,000	-	-	-	-
Transfers from Operating.	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior Years' Surplus/Authorization.	-	-	-	10,500	23,660	17,073
TOTAL FINANCING SOURCES OTHER	248,384	214,023	353,072	380,411	328,179	333,707
THAN DEBT	240,304	214,023	353,072	300,411	320,179	333,707
DEBT:						
Local Improvement.	-	5,452	1,696	3,488	7,845	-
Federation of Canadian Municipalities Loan	-	6,808	3,417	-		-
Public Works - Chief Peguis Trail.		,				30,000
Tax Supported - Transit.	-	-	-	-	89,750	-
Total Tax Supported.	-	12,260	5,113	3,488	97,595	30,000
Utilities.	50,084	81,300	69,138	37,200	50,715	75,723
TOTAL DEBT	50,084	 93,560	 74,251	 40,688	 148,310	 105,723
TOTAL CAPITAL PROGRAM	\$ 298,468	\$ 307,583	\$ 427,323	\$ 421,099	\$ 476,489	\$ 439,430
—						

<sup>1-4</sup> See Page 5-17 for note details.

#### APPLICATION OF FUNDS

The following information, organized by department, provides a historical analysis of adopted capital budgets for 2005 to 2010.

budgets for 2005 to 2010.	2	005 <sup>1</sup>	<u>2006<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>2007<sup>3</sup></u>	<u>2008</u> <sup>4</sup>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>
TAX SUPPORTED CAPITAL							
Public Works							
- Streets	\$	54,863	\$ 61,599	\$ 156,693	\$ 179,833	\$ 96,690	\$ 187,465
- Parks & Open Spaces		1,625	1,033	3,383	5,233	4,883	4,666
Land Drainage and Flood Control							
- Regional		11,130	12,700	16,100	14,195	11,350	11,300
- Residential		11,194	11,524	550	500	750	600
Winnipeg Police Service		2,266	-	20,655	34,353	11,696	10,311
Fire Paramedic Service		-	1,720	-	1,792	-	2,800
Corporate Support Services		3,310	4,996	2,325	1,518	583	2,640
Community Services							
- Special Projects		3,798	2,243	2,835	2,421	6,559	11,956
- Libraries		300	611	689	1,250	-	500
Planning, Property and Development							
- Civic Accommodations		6,355	8,769	12,909	5,806	7,167	6,400
- Building Services		3,770	18,263	16,870	21,990	6,700	4,675
- Planning, Property and Development							
- Building Communities		2,400	-	400	2,000	-	2,000
- Other		1,400	4,100	5,350	3,628	5,344	5,965
Special Projects - Various Departments		650	2,075	4,446	9,424	3,749	2,150
Transit		15,494	31,552	41,908	16,715	164,712	27,912
Total Tax Supported Capital Excluding Local Improvements		118,555	161,185	285,113	300,658	320,183	281,340
Local Improvements		2,393	5,729	2,569	3,794	9,777	-
Total Tax Supported Capital		120,948	166,914	287,682	304,452	329,960	281,340
UTILITIES CAPITAL							
Waterworks System		97,430	109,485	84,675	20,545	22,382	20,625
Sewage Disposal System							
- Regional		76,970	29,194	39,386	83,100	106,547	112,075
- Residential		1,320	1,260	15,080	12,600	15,600	12,600
Solid Waste Utility		1,800	730	500	402	2,000	12,375
Total Utilities Capital		177,520	140,669	139,641	116,647	146,529	157,675
SPECIAL OPERATING AGENCIES CAPITA	L						
Winnipeg Golf Services		-	-	-	-	-	415
Total Special Operating Agencies Capital		-	-	-	-	-	415
TOTAL CAPITAL PROGRAM	\$	298,468	\$ 307,583	\$ 427,323	\$ 421,099	\$ 476,489	\$ 439,430

1 Revised to reflect March 22, 2005 Council decision to reduce the previously adopted 2005 capital program and the internal financing requirement by \$5.0 million.

2 Budget as adopted by Council on February 21, 2006. No revisions made to reflect Council's March 21, 2006 decisions to increase cash to capital for 2006 to \$56.5 million, nor to increase authorization for Regional and Local Streets by \$1 million to reflect the tabling of the Provincial Budget.

3 Revised to reflect January 24, 2007 Council decision to increase the Wastewater Renewal Program by \$3 million.

4 Revised to reflect March 26, 2008 Council decision to reduce the previously adopted 2008 capital program and the internal financing requirement by \$400,000.

## Appendix 6: Statement of Current and Authorized Debenture Debt

The following information provides an overview of the City's authorized net debt as at December 31, 2009. The City issues both Sinking Fund and Serial or Instalment debentures for funding capital expenditures. On a consolidated basis, the City includes mortgages and bank loans incurred by wholly-owned corporations of the City, as well as capital lease obligations, as outstanding debt of the City. The schedule below relates only to debenture debt for the City's tax-supported, utility operations, Transit, Civic Accommodations, and Facilities Maintenance Funds.

Beginning in 1998, the City adopted capital budgets that did not require the issuance of new tax supported debt (with the exception of local improvement costs to be repaid by taxpayers). As a result, the level of net tax-supported debt ha lecreased by \$305 million since 1998.

In February 2005, Council adopted The City of Winnipeg Debt Management Policy. The City recognized that the foundation of any well-managed debt program is a comprehensive debt policy. This Policy sets forth the parameters for issuing debt and managing outstanding debt and provides guidance to decision makers regarding the timing and purposes for which debt may be issued and the types of debt and structural features that may be incorporated.

Debenture Debt (In Thousands of \$)	Tax-Supported	Utility Operations and Other Funds	
Gross Debenture Debt as at December 31, 2008 Less: Equity in Sinking Fund	324,746 (159,916)	. , ,	
Net Debt	164,830	234,163	398,993
Unallocated Sinking Fund Surplus	(1,817)	-	(1,817)
Net Debenture Debt as at December 31, 2008	163,013	234,163	397,176
Debt Maturing during 2009	(121,677)	(28,201)	(149,878)
Sinking Fund Incremental Change during 2009	69,448	7,683	77,131
New Debt Issued in 2009	<u>43,117</u>	5,363	48,480
Net Debt Outstanding as at December 31, 2009	153,901	219,008	372,909
Outstanding Borrowing Authorization	175,449	232,501	407,950
New Borrowing Authorized in 2010 Capital Budget		75,723	105,723
Total Authorized Net Debt		527,232	886,582

Note: Does not include capital lease obligations, debt incurred by Winnipeg Enterprises Corporation and Winnipeg Housing Rehabilitation Corporation, or debt incurred or authorized for the City's Special Operating Agencies.

The City issued \$48.48 million in debt in 2009 to refinance the maturity of a sinking fund debenture on September 30th, 2009.

On September 30th, 2009, prior to the adoption of the capital budget, the City authorized \$75 million for the Disraeli Bridge and Overpass Facility. New borrowing authorized in the 2010 Capital Budget of \$105.723 million includes \$30 million for the Chief Peguis Trail and \$75.723 million for the Sewer and Solid Waste Disposal Systems.